#### SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



# SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_48301
Title of the Manuscript:	Efficacy of 2,4-D Choline as Influenced by Weed Size in the Texas High Plains
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manu- his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol> <li>No paragraph in abstract.</li> <li>The write up needs to be justified.</li> <li>Times New Roman is most accepted with Font Size 12.</li> <li>Only scientific names should be in italics.</li> <li>The body of the text should be in double spacing. Only abstract should be in single spacing as corrected from lines 32 – 42.</li> <li>All tables should be in three lines.</li> </ol>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

# <u>PART 2:</u>

			Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manus
			his/her feedback here)
Are there othical issue	Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	
	Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?		

# **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Toungos, Mohammed Dahiru
Department, University & Country	Adamawa State University, Nigeria

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

d with reviewer, correct the manuscript and uscript. It is mandatory that authors should write