

Original Research Article

Impacts of meteorological attributes on agronomic characteristics of sunflower cultivated in the Cerrado

ABSTRACT

The sunflower has adaptation for cultivation in Brazilian conditions and the main product is the oil extracted from the seeds. This study aimed to verify the impacts of meteorological attributes on agronomic characteristics of sunflower genotypes cultivated as a second crop, in MatoGrosso. The experimental design was random blocks, cultivating the genotypes M734 and Hélio 358, in three years. Were evaluate the agronomic characteristics: plant height, capitulum diameter, weight of a thousand achenes, yield of achenes, oil content and oil yield. Then, water deficit and the maximum crop yield are estimated for correlation analysis between meteorological attributes and agronomic characteristics. In both genotypes studied there was a very strong correlation between plant height with evapotranspiration ($r=0.99^*$) and very strong negative correlation ($r=-0.99^*$) with water deficit. For mass of a thousand achenes, there was a very strong negative correlation with the maximum ($r=-0.98^*$) and minimum ($r=-0.96^*$) temperatures, and with evapotranspiration ($r=-0.98^*$) in the Hélio 358. In addition, effect of the water deficit on the oil yield ($r=-0.98^*$) was verified for the same genotype. Very strong negative correlation was found between maximum temperature and oil content ($r=-0.96^*$) in genotype M734. In sunflower cultivation in MatoGrosso, water deficit reduces plant height, capitulum diameter and oil yield. The oil content of the achenes reduces when the maximum temperature increases, during the cultivation.

Keywords: Climatic factors; Correlation; Helianthus annuus L.; Yield of achenes.

1. INTRODUCTION

Sunflower is an efficient oilseed in nutrient cycling, drought tolerant and adapts to different soil and climate conditions. Due to these characteristics, the diversity of use and the growing demand of the commercial sector for sunflower products, an increase in cultivated area is expected, especially in the central of Brazil [1, 2]. In this region it is common to seed a second crop in February or March, once the conditions are favorable to a second crop.

Due to the low photoperiod sensitivity of the sunflower plant, the cultivation period in Brazil can be carried out all year round. However, despite being a rustic plant, the sunflower has low efficiency of water use and the occurrence of high air temperatures in the periods of flowering, filling of achenes and harvesting has been one of the major aspects that can determine the success of the production [3, 4].

In this context, there is the importance of solar radiation in the stage of filling achenes, the availability of water throughout the crop cycle, as well as the air temperature in the duration

Comment [CA1]: What is the implication of your over come. It means what?

30 of development stages and total cycle of the culture [5, 6]. The temperature during sunflower
31 development in MatoGrosso is quite different between the two sowing periods used in the
32 region (crop and second crop), which implies in the occurrence of anthesis at different times
33 [7]. In a study with sunflower, it was observed that the diameter of chapters, the mass of
34 achenes and the oil content of the achenes are affected by the availability of water [8].

35 Environmental conditions may also alter the oil content and fatty acid profile of sunflower
36 achenes [7, 9]. In addition, the genotype x environment interaction, which may differ in the
37 plant performance according to the cultivation region and between different cultivars [10, 11].

38 Due to these factors, the meteorological conditions that occur in the period of second crop in
39 the sunflower cultivation in the Cerrado can cause substantial differences in the
40 morphological characteristics, the yield and the oil content of achenes. Through the
41 determination of the main meteorological attributes that affect the productive characteristics
42 of the sunflower cultivated in the region, it becomes possible to plan management strategies
43 that reduce the losses caused by environmental conditions. Thus, it was aimed to verify the
44 impacts of meteorological attributes on agronomic characteristics of sunflower genotypes
45 cultivated as a second crop, in the State of MatoGrosso.

46 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

47
48 The experiments were carried out at Santa Luzia Farm, in Campo Verde, MatoGrosso
49 (latitude 15°45'12" S e longitude 55°22'44" W). The soil of the experimental area is classified as
50 Red-Yellow Latosol, where soybeans preceded the sunflower.
51

52 The experimental design was random blocks with four replicates. It was evaluated different
53 sunflower genotypes, being selected for the present research the hybrids M734 and Hélio
54 358, cultivated in the years 2009, 2011 and 2012. The plots were constituted by four rows of
55 6.00 m in the spacing of 0.90 x 0.25 m and, having as a useful area, the two central lines,
56 eliminating 0.5 m from the margins.

57 In the three years, the sowing was carried out at the beginning of March by manually placing
58 three seeds per hill. Seven to ten days after the emergency the thinning was done leaving in
59 each hole the most vigorous plant. The fertilizer used was 30-80-80 kg ha⁻¹ of NPK and 2.0
60 kg ha⁻¹ of boron on the sowing hill and 30 kg ha⁻¹ of N top-dressing, at 30 days after sowing.

61 The crop area was kept free from weed interference and the necessary phytosanitary
62 treatments were carried out. At the beginning of flowering, the capitulum diameter and plant
63 height measurements of ten random plants of the area of each plot were made, and then the
64 mean values for these characteristics were calculated. The capitulum diameter was obtained
65 by measuring from one edge to another in the center of the capitulum and the plant height
66 from the insertion of the capitulum on the stem to ground level. When the plants were in
67 stage R7 (first phase of development of achenes), the capitulum were covered with bags to
68 avoid damages by birds.

69 After harvesting, manual threshing of the capitulum was performed and the impurities were
70 separated. Then, the mass of one thousand achenes was determined by weighing eight
71 replicates of 100 achenes, in analytical balance. The yield of achenes was obtained by
72 weighing all the achenes harvested from the useful area of each plot, with subsequent
73 moisture correction to 11%.

Comment [CA2]: Can you explain whether there are difference in the two sowing periods? Eg. Wet and dry sowing periods

74 From each plot a sample of approximately 200 g of achenes was separated to determine the
75 oil content. After this, the oil yield was calculated by multiplying the oil content by the
76 achenes yield.

77 The calculation of climatological water balance was performed according to the method
78 proposed by the authors cited in the reference [12], with precipitation and temperature data
79 grouped in in periods of ten days. The precipitation values were collected at the experiment
80 installation site and the temperature (minimum, maximum and mean compensated) obtained
81 from the Poxoréo Conventional Surface Weather Observation Station, located in Poxoréo-
82 MT, near the experiment site, based on the National Institute of Meteorology (INMET)
83 database. The maximum yield was estimated using the Agroecological Zone Method (FAO)
84 described by the authors cited in the reference [13], adopting a leaf area index for the crop of
85 15, according to the author cited in the reference [14].

86 After obtaining the agronomic characteristics of the sunflower (Table 1) and the
87 meteorological attributes (Table 2), Pearson correlation analyzes were performed. The
88 interpretation was made according to the author cited in the reference [15]: $r = 0.10$ to 0.19
89 (very weak); $r = 0.20$ to 0.39 (weak); $r = 0.40$ to 0.69 (moderate); $r = 0.70$ to 0.89 (strong); $r =$
90 0.90 to 1 (very strong), considering the significance level of 5%.

91
92
93
94
95 **Table 1. Averages of plant height (PH), capitulum diameter (CD), mass of a thousand achenes (MTA), oil content (OC), oil yield (OY) and achenes yield (AY) of sunflower genotypes grown in MatoGrosso, in 2009, 2011 and 2012**

Year	Genotype	PH (cm)	DC (cm)	MTA (g)	OC (%)	OY (kg ha ⁻¹)	AY (kg ha ⁻¹)
2009	M734	138	17	70	38.0	1089	2854
	Hélio 358	114	17	63	47.0	1069	2270
2011	M734	148	17	70	38.8	1292	3311
	Hélio 358	123	18	54	44.9	1048	2328
2012	M734	178	19	70	39.1	814	2082
	Hélio 358	147	18	52	44.0	827	1878

96
97
98
99
100
101 **Table 2. Real estimated yield (REY), maximum temperature (T_{MAX}), average temperature (T_{MED}), minimum temperature (T_{MIN}), water deficit (DEF), crop evapotranspiration (ETC) and real evapotranspiration (ETR) of sunflower genotypes grown in MatoGrosso, in 2009, 2011 and 2012**

Year	REY (kg ha ⁻¹)	T _{MAX} (°C)	T _{MED} (°C)	T _{MIN} (°C)	DEF (mm)	ETC (mm)	ETR (mm)
2009	1473	31.59	23.38	18.07	204.52	378.53	174.00
2011	1910	32.03	24.05	18.41	159.44	389.29	229.86
2012	2753	31.98	23.94	18.58	18.12	369.17	351.05

102 *Temperature data: Poxoréo Conventional Surface Weather Observation Station (2009, 2011*
103 *and 2012).*

104 105 106 **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION** 107 **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

108
109 For plant height, there was a very strong positive correlation with real evapotranspiration ($r =$
110 0.99^*) and very strong negative correlation ($r = -0.99^*$) with water deficit (Tables 3 and 4),

111 | corroborating with results obtained by the authors cited in the reference [16], who verified
 112 | that the increase in soil water level provides an increase in height of the sunflower plant.

113 | **Table 3. Correlation coefficient (r) between meteorological attributes and**
 114 | **agronomic characteristics of the genotype M734 cultivated in MatoGrosso**

	PH	CD	MTA	OC	OY	MRY	REY
T _{MAX}	0.61	0.40	-0.80	-0.96*	0.01	-0.04	0.68
T _{MED}	0.57	0.36	-0.77	-0.91	0.06	0.01	0.65
T _{MIN}	0.89	0.76	-0.98	-0.97	-0.41	-0.46	0.93
DEF	-0.99*	-0.97*	0.95	-0.85	-0.78	-0.81	-0.99*
ETC	-0.69	-0.84	0.46	-0.22	0.99	0.98	-0.61
ETR	0.99*	0.95*	-0.97	0.89	-0.97*	-0.77	0.99*

115 | *T_{MAX}: maximum temperature (°C), T_{MED}: average temperature (°C), T_{MIN}: minimum*
 116 | *temperature (°C), DEF: water deficit (mm), ETC: crop evapotranspiration (mm day⁻¹), ETR:*
 117 | *real evapotranspiration (mm day⁻¹), PH: plant height (cm), CD: capitulum diameter (cm),*
 118 | *MTA: mass of a thousand achenes (g), OC: oil content (%), OY: oil yield (kg ha⁻¹), MR*
 119 | *Y: measured real yield (kg ha⁻¹), REY: real estimated yield (kg ha⁻¹).*

120 | *significant at 5% probability.

121 | **Table 4. Correlation coefficient (r) between meteorological attributes and**
 122 | **agronomic characteristics of the genotype Hélio 358 cultivated in**
 123 | **MatoGrosso**

	HP	CD	MTA	OC	OY	MRY	REY
T _{MAX}	0.63	0.99*	-0.98*	-0.92	-0.47	-0.29	0.68
T _{MED}	0.59	0.98*	-0.94	-0.90	-0.43	-0.24	0.65
T _{MIN}	0.90	0.94	-0.96*	-0.99	-0.80	-0.67	0.93
DEF	-0.99*	-0.68	0.80	0.86	-0.98*	-0.93	-0.99*
ETC	-0.67	0.04	0.13	0.25	0.80	0.90	-0.61
ETR	0.99*	0.74	-0.98*	-0.90	-0.97*	-0.90	0.99*

125 | *T_{MAX}: maximum temperature (°C), T_{MED}: average temperature (°C), T_{MIN}: minimum*
 126 | *temperature (°C), DEF: water deficit (mm), ETC: crop evapotranspiration (mm day⁻¹), ETR:*
 127 | *real evapotranspiration (mm day⁻¹), PH: plant height (cm), CD: capitulum diameter (cm),*
 128 | *MTA: mass of a thousand achenes (g), OC: oil content (%), OY: oil yield (kg ha⁻¹), MR*
 129 | *Y: measured real yield (kg ha⁻¹), REY: real estimated yield (kg ha⁻¹).*

130 | *significant at 5% probability.

132 | Plant height reflects the nutritional conditions in the stem elongation period, and the
 133 | response of the cultivars can show the efficiency of the plant to the edaphoclimatic
 134 | conditions of cultivation [17], including water availability. In low water conditions, the
 135 | decrease in height of sunflower plants possibly occur due to the increase of abscisic acid
 136 | level that slows plant growth, the stomatal closure as a mechanism to reduce water loss, as
 137 | well as by changes in hormonal activity [18].

138 | For the M734 genotype, there was a very strong positive correlation of the capitulum
 139 | diameter with the real evapotranspiration ($r = 0.95^*$) and very strong negative correlation ($r =$
 140 | -0.97^*) with the water deficit (Table 3), agreeing with results obtained by the authors cited in
 141 | the reference [8] who verified greater capitulum diameter with the increase of water
 142 | availability.

143 | Water deficit in sunflower may cause floral abortion and reduction of the capitulum diameter
 144 | resulting in decrease of achenes production [3]. The influence of water deficit on the
 145 | capitulum diameter was observed in Hélio 358 (Table 4), a result that reinforces that

146 tolerance to low water availability depends not only on the species, but also to the cultivar
147 [19].

148 In the Hélio 358, there was a very strong positive correlation of the capitulum diameter with
149 the maximum ($r = 0.99^*$) and mean ($r = 0.98^*$) temperature (Table 4). For sunflower, low
150 temperatures generate an increase in the plant cycle, causing losses in productivity,
151 especially when it occurs at the beginning of flowering [20], which is related to the size of the
152 capitulum to be formed. The capitulum diameter depends to the environmental conditions,
153 management and genotype. This characteristic is relevant because capitulum with larger
154 diameters provide higher yields of achenes [21].

155 For mass of a thousand achenes, there was a very strong negative correlation with the
156 maximum ($r = -0.98^*$) and minimum ($r = -0.96^*$) temperatures, and with evapotranspiration (r
157 $= -0.98^*$) in the Hélio 358 (Table 4). In sunflower, the increase in temperature decreases the
158 accumulation of intercepted radiation, which may cause losses in mass of achenes [9].

159 Similarly, reductions in the production of dry mass of achenes and oil content occur due to
160 the lower availability of water at the beginning of flowering or in the filling of achenes, which
161 reflects the climatic conditions in the second crop in the region [22, 23]. The translocation of
162 assimilates in the plant is very related to evapotranspiration, and the need of water increases
163 with the development of the plant. In sunflower, this demand generally ranges from 0.5 to 10
164 mm per day depending on the phenological stage [20].

165 There was a very strong negative correlation of the maximum temperature with the oil
166 content ($r = -0.96^*$) in the M734 (Table 3). Generally, raising the maximum temperature
167 causes damage to the production of oil in the plant. The authors cited in the reference [24]
168 studying the influence of air temperature on the oil content of sunflower seeds found that
169 there was a decrease in the total content at higher temperature.

170 In addition to the loss of oil content, the temperature affects the fatty acid composition of
171 sunflower oil [7]. According to the authors cited in the reference [20], a mild temperature
172 during the synthesis of oil benefits the concentration of linoleic acid, while the occurrence of
173 temperature above 35°C between flowering and physiological maturation causes the
174 irreversible increase of the content of oleic acid.

175 For oil yield, there was a very strong negative correlation between real evapotranspiration in
176 the genotypes M734 and Hélio 358 ($r = -0.97^*$), and with the water deficit in Hélio 358 ($r = -$
177 0.98^*) (Tables 3 and 4). The measured yield of achenes showed no correlation with the
178 meteorological attributes, but for estimated yield there was a very strong positive correlation
179 with real evapotranspiration ($r = 0.99^*$) and very strong negative correlation ($r = -0.99^*$) with
180 water deficit in both genotypes.

181 In cultivation, the productivity and the quality are strongly influenced by genotype,
182 environment and their interaction [25]. The water deficit may prolong the total sunflower
183 growth period [26], increasing the risk of losses in the final yield of the crop. The lack of
184 water in the vegetal tissues implies less leaf expansion, as a mechanism to minimize the
185 loss by evapotranspiration. When water stress occurs in later stages of plant development,
186 the reduction of leaf expansion in the anthesis period causes a lower yield of achenes, in
187 addition to damage the absorption of important nutrients to the plant, such as boron [20].

188 In sunflower, the authors cited in the references [27, 28] found higher yields of achenes due
189 to the increase of water availability through irrigation. In the culture, the most sensitive
190 development phases to water deficiency are from the beginning of capitulum formation to the

191 beginning of flowering and the formation and filling of achenes. About 500 mm of water, well
192 distributed throughout the cycle, results in yields close to the maximum potential for the crop
193 [8, 29].
194

195 4. CONCLUSION

196
197 The water deficit decreases plant height, capitulum diameter and yield of oil in sunflower
198 cultivated as a second crop in MatoGrosso.

199 Increases in maximum temperature during sunflower cultivation reduce the oil content of
200 achenes in the region studied.

201 The impacts of meteorological attributes on some agronomic characteristics of sunflower
202 depend on the genotype.

Formatted: Space After: 12 pt

203

204

205

206 REFERENCES

207

208 1. Grunvald AK, Carvalho CGP, Oliveira ACB, Andrade CAB. Adaptabilidade e estabilidade
209 de genótipos de girassol no Brasil Central.
210 Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira. 2008;43(11):1483-1493. Portuguese. DOI: 10.1590/S0100-
211 204X2008001100006
212

213 2. Souza FR, Silva IM, Pellin DMP, Bergamin AC, Silva RP. Características agrônômicas do
214 cultivo de girassol consorciado com *Brachiaria ruziziensis*.
215 Revista Ciência Agronômica. 2015;46(1):110-116. Portuguese. DOI: 10.1590/S1806-
216 66902015000100013
217

218 3. Aleman CC, Bertipaglia R. Influência da lâmina de irrigação no cultivo de girassol.
219 Colloquium Agrariae. 2015;11(2):24-30. Portuguese. DOI: 10.5747/ca.2015.v11.n2.a123
220

221 4. Leite RMVBC, Castro C, Brighenti AM, Oliveira FA, Carvalho CGP, Oliveira ACB.
222 Indicações para o cultivo de girassol nos Estados do Rio Grande do Sul, Paraná, Mato
223 Grosso do Sul, Mato Grosso, Goiás e Roraima. Comunicado Técnico 78, Embrapa
224 Soja. Londrina. 2007;1-4. Portuguese. Accessed 02 Feb 2019.
225 Available: <https://ainfo.cnptia.embrapa.br/digital/bitstream/item/18674/1/comtec78.pdf>
226

227 5. Izquierdo NG, Dosio GAA, Cantarero M, Luján J, Aguirrezábal LAN. Weight per grain, oil
228 concentration, and solar radiation intercepted during grain filling in black hull and striped hull
229 sunflower hybrids. Crop Science. 2008;48(2):688-699. DOI: 10.2135/cropsci2007.06.0339
230

231 6. Thomaz GL, Zagonel J, Colasante LO, Nogueira RR. Produção do girassol e teor de óleo
232 nos aquênios em função da temperatura do ar, precipitação pluvial e radiação solar. Ciência
233 Rural. 2012;42(8):1380-1385. Portuguese.
234

235 7. Regitano Neto A., Miguel AMRO, Mourad AL, Henriques EA, Alves RMV. Efeito da
236 Temperatura no Perfil de Ácidos Graxos do Óleo de Girassol. Documentos 262, Embrapa
237 Semiárido. Petrolina. 2015;1-7. Portuguese. Accessed 15 Feb 2019.
238 Available: <https://www.alice.cnptia.embrapa.br/alice/bitstream/doc/1017979/1/691.pdf>

- 239 8. Silva ARA, Bezerra FML, Sousa CCM, Pereira Filho JV, Freitas CAS. Desempenho de
240 cultivares de girassol sob diferentes lâminas de irrigação no Vale do Curu, CE.
241 RevistaCiênciaAgrônômica. 2011;42(1):57-64.Portuguese. DOI: 10.1590/S1806-
242 66902011000100008
- 243 9. Aguirrezábal LAN, Lavaud Y, Dosio AA, Izquierdo NG, Andrade FH, González LM.
244 Intercepted Solar Radiation during Seed Filling Determines Sunflower Weight per Seed and
245 Oil Concentration. Crop Science.2003;43(1):152-161. DOI: 10.2135/cropsci2003.0152
- 246 10. Gomes AHS, Chaves LHG, Guerra HOC. Drip irrigated sunflower intercropping.
247 American Journal of Plant Sciences.2015;6(11):1816-1821.DOI: 10.4236/ajps.2015.611182
- 248 11. Porto WS, Carvalho CGP, Pinto RJB, Oliveira MF, Barneche ACO. Evaluation of
249 sunflower cultivars for central Brazil.Scientia Agricola. 2008;65(2):139-144. DOI:
250 10.1590/S0103-90162008000200005
- 251 12. Thornthwaite CW, Mather JR. The water balance.Centeron: Drexel Institute of
252 Technology; 1955.
- 253 13. Doorenbos J,Kassam AH. Efeito da água no rendimento das culturas. Campina Grande:
254 UFPB; 1994.Portuguese.
- 255 14. Carvalho DB. Análise de crescimento de girassol em sistema de semeadura direta.
256 Revista Acadêmica: Ciências Agrárias e Ambientais. 2004;2(4):63-70.Portuguese. DOI:
257 10.7213/ciencianimal.v2i4.15135
- 258 15. Shimakura SE. Correlação. In: CE003 - Estatística II. Paraná: Dep. de Estatística da
259 Universidade Federal do Paraná; 2006.Portuguese.
- 260 16. Paiva Sobrinho S,Tieppo RC, Silva TJA. Desenvolvimento inicial de plantas de girassol
261 em condições de estresse hídrico. Enciclopédia Biosfera. 2011;7(12):1-12.Portuguese.
- 262 17. Ivanoff MEA, Uchôa SCP, Alves JMA, Smiderle OJ, Sediyaama T. Formas de aplicação
263 de nitrogênio em três cultivares de girassol na savana de Roraima. Revista Ciência
264 Agrônômica. 2010;41(3):319-325.Portuguese. DOI: 10.1590/S1806-66902010000300001
- 265 18. Dutra CC, Prado EAF, Paim LR, Scalon SPQ. Desenvolvimento de plantas de girassol
266 sob diferentes condições de fornecimento de água. Semina: Ciências Agrárias.
267 2012;33(1):2657-2668.Portuguese.DOI: 10.5433/1679-0359.2012v33Supl1p2657
- 268 19. Bezerra FTC, Dutra AS, Bezerra MAF, Oliveira FILHO AFD, Barros GDL.
269 Comportamento vegetativo e produtividade de girassol em função do arranjo espacial das
270 plantas. Revista Ciência Agrônômica. 2014;45(2):335-343.Portuguese. DOI:
271 10.1590/S1806-66902014000200015
- 272 20. Gazzolaet al. A cultura do girassol. Piracicaba: Escola Superior de Agricultura Luiz de
273 Queiroz; 2012.
- 274 21. Amorim EP, Ramos NP, Ungaro MRG, Kiihl TAM. Correlações e análise de trilha em
275 girassol. Bragantia. 2008;67(2):307-316.Portuguese. DOI: 10.1590/S0006-
276 87052008000200006

- 277 22. Castro C, Moreira A, Oliveira RF, Dechen AR. Boro e estresse hídrico na produção do
278 girassol. *Ciência e Agrotecnologia*. 2006;30(2):214-220. Portuguese. DOI: 10.1590/S1413-
279 70542006000200004
- 280 23. Silva AG, Pires R, Morães EB, Oliveira ACB, Carvalho CGP. Desempenho de híbridos
281 de girassol em espaçamentos reduzidos. *Semina: Ciências Agrárias*. 2009;30(1):31-
282 38. Portuguese. DOI: 10.5433/1679-0359.2009v30n1p31
- 283 24. Harris HC, McWilliam JR, Mason NK. Influence of temperature on oil content and
284 composition of sunflower seed. *Australian Journal of Agricultural Research*.
285 1978;29(6):1203-1212. DOI: 10.1071/AR9781203
- 286 25. Denčić S, Mladenov N, Kobiljski B. Effects of genotype and environment on breadmaking
287 quality in wheat. *International Journal of Plant Production*. 2011;5(1):71-82. DOI:
288 10.22069/IJPP.2012.721
- 289 26. Ma T, Zeng W, Li Q, Wu J, Huang J. Effects of water, salt and nitrogen stress on
290 sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) at different growth stages. *Journal of Soil Science and*
291 *Plant Nutrition*. 2016;16(4):1024-1037. DOI: 10.4067/S0718-95162016005000075
- 292 27. Anastasi U, Santonoceto C, Giuffre AM, Sortino O, Abbate V. Yield performance and
293 grain lipid composition of standard and oleic sunflower as affected by water supply. *Field*
294 *Crops Research*. 2010;119(1):145-153. DOI: 10.1016/j.fcr.2010.07.001
- 295 28. Gomes EP, Fedri G, Ávila MR, Biscaro GA, Rezende RKS, Jordan RA. Produtividade de
296 grãos, óleo e massa seca de girassol sob diferentes lâminas de irrigação suplementar.
297 *Revista Brasileira de Engenharia Agrícola e Ambiental*. 2012;16(3):237-246. Portuguese.
298 DOI: 10.1590/S1415-43662012000300001
- 299 29. Castro C, Farias JRB. Ecofisiologia do girassol. In: Leite RMVBC, Brighenti AM, Castro
300 C. *Girassol no Brasil*. Londrina: EmbrapaSoja; 2005. Portuguese.