

1 **B ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE**
2 **COMPONENTS OF TREE BIOMASS IN AN**
3 **INTEGRATED CROP-LIVESTOCK-FOREST**
4 **SYSTEM**
5

6 **ABSTRACT**

7 **Aims:** **The objective of** this study **was to** performed the adjustment of volumetric models,
8 and **to** determined the biomass of *Eucalyptus grandis* x *Eucalyptus urophylla* hybrid
9 cultivated in a crop-livestock-forest integration system (CLF).

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

10 **Study design:** The experimental area consists ofn a crop-livestock-forest integration system
11 where trees **tend to east-west direction**. **The trees** are hybrids clones of seven year old
12 *Eucalyptus grandis* x *Eucalyptus urophylla* **who were seven years old**.

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

13 **Place and duration of study:** This e present work was carried out at Fazenda Santa
14 Brígida, Ipameri, Goiás (Brazil). **The forest inventory was carried out in 2015**.

15 **Methodology:** A forest inventory of the area was carried out in October 2015 when the tree
16 component was fully developed. Diameter at breast height (at 1.30 m) total height of trees
17 were measured in the field and categorized according to 4 classes. Afterwards a forest
18 **inventory**, 12 trees were felled, which were cubed and compartmentalized to determine the
19 volume and biomass of their components. The volumetric models developed by Schumacher
20 & Hall and Ogaya were applied to obtain determination coefficients.

21 **Results:** Present data on DBH and Height of trees first, [see sequence of methodology
22 above] The highest volumes of wood were observed in the diametric classes that presented
23 the largest number of individuals, however in the class of higher DBH an average individual
24 volume of 0.36 m³ of wood was observed. The total biomass of *Eucalyptus* was 56.64 Mg
25 ha⁻¹, being 83.70% wood, 6.52% in branches, 6.37% in bark and 3.40% in leaves.

Formatted: Superscript

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Superscript

26 **Conclusion:** The volumetric models developed by Schumacher **& and Hall and as well as**
27 Ogaya were found to be suitable applicable for estimating the volume of wood in CLF

28 | systems, where both showed [a the](#) determination coefficients of 0.866.

29 | *Keywords: compartmentalization; eucalyptus; CLFS; volume, modeling*

30

31 | 1. INTRODUCTION

32 | Crop-livestock-forest (CLF) integration has been proposed as an economically viable
33 | production technology for the recovery and renovation of degraded areas in the Cerrados, [a](#)
34 | [vast tropical savanna ecoregion of Brazil \[indicate authors who proposed this technique\]](#).
35 | [The main habitat types of the Cerrado include: forest savanna, wooded savanna, park](#)
36 | [savanna and gramineous-woody savanna. Savanna wetlands and gallery forests are also](#)
37 | [included \[1 - VASCONCELOS, Vitor Vieira; VASCONCELOS, Caio Vieira; VASCONCELOS,](#)
38 | [Davi Mourão Phyto-Environmental Characterization of Brazilian Savanna \(Cerrado\) and](#)
39 | [Brazilian Atlantic Forest, with the Research of Stone Lines and Paleosols Geografia. Ensino](#)
40 | [& Pesquisa \(UFMS\), v. 14, p. 3, 2010.\] Present a broad outline of what this technique entail](#)
41 | [in Paragraph 1.](#)

42 | In addition to the formation or recovery of pastures, this technique favors the production of
43 | grains [cultivars](#) along with the exploitation of tree biomass production [for its own purposes](#),
44 | either simultaneously, sequentially, or rotationally [1]. [Integrated CLF systems,](#)
45 | [involving the three components, allow for the intensive and sustainable use of the soil](#)
46 | [with profitability, since the year of its implementation.](#) The intensification of the
47 | production [observed](#) has several benefits to the producer and the environment, such as:
48 | improving the physical, chemical, and biological conditions of the soil, increasing the cycling
49 | and efficiency for the use of nutrients, reducing production costs of agriculture and livestock,
50 | opening new areas for production, [as well asnd](#) diversifying and stabilizing the income [in of](#)
51 | the [producerrural property](#) [2]. The included tree component biomass [in the integrated](#)
52 | [systems](#) promotes benefits ranging from soil protection to availability of nutrients and
53 | organic matter in the soil by the deposition of leaves and tree branches [3,4]. [\[Paragraph 2 is](#)
54 | [just about benefits of CLF systems.](#)

55 | *Eucalyptus* has been presented as a good option in the integrated CLF due to
56 | its rustic nature, rapid growth, great utilization, and economic value in the market, being an
57 | alternative for farmers interested in wood production [5]. Expand this 3rd paragraph focusing
58 | on Eucalyptus.]

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Superscript

59 | In the integration of **crop-livestock-forest**CLF, one of the challenges lies in the
60 | careful planning of the system, in defining short, medium, and long-term actions. The
61 | competition for light between forest species and agricultural and pastoral crops requires
62 | special attention, as this directly influences the productivity of the system. However, this
63 | competition can be reduced by selecting genetic material, adapting the planting arrangement
64 | of the tree component, and silvicultural treatments, which, in addition to adding value to the
65 | wood, also allows for greater light entry into the integration system that contributes to the
66 | maintenance or increase in the productivity of the other components [such as?] [6,1].

67 | The configuration of tree component arrangements may influence plant height,
68 | diameter of breast height (DBH), and volume of wood. Clemente [7] verified that integrated
69 | systems with single and double row arrangements provided higher volumes of wood. In their
70 | study, Oliveira et al. [8] verified higher volumes of *Eucalyptus* wood in integrated systems
71 | with forages, than in monoculture.

Formatted: Font: Italic

72 | The balanced relationship between the integrated CLF components is important
73 | for the expression of the productive potential of the species involved. In the case of tree
74 | species, especially the fast-growing ones such as *Eucalyptus*, accumulation and biomass
75 | production are influenced by age of trees, among other factors. In the juvenile phase,
76 | accumulation is higher in the canopy components, whereas a greater increase of biomass in
77 | the trunk component is perceived over time [9].

Formatted: Font: Italic

78 | However, this work had the **objective aim** of adjusting volumetric models and
79 | determining the biomass of the *Eucalyptus grandis* x *Eucalyptus urophylla* hybrid cultivated
80 | in an integrated crop-livestock-forest (CLF) system in Ipameri / Goiás (Brazil).

81

82 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

This studye present work was carried out at Fazenda Santa Brígida in the municipality of Ipameri — Goiás (Brazil), located at 17° 39'22" south latitude and longitude west of 48° 12'22", and at an altitude of 800 m a.s.l. [8]. According to the classification of Köppen-Geiger [10], the climate of the region is Aw (tropical savannah with dry season in winter), and the average temperature of the region varies between 22 and 23°C. The mean annual rainfall is around between 1200 and 1400 mm, having a wet period comprised of seven months from October to April, and the remaining five months characterize the dry season [10].

According to Embrapa [11], the soil of the experimental area is classified as red latosol, being naturally acidic and with low base saturation with good drainage and sand-clay texture.

The experimental area consists of an integrated crop-livestock-forest system that tends towards the east-west direction. Tree planting was carried out with clones of the hybrid *Eucalyptus grandis* x *Eucalyptus urophylla* (GG 100) in 2008 in an area of approximately four hectares (ha). The trees were arranged in double rows (1 m x 1 m x 26 m), occupying 1.4 ha of the total area of the system [8].

83 Before establishment of the seedlings, soil acidity was corrected with the use of
84 two tons per hectare of dolomitic limestone and one ton of gypsum. At planting, the base
85 fertilization used was 400 kg ha⁻¹ of yoorin thermophosphate and 180 grams (g ha⁻¹) of NPK
86 formulation 06-30-30, supplemented with 0.4 g ha⁻¹ of zinc, 0.2 g ha⁻¹ copper, and 0.2 g ha⁻¹
87 boron. The half of this composition was incorporated into the bottom of the planting pit, and
88 the remainder was distributed twenty 20 days after planting in two lateral holes located 10
89 cm from the seedlings.

90 15 months after of planting, a pruning was performed, and at 30 months, the
91 third cover fertilization was applied using NPK formulation 00 - 00 - 36 with the addition of
92 0.2 g ha⁻¹ of copper and 0.6 g ha⁻¹ of boron per plant, provided in continuous fillet in the

93 crown projection.

94

95 2.1 Determination of *Eucalyptus* biomass

Formatted: Font: Italic

96 The forest inventory of the area was carried out in October 2015 when the tree component
97 was fully developed, seven years after planting. DBH (diameter at breast height at 1.30 m
98 above ~~eters~~ in relation to soil level) and H (total height of trees) were measured in the field
99 with the aid of a caliper and the use of a clinometer. For the ~~of DBH and H~~ measurements, a
100 systematic sampling was carried out with regular intervals ~~onfor~~ every ~~sixth~~ tree lines in
101 which ~~the~~ measurements ~~of DBH and H~~ were made ~~o~~in the two individuals that composed
102 it.

103 Based on the data obtained from the forest inventory, the trees were distributed
104 in four classes of diameters (Table 2.1). Subsequently, three individuals were felled for
105 sampling in each diameter class, considering the lower, middle, and upper limits, totaling 12
106 trees.

107

108 **Table 2.1.** Diametric distribution (cm) of *Eucalyptus* in the integrated CLF system ~~in the~~
109 ~~municipality of Ipameri / Goiás in 2015.~~

Class i Interval	Class c Center	Number of s Sampled i Individuals
9.1 – 14	11.5	16
14.1 – 19	16.5	59
19.1 – 24	21.5	80
24.1 – 29	26.5	6

110

111 After the trees ~~had been~~were felled, they were subjected to rigorous sampling,
112 according to the method developed by Smalian and described by ~~Finger~~ [12]. The ~~height~~

113 points for taking diameters with and without bark were: 0.10 m, 0.30 m, 1.30 m, 2.30 m, and
114 so on, at one meter intervals up to full height.

115 | After measuring the diameters, the trunk was sectioned into 1_m_-long logs to
116 | the point where the diameter was seven centimeters (commercial diameter). From there, up
117 | to a diameter of three centimeters was considered as tip of the trees, and the remaining
118 | portions to the apex were considered branches. For the determination of the dry weight of
119 | wood, the methodology developed by [Schumacher](#) [13] was used, in which three samples
120 | were taken along the trunk. The total height of the tree was divided into three sections, and
121 | the midpoint of each third of the tree was taken to compose the sample. Each sampling point
122 | was composed of the complete disc of the tree cylinder that had a thickness of ten
123 | centimeters.

124 | After sectioning, the logs were weighed both with and without bark to determine
125 | the wet weight of the wood and bark. The tree canopy, in turn, was divided into two
126 | components: leaves and branches. These components were also weighed in the field and
127 | properly sampled to determine the dry weight in the laboratory, as well as to determine wood
128 | biomass (WB), branch biomass (BB), and leaf biomass (LB).

129 | The biomass samples were sent to the Forest Ecology Laboratory (ECOFLOR)
130 | of the Federal University of Goiás. They were placed in a force air circulation oven at 65°C
131 | for drying until the weight of the samples remained stable to obtain the dry mass of the
132 | components with a precision digital scale (0.01 g).

133 | [Data analysis](#)

134 | In order to relate the DBHs and biomass components of each tree, linear regressions were
135 | performed for each component: wood, bark, branches, and leaves. For the volumetric
136 | models, the DBH and the total height of the tree were considered the independent variables,
137 | and the total volumes and the trunk with the bark were dependent variables. Four volumetric
138 | models, one single-entry and three double-entry, were chosen because they were the most
139 | used for the quantification of the production in forest stands and have not yet been tested in

140 integrated CLF systems. The models tested are described in Table 2.2.

Table 2.2. Volumetric models tested **in the present work.**

Author	Type	Model
Husch	Single entry	$V = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{DAP}$
Ogaya	Double entry	$V = \text{DAP}^2 (\beta_0 + \beta_1 H)$
Schumacher & Hall (log)	Double entry	$V = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{Ln}(\text{DAP}) + \beta_2 \text{Ln}(H)$
Spurr (log)	Double entry	$V = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{Ln}(\text{DAP}^2 H)$

DBH= diameter at breast height; H = total height; β_0 = value of the height estimated when the diameter is zero; β_1 = slope of the line, which corresponds to the value of the first derivative; β_2 = rate of change in volume (m^3) as height (m) variation occurs with constant DBH (cm); β_3 = coefficient of the multivariate model.

The volumetric models were adjusted and evaluated by means of adjustment and precision statistics, following the importance proposed by [Draper and Smith](#) [14]: graphical analysis of the residues; estimate of the standard error in percentage (Syx%) that indicates the proximity between the estimated values and those observed and the closer to zero the model and the determination coefficient (R^2) that shows how much the dependent variables are explained by the independents and, in this case, the closer to a better model.

141

142 **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION – this is a Full research paper – where results**
143 **and discussion are separate sections – please correct by using the**
144 **same headings in the same sequence in both sections.**

145 **3.4.**

146 **3.1 Determination of eucalyptus biomass**

147 The integrated crop-livestock-forest (CLF) system evaluated presents a density of 303 trees
148 per hectare. This occupied 33.65% of the area designated to the system and an average
149 production of 0.18 m^3 of wood per tree, totaling a volume of wood without bark of 54.80 m^3
150 ha in the studied system. The remaining 66.35% were destined to other economic activities

151 | within the integration, such as agricultural and forage production. [This which favors the
 152 | diversification of crops in time and space, taking into account the integration
 153 | presuppositions. - This is not adequate as a discussion of the numerous results
 154 | presented in this paragraph – what are reasons for the findings, are the findings in
 155 | line with similar studies, what are the impact of the results, what conclusions can be
 156 | drawn, what recommendations can be proposed.]

157 | After performing the forest inventory, the diameter distribution was analyzed, and
 158 | four diameter classes were obtained. It is notable can be noticed that the height, density,
 159 | and volume of wood without bark were higher in class III, being 30.33 m, 151 trees ha⁻¹, and
 160 | 40.06 m³ ha⁻¹, respectively (Table 2.3). Through the dendrometric characteristics of this
 161 | integrated CLF system, it is possible to verify a trend in relation to the height behavior of the
 162 | plants and their DBHs, being that the DBH tends to increase as the height increases [is this
 163 | linear or parabolic increase?). This is contrary to the expected behavior in more
 164 | homogeneous forest stands where trees with higher heights and smaller diameters are
 165 | observed [indicate studies were this was observed].

166 |
 167 | **Table 2.3.** Dendrometric characteristics of *Eucalyptus grandis* x *Eucalyptus urophylla* grown
 168 | in the integrated CLF system at Fazenda Santa Brígida in the municipality of Ipameri / Goiás
 169 | / 2015.

Diameters Classes (cm)	Average Mean height (m)	Average mean DBH (cm)	Density(tree. ha ⁻¹)	Volume of wood without bark (m ³ .ha ⁻¹)
I (9-14)	12.58	10.45	30	1.25
II (14,1-19)	20.73	14.53	111	9.45
III(19,1-24)	30.33	21.57	151	40.06
IV(24,1-29)	30.22	26.55	11	4.04

Total	23.47	18.28	303	54.80
-------	-------	-------	-----	-------

170

171 | Generally, resource availability tends to be higher [\[where?\]](#), reflecting higher
172 | growth in broader [\[less dense?\]](#) plantations [15]. This fact can be observed in this study
173 | because in spite of the densification of the trees in the planting lines, the spacing between
174 | the eucalyptus ridges provides greater light availability in this integrated CLF system. This
175 | causes the effect observed in the height [\[indicate studies were this was correlation was](#)
176 | [observed\]](#), DBH [\[indicate studies were this was correlation was observed\]](#), and wood volume
177 | [\[indicate studies were this was correlation was observed\]](#) that can be attributed [more](#) to the
178 | lesser effect of resource competition than to continuous plantings where the height and DBH
179 | ratio are inversely related [\(indicate graph/figure and R-value to illustrate this statement\)](#). [\[Are](#)
180 | [the findings in line with silimar studies, what are the impact of the results, what conclusions](#)
181 | [can be drawn, what recommendations can be proposed. - This is not adequate as a](#)
182 | [discussion\]](#)


183

184 | The maximum and minimum diameter found in this integrated CLF ranged from 9.4
185 | to 28.25 cm, and the highest tree density were located in classes II and III, which
186 | consequently contributed with a higher volume of wood within the ILPF system (Figure 2.3).
187 | In its study with [Eucalyptus](#) clones GG100 (*E. grandis* x *E. urophylla*) of 4.5 years, [Cerdeira](#)
188 | [16] observed a diametric variation between 5.0 and 17.1 cm. [Cerdeira \[16\]They \[only 1](#)
189 | [author\]](#) also reported that the classes of greater diameter were those that presented the
190 | greater number of individuals, a DBH variation close to that of the present study, but the
191 | central classes were those with the highest number of individuals. [What are the impacts of](#)
192 | [the results, what conclusions can be drawn, what recommendations can be proposed. - This](#)
193 | [is not adequate as a discussion\]](#)

194

195 | Although Class IV presents the highest average wood volume per tree of 0.36
m³, its contribution to the system is around 10%, among the individuals of lower number

196 present in this class. However, classes III and II were the ones that concentrated the largest
197 number of individuals, being responsible for 86.4% of the wood produced in this area of the
198 integrated CLF with an average volume of 0.26 and 0.08 m³, respectively, per individual
199 within the classes.



200

201 Figure 2.3. Volume of wood (m³ ha⁻¹) in different diametric classes of *Eucalyptus* trees in an
202 integrated crop-livestock-forest system in Ipameri / Goiás.

203 When evaluating three 32-month-old eucalyptus trees (*E. urophylla* x *E.*
204 *grandis*), Torres et al. (2016) found volumes of wood without bark ranging from 0.01 to 0.24
205 m³ for DBHs between 6.79 and 20.8 cm. These results are similar to those verified in the
206 present work in the corresponding diametric classes.

207 The total biomass produced by the hybrid GG 100 in this integrated CLF was
208 56642.76 kg ha⁻¹, and the trees belonging to class III contributed the most in this production.
209 In general, 38303.00 kg ha⁻¹ of biomass were quantified through individuals with DBH
210 between 19.1 and 24 cm 24.1 and 29 cm, contributing 67.62% of the total produced (Table
211 2.4).

212 Among the components analyzed in the eucalyptus, the wood biomass
 213 contributed the most to the total biomass of the integrated CLF trees with 83.70%, followed
 214 by the branches with 6.52%. Considering the trunk biomass (wood + bark), this was 90.07%
 215 and the contribution of the canopy (leaves + branches) was 9.92% (Table 2.4).

217 **Table 2.4.** Eucalyptus wood biomass (WB), bark biomass (KB), branch biomass (BB), and
 218 leaf biomass (LF) with seven years of integrated CLF cultivation in Ipameri /
 219 Goiás.


Class	Class I (9-14 cm)	Class II (14.1-19 cm)	Class III (19.1-24 cm)	Class IV (24.1-29 cm)	Total of components
LB (kg ha ⁻¹)	169.80	615.93	992.19	149.23	1927.15 (3.40)*
KB (kg ha ⁻¹)	393.60	1008.29	1974.81	318.41	3695.11 (6.52)
BB (kg ha ⁻¹)	139.31	859.96	2363.32	245.73	3608.32 (6.37)
WB (kg ha ⁻¹)	1540.81	9918.34	32972.68	2980.35	47412.18 (83.70)
Total Biomass	2243.52 (3.96)	12402.52 (21.90)	38303.00 (67.62)	3693.72 (6.52)	56642.76

220 * Values in parentheses refer to the percentage of component contribution in relation to total
 221 biomass.

222 Evaluating biomass components in eucalyptus stands with different ages, [13]
 223 verified a trunk biomass around 80.3% for plantations with 8 years, a result that is consistent
 224 with the present study.

225 In the present work, it was verified that the order of contribution of biomasses in
 226 the different components was Wood>Branches>Bark>Leaves (Figure 2.4). These results
 227 were similar to those verified by [17] in Allegrete//RS in homogeneous plantation of *E. dunnii*
 228 with four years of age and those reported by [18] in Campos das Vertentes/MG using
 229 eucalyptus clones I-144 with 6.5 years of age. [What are the impact of the results, what](#)

230 | [conclusions can be drawn, what recommendations can be proposed. - This is not adequate](#)
231 | [as a discussion\]](#)



232 |
233 | Figure 2.4. Contribution of eucalyptus biomass from different components and diametric
234 | classes in the integrated CLF system in the Ipameri / Goiás municipality.

235 |
236 | Different results to the present work were observed by [19] when working with
237 | *E. benthamii* in an CLF at 12 months of age, [20] with *Eucalyptus* sp. of three years of age
238 | and [21] in eucalyptus in the agroforestry system of 18 months, where they verified the
239 | inversion in the production of leaves and bark. This explains the effect of biomass
240 | distribution during the different tree development phases, as the first one focused on leaf
241 | expansion and the second on the development of trunks and leaf area limitation [22,13].


242 | In his study comparing different commercial clones of eucalyptus in integrated
243 | CLF systems in the municipality of Juara/MT, [6] verified that GG100 eucalyptus was the
244 | one that allocated the largest biomass in the trunk when planted in double lines
245 | corresponding to 62.6% of the total biomass of the trees at 15 months of age. Moreover, this

246 behavior was maintained at seven years, as verified in the present work with the same clone
247 in which this percentage reached 90.07% as predicted by [23].

248 From a commercial and structural point of view, the objective of the cultivator is
249 to increase the volume of the trunk and to improve the quality of the wood. Less biomass in
250 the branches is desirable since the primary product is the wood for commercialized [6].

251 In Figure 2.5, it can be verified through the regression analysis that the linear
252 model was adequate to explain the increase of the biomass of the different components in
253 relation to the DBHs. One can observe an intense relation between these, mainly for wood
254 and bark, and with lower intensity with the branches.

UNDER PEER REVIEW



255

256 | Figure 2.5. Production of the different components of biomass of *Eucalyptus grandis* x

257 *Eucalyptus urophylla* in relation to DBH in an integrated CLF system in the city

258 of Ipameri / Goiás.

259 The biomass gains of wood and bark due to the increase of the DBH were
 260 homogeneous. In other words, as the DAP increased, the biomass of these components
 261 also increased, which is justified by the high values of the coefficient of determination
 262 presented in the respective regressions (R2 0.9229 and R2 0.8604). While the biomass of
 263 leaves and branches did not present significant increases with the increase of DBH (R2
 264 0.6647 and R2 0.4672), which evidences the accumulation of biomass as a function of age.
 265 In more developed plantations, the biomass of the leaves and branches decrease [13].

3.2 Adjustments of volumetric models

266 Table 2.5 shows the adjustments for the different models tested as a function of height and
 267 DBH. Considering the graphical analysis of the residues, the standard error and the
 268 determination coefficient, the double entry models of Schumacher & Hall (log) (0.866 and
 269 21.33%) and Ogaya (0.866 and 20.78%) can be considered the most efficient to predict the
 270 volume of wood for an integrated CLF system in this spatial arrangement (Figure 2.6).


271

272 **Table 2.5.** Adjustments of volumetric models attributed to the eucalyptus plantation used in
 273 the integrated crop-livestock-forest system and their estimated coefficients (β),
 274 coefficient of determination (R2), and standard error (Syx %).

Models	β 0	β 1	β 2	R2	Syx(%)
Husch	-0.18775	0.031469	-	0.681	35.10
Ogaya	-0.27662	0.00045	0.019777	0.886	20.78
Schumacher & Hall (log)	-8.8478	0.617035	1.848882	0.886	21.33
Spurr (log)	-1.45173	0.207884	-	0.748	31.21

275

276



277

278 Figure 2.6. Waste residue distribution of the volumetric models tested for clones of
 279 *Eucalyptus grandis* x *Eucalyptus urophylla* in integrated CLF system in the city of Ipameri /
 280 Goiás.

281 However, all models tested had a satisfactory distribution of residues, an R2
282 ranging from 0.681 to 0.866 and a standard error of less than 35%, which makes it possible
283 to use these models to estimate the volume of wood in the integrated CLF system.

284 [24] consider the Näslund and Ogaya models as the most efficient to determine
285 the volume of wood in the Integrated CLF system with eucalyptus of six years of age in
286 Cachoeira Dourada / Goiás. These presented coefficients of determination of 99.5 and
287 99.1%, respectively. However, in spite of verifying a higher coefficient of
288 determination for the Schumacher & Hall model, [25] observed a standard error that was
289 considered high, another criterion used to indicate the volumetric model was the graphical
290 distribution of the residues. In this scenario, the Takata model was the most suitable for
291 estimating the volume of wood in a settlement of seven years of *E. urophylla* in Niquelândia,
292 north of Goiás.

 In their study with a silvipastoral system in the region of Coronel
Pacheco/MG, [\[add author name\]](#)[26] tested different volumetric models to estimate the
volume of eucalyptus trees, and they found that the Schumacher & Hall model presented the
best fit for those conditions, as also verified in the present work. This demonstrated that the
Schumacher & Hall model has also been used for the integrated CLF system, since its
statistical properties almost always result in non-biased estimates.

293 With regards to the tree component of the integrated CLF system evaluated, a
294 forest inventory was carried out to verify that at seven years after planting, the total biomass
295 produced by the *Eucalyptus grandis* x *Eucalyptus urophylla* hybrid presented 56.64 Mg ha⁻¹,
296 a mean tree height of 25 m, and a chest height of 18. 222 cm. This biomass presented a
297 distribution with greater quantity in the wood component, followed by the branches, bark,
298 and leaves.

299 Although crop-livestock-forest integration presents limitations in its operation,
300 this system becomes feasible from an adequate planning that meets the production
301 demands of the property in the short, medium, and long term. Although it is a complex

302 system because of the need to optimize the production conditions of each component, it is
303 necessary to know the ecophysiology of the plants that will make up the integration.
304 Besides the aggregate environmental benefits, this is important to determine if the
305 productivity of the system is satisfactory to meet the social and economic demands and,
306 thus, achieve the precepts of sustainability.

307 The environmental and productive importance of the integrated CLF system
308 can be considered for the need to deepen the knowledge of the behavior of each component
309 of the integration and prompted the interest in carrying out this research. It can be concluded
310 that, finally, the initial objectives were reached, and it is, therefore, time for these results to
311 be released.

312 Another aspect to be considered is the need for continuation of this research,
313 both for this region of the Cerrado of Goiás and for the other regions of the Country. It is
314 known that many agricultural systems, conducted in an inadequate way, have contributed to
315 the degradation of environmental quality and, due to this condition, seek to maintain
316 production through the opening of new arable areas. Knowledge of crop-livestock-forest
317 integration, as well as studies on the various possibilities of system implementation, are
318 important factors for the productivity of agroecosystem and reduction of negative impacts on
319 the Cerrado and other biomes.

320

321 4.5. CONCLUSIONS

322 The highest average volume of wood per tree was verified in the highest DBH class; The
323 volumetric models of Schumacher & Hall and Ogaya were efficient to estimate the volume of
324 wood in the integrated CLF system; The biomass of *Eucalyptus grandis* x *Eucalyptus*
325 *urophylla* was 56.64 Mg ha⁻¹, and 90.07% was present in the components of the trunk, while
326 the others allocated in the canopy. Adequate cultural (debris and thinning) treatment
327 throughout the crop cycle has negatively influenced the development of culture.

328

329 **REFERENCES**

- 330 1. VIANA MCM, ALVARENGA RC, MASCARENHAS MHT, MACEDO GAR, SILVA EA,
331 SILVA KT, RIBEIRO PCO. Consorciação de Culturas com o Eucalipto no Sistema de
332 Integração Lavoura-Pecuária Floresta. In: XXIX CONGRESSO NACIONAL DE MILHO E
333 SORGO, 29, Águas de Lindóia. Anais...[?] Águas de Lindóia, SP: Associação Brasileira de
334 Milho e Sorgo (ABMS), 2012. Portuguese.
- 335
- 336 2. GONTIJO NETO MM, VIANA MCM, ALVARENGA RC, SANTOS EA, SIMÃO EP,
337 CAMPANHA MM. Sistemas de integração Lavoura Pecuária Floresta em Minas Gerais.
338 Boletim de Industria Animal, 2014; 71: 183-191. Portuguese
- 339
- 340 3. XAVIER DF, LÉDO FJS, PACIULLO DSC, PIRES MFÁ, BODDEY RM. Dinâmica da
341 serapilheira em pastagens de braquiária em sistema silvipastoril e monocultura. Pesquisa
342 Agropecuária Brasileira, 2011; 46: 1214-1219. Portuguese.
- 343
- 344 4. GATTO A, BARROS NF, NOVAIS RF, SILVA FR, LEITE FP, VILLANI EMA. Estoques de
345 carbono no solo e na biomassa em plantações de eucalipto. Revista Brasileira de Ciências
346 do Solo, 2010; 34: 1069-1079. Portuguese.
- 347
- 348 5. TORRES CMME, OLIVEIRA AC, PEREIRA BLC, JACOVINE LAG, OLIVEIRA NETO SN,
349 CARNEIRO ACO. Estimativas da produção e propriedades da madeira de eucalipto em
350 Sistemas Agroflorestais. Scientia Forestalis, 2016; 44: 137-148. Portuguese.
- 351
- 352 6. TONINI H, MORALES MM, MENEGUCI JLP, ANTONIO DBA, WRUCK FJ. Biomassa e
353 área foliar de clones de eucalipto para a desrama. Nativa, 2016; 4: 271-276. Portuguese.
- 354
- 355 7. CLEMENTE MA. Características agrônômicas do sorgo e eucalipto em diferentes

356 arranjos espaciais. 51 f. Dissertation (Master Degree) – Federal University of Uberlândia,
357 2015. Portuguese.

358

359 8. OLIVEIRA P, FREITAS RJ, KLUTHCOUSKI J, RIBEIRO AA, CORDEIRO LAM,
360 TEIXEIRA LP, MELO RAC, SILVA A, VILELA L, BALBINO LC. Evolução de sistemas de
361 integração lavoura-pecuária-floresta (ILPF): estudo de caso da fazenda Santa Brígida,
362 Ipameri, Go. 2 ed. Planaltina: Embrapa Cerrados, 2015. 50 p. Portuguese.

363

364 9. SAIDELLES FLF, KÖNIG FG, SCHUMACHER MV. Avaliação da biomassa e dos
365 nutrientes em espécies florestais de rápido crescimento. In: 1 Simpósio Brasileiro de Pós-
366 Graduação em Engenharia Florestal. Anais...Santa Maria: Perspectivas e Tendência da
367 Pesquisa Florestal, 2001; 1: 134-144. Portuguese.

368

369 10. CARDOSO MRD, MARCUZZO FFN, BARROS JR. Classificação Climática de Köppen-
370 Geiger para o Estado de Goiás e o Distrito Federal. Acta Geográfica. 2014; 8: 44-55.
371 Portuguese.

372

373 11. EMBRAPA. Sistema brasileiro de classificação de solos. 3. ed. Rio de Janeiro-RJ:
374 Embrapa Solos, 2013. 306p. Portuguese.

375

376 12. FINGER CAG. Fundamentos de biometria florestal. Santa Maria: UFSM/ CEPEF/
377 FATEC, 1992. 269 p. Portuguese.

378

379 13. SCHUMACHER MV, WITSCHORECK R, CALIL FN. Biomassa em povoamentos de
380 *Eucalyptus spp.* de pequenas propriedades rurais em Vera Cruz, RS. Ciência Florestal
381 (UFSM. Impresso), 2011; 21: 17-22. Portuguese.

382

- 383 14. DRAPER NR, SMITH H. Applied regression analysis. New York: Jonh Willey & Sons,
384 407 p. 1966.
385
- 386 15. REINER DA, SILVEIRA ER, SZABO MS. O uso do eucalipto em diferentes
387 espaçamentos como alternativa de renda e suprimento da pequena propriedade na região
388 sudoeste do Paraná. Synergismus scyentifica. 2011; 6 (1). Portuguese.
389
- 390 16. CERDEIRA ALN. Modelos para quantificação do volume de diferentes sortimentos em
391 plantio de *Eucalyptus urophylla* x *Eucalyptus grandis*. 66 f. Monography (Forest
392 Engeneering), University of Brasília, 2012. Portuguese.
393
- 394 17. GUIMARAES CC, SCHUMACHER MV, WITSHORECK R, SOUZA HP, SANTOS JC.
395 Biomassa e nutriente em povoamento de *Eucalyptus dunnii* Maiden no pampa gaúcho.
396 Revista Árvore. 2015; 39 (5): 873-882. Portuguese.
397
- 398 18. BENATTI BP. Compartimentalização de biomassa e nutrientes em estruturas de plantas
399 de eucalipto cultivadas em solos distintos. 114 f. Dissertation (Master Degree) – Federal
400 University of Lavras. 2013; Portuguese.
401
- 402 19. UTIMA, A. Y. Crescimento e rendimento dos componentes agrícola e arbóreo de um
403 sistema integrado de produção agropecuária no ano de implantação em área de proteção
404 ambiental. 78 f. Dissertation (Master Degree in Agronomy), Federal University of Paraná,
405 2015. Portuguese.
406
- 407 20. CARON BO, ELOY E, SOUZA VQ, SCHMIAT D, BALBINOT R, BEHILNG A,
408 MONTEIRO GC. Quantificação da Biomassa florestal em plantios de curta rotação com
409 diferentes espaçamentos. Comunicata Scientiae. 2015; 6 (1): 106-112. Portuguese.

410

411 21. CALIL FN, VIERA M, SCHUMACHER MV, LOPES VG, WITSCHORECK R. Biomassa e
412 nutrientes em Sistema agrossilvicultural no extremo sul do Brasil. *Ecologia e Nutrição*
413 *Florestal*. 2013; 1 (2): 80-88. Portuguese.

414

415 22. GONÇALVES JLM, STAPE JL, BENEDETTI V, FESSEL VAG, GAVA JL. Reflexos do
416 cultivo mínimo e intensivo do solo em sua fertilidade e na nutrição das árvores. In:
417 GONÇALVES, J. L. M.; BENEDETTI, V. (eds.) *Nutrição e fertilização florestal*. Piracicaba:
418 IPEF, 2005. 1-57. Portuguese.

419

420 23. MUÑOZ F, RUBILAR R, ESPINOSA M, CANCINO J, HERRERA M. The effect of
421 pruning and thinning on above ground aerial biomass of *Eucalyptus nitens* (Deane &
422 Maiden) Maiden. *Forest Ecology and Management*. 2008; 255 (3): 365-373.

423

424 24. LEMES JUNIOR JM, SILVA NETO CM, SOUZA KR, GUIMARÃES LE, OLIVEIRA FD,
425 GONÇALVES RA, MONTEIRO MM, LIMA NL, VENTUROLI F, CALIL FN. Volumetric
426 models for *Eucalyptus grandis* x *urophylla* in a crop-livestock-forest integration (CLFI)
427 system in the Brazilian cerrado. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*. 2016; 11 (15):
428 1336-1349.

429

430 25. MIGUEL, E. P. Avaliação biométrica e prognose da produção de *Eucalyptus urophylla*
431 (s.t. blake) na região norte do estado de Goiás. 166 f. Dissertation (Mester Degree in Forest
432 Engineering), Federal University of Paraná. 2009. Portuguese.

433

434 26. MÜLLER MD, FERNANDES EM, CASTRO CRT, PACIULLO DSC, ALVES FF.
435 Estimativa de acúmulo de biomassa e carbono em sistema agrossilvipastoril na zona da
436 mata mineira. *Pesquisa Florestal Brasileira*, 2009; 60: 11-17. Colombian.

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

UNDER PEER REVIEW

