



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_48499
Title of the Manuscript:	Selection of models for above-ground biomass in a Eucalyptus urophylla stand
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This ms contains useful information but needs heavy editing:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Text needs to be proofed and more concise – omit phrases such “It was observed...” in Line 185. 2. Line 51 – a hybrid clone? If so, identify it. 3. Move Lines 55-72 to Section 3.1 4. Table 1 footnotes don't match contents 5. Figure 1 needs a legend with definitions or add to caption. 6. Numbers should use “.” for decimal point. 7. Move Table 2 to after Figure 2 and cite it in Line 134. 8. Lines 154 and 173 – “shell” = bark? 9. Move Table 3 to Line 119 10. Add # of trees measured to Table 4 or its caption. 11. Replace Figure 4 with a table. <p>Conclusions can be expanded to include application of results. References format need to follow guidelines consistently.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>DL Rockwood</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>University of Florida, USA</i>