



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_48500
Title of the Manuscript:	Biomass production and nutritional efficiency in Eucalyptus genotypes in the Pampa Biome
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sd-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This ms contains useful information but needs heavy editing: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Text needs to be proofed and more concise – omit phrases such “it was verified that” in Line 141. 2. Figure 1 needs a legend with definitions. 3. Numbers should use “.” for decimal point. 4. Give formula for BUC calculation at end of Section 2.2 5. Add # of trees measured to Table 2; put superscripts after terms. 6. Third column in Table 3 is redundant. 7. Conclusions can be expanded. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	D. L. Rockwood
Department, University & Country	University of Florida, USA