SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_48523
Title of the Manuscript:	Maximum contact time of fertilizer with Piatã grass seed for implantation of integrated systems
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Title	
	It is necessary to revise the title	
	Abstract	
	It is necessary to revise the abstract	
	Keywords	
	It is necessary to revise the keywords	
	introduction	
	It is necessary to revise long sentences because tend to be more difficult to understand. Materials and Methods	
	It necessary to revise sentence construction and consider not starting sentence using To and For.	
	It necessary to revise complex sentences as they are complicated that a reader will get lost trying to follow its meaning.	
	It is necessary to revise long sentences and keep them simple and reduce wordy phrases It is necessary to revise data analysis	
	Results and Discussion	
	It is necessary to revise wordy sentences including long and complex sentences	
	It is necessary to revise references	
	It is necessary to revise discussion in the logical order to show patterns or themes among the	
	results.	
	Conclusion	
	It is necessary to revise conclusion and interpreted in relation to the results	
	References	
	It is necessary to follow journal guidelines and revise list of references following changes made on	
	previous sections (Materials & Methods, and Results and Discussion)	
Minor REVISION comments	Check formatting, spacing, units (e.g. ml) & punctuations	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is very relevant and has potential but requires major revisions and English	
	Language Specialist before it can be published.	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper. Kindly see the following link:

http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Poloko Emmanuel Mosebi
Department, University & Country	National University of Lesotho, Lesotho

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)