3

4

5

6 7 **9** 10

11 12

Original Research Article

Spore density and arbuscular_mycorrhizal colonization in sunflower

The objective of this study was to evaluate the number of spores and mycorrhizal root colonization in Cerrado soil, under sunflower cultivation. Sampling of rhizospheric soil occurred in three periods: sowing, flowering and sunflower harvest. The experimental design was a randomized complete block design with four replications. The evaluated parameters were number of total spores in 50 g of soil and arbuscular_mycorrhizal colonization. The mean number of spores was 247 and 232 in 2009 and 2010, respectively. For root colonization there was a difference between years. The maximum spore production occurred during the flowering period and mycorrhizal colonization was not influenced by the genotype. To accomplish, mycorrhiza favors the development of sunflower in lower levels of phosphorus in the soil.

13 14

Keywords: Helianthus annuus L.; soil; arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi, root colonization.

15 16

1. INTRODUCTION

ABSTRACT

17 18

19

20

21

Soil quality and the viability of improvements through chemical, physical and biological management are essential factors for success in agricultural production. In this context, the study and the use of soil microbial population has shown the way to link sustainability to efficiency.

26

27

The symbiotic association between plant and arbuscularmycorrhizal fungi(AMF)fungi is called mycorrhiza. Root colonization by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) generates several improvements; the plant provides photosynthates to the fungus, and this, through the branching and extension of the mycelium, increases the area of nutrient absorption for the plant [1]. Thus, AMFs can be used as an alternative to reduce the use of agricultural inputs, mainly fertilizers of chemical synthesis.

29 30

28 The influence of arbuscular rmycorrhizal fungi acts not only on soil particles aggregation but also on plant growth, providing essential nutrients [2] and improving their ability to withstand adverse conditions.

31 32

33

34

The sunflower cultivation (Helianthus annuus L.) has aroused interest, especially in Brazilian Midwest, due to the broad adaptability to edaphoclimatic conditions, suitability for crop rotation and uses in the production of edible oil, biodiesel, ornamentation, animal food, among others [3,4].

Considering that in the soils of the Cerrado Biome, for the optimization of the agricultural production, is necessary the use of a high amount of inputs, and that the agronomic efficiency is tied to the good indexes of soil quality, the present work aimed to evaluate the number of spores in different times and mycorrhizal colonization in Cerrado Biome soil, under cultivation of three sunflower genotypes.

40 41

42 43

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

44 45 46

The experiment was carried out at Santa Luzia Farm, in Campo Verde (MT-Brazil), latitude 15°45'12"S and longitude 55°22'44"W. The farm soil has clayed texture, with acid pH, average bases saturation of 50%, absence of aluminum and high content of organic matter (Table 1).

47 48

49

Table 1. Chemical and physical properties of soil under sunflower cultivation in the 2009 and 2010 harvests at Farm Santa Luzia, Campo Verde - MT, Brazil

Vacu	pН	Р	K	Ca	Mg	ΑI	Н	МО	СТС
Year	CaCl ₂	mg	mg dm ⁻³ cmol _c dm ⁻³			gdm⁻³	cmol _c dm⁻³		
2009	5,1	21,8	76	3,2	0,9	0	4,4	37,8	8,7
2010	4,9	8,0	80	3,3	0,7	0	5,5	39,9	9,7

	Bases saturation	Sand	Silt	Clay	Saturation (%)			
	(V%)		g kg ⁻¹		Ca	Mg	K	Н
2009	49,3	196	133	671	36,7	10,5	2,3	50,7
2010	43,3	172	200	628	33,9	6,8	2,1	56,7

50 P – Phosphorus; K - ; Ca - ; Mg - ; Al - ; H - ; MO - ; CTC - ;......

The experimental design applied in the field was randomized blocks, with four replications. The plots were formed by four rows of 6.0 meters, spaced in 0.8 meters, between rows, and 0.3 meters, between plants, considering as useful area the two central rows. Fertilization was carried out with 60-80-80 kgha⁻¹ of N-P-K and 2.0 kg ha⁻¹ of boron.

57 58 59

51 52

53

54

55

56

The genotypes were M 734, Agrobel 960 and Helio 358, sown in 2009, and M 734, Embrapa 122 and HLA 860 H.O. in 2010. Rhizospheric soil sampling was obtained at 0-20 cm depth, in three periods: sowing (first half of March), flowering (60 days after sowing) and harvesting (after maturation). The rainfall distribution in the region, during the experimenteexperiment, is shown in Table 2.

60 61

62

Table 2. Rainfall (mm month⁻¹) in Campo Verde - MT, from February to July, in 2009 and 2010

Year	February	March (S)	April	May (F)	June	July (H)	Total
2009	262	132	16	10	22	0,2	442,4
2010	385	206	325	55	3	2	974,0

S: sowing; F: flowering; H: harvest.

63 64

66 67

68

69 70

65 The evaluated parameters were total number of spores in soil, and arbuscular mycorrhizal colonization, whose root sampling occurred during crop harvest. The spore extraction was carried out by the wet sift methodology [5], in which the soil was processed in a sieving systems (0.42 and 0.053 mm mesh) and centrifuged with water at 2800 rpm for 4 minutes. Subsequently, the samples were resuspended in 50% sucrose solution, centrifuged and washed. The spores were counted in a stereomicroscope in a petri dishes with vessels.

For mycorrhizal colonization, the roots were washed, clarified with KOH (10%), acidified with diluted HCl and stained with trypan blue [7]. Ten segments of 1-2 cm in length were selected for slide assembly and quantification of colonization percentage under optical microscope AMF colonization percentage formula has to be included -

Analysis of variance were preceded and the significant means were compared by Tukey test with 5% of significance.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

71

72

73

74

75

76

77 78 79

80

81

82

83

84

86 87

88

89

90

91 92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

For the factor year, there was no difference in the number of spores of AMF (Table 3). This may occurred since the studied area adopted the minimum cropping system for more than 10 years. According to the authors [8], the association and mycorrhizal propagules dissemination is more affected in the initial phases of the occupation and use of the soil, with later stabilization.

85 Table 3. Quantification of spores of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in CerradoBiome soil, under sunflower cultivation, in two years and three periods, in Campo Verde - MT. Brazil

Year	Conctuno	Sowing	Flowering	Harvest	Average
i eai	Genotype	n°			
	M 734	153 b B	296 a A	267 a A	
2009 _	Agrobel 960	185 ab B	342 a A	233 ab B	247.0
	Helio 358	262 ab AB	311 a A	174 a B	247 a
	Média	200 a B	317 a A	225 a B	
	M 734	234 ab AB	270 a A	147 b B	
2010	Embrapa 122	191 ab A	254 a A	216 ab A	232 a
	HLA 860 H.O.	271 a AB	320 a A	184 ab B	
_	Average	232 AB	281 A	182 B	
CV (%)			11,60		

Means followed by different letters in the column differ from each other, by the Tukey test (P = .05). CV:coefficient of variation.

For the periods, spore density in flowering was higher in the two years of study, with a general average of 317 in 2009 and 281 in 2010 (Table 3). The authors cited in the reference [9] confirm that maximum spore production can occur in the flowering period and in the final growth stage of the host.

According to the authors cited in the reference [2], the spore density of AMFs is generally higher in agricultural systems, and variations may occur due to edaphoclimatic factors, growing time, agricultural practices as well as the implanted crop.

The authors cited in the reference [10] studyingCerrado biome verified that the arbuscularmycorrhizal fungi contribute to the growth of cultivated plants in annual cropping ans pasture systems and the number of spores of the native fungivaries, being the crop and the cultivation system determinant for the enrichment of mycorrhizal fauna.

The interaction between the genetic factors and the period was significant, demonstrating that the genetic material influence the sporulation process. However, thevariations were low indicating the stabilization of the mycorrhizal fungi sporulation.

In a carried study was verified that spore densities varies from 301 to 608 for maize crop, whereas in soybean cultivatedsoil the values were between 239 and 287 [11], similar to those obtained in the present work with sunflower. Mycorrhizal dynamics involving root colonization and sporulation occur in different ways in different crops due to the compatibility between AMF and the genetic characteristics of plants [12]. In addition, environmental, climatic and edaphic factors generate changes in the symbiotic process [13].

In sugarcane the occurrence of AMF increase when the crop was preceded by sunflower [14]. Likewise, sunflower favored the inoculum potential of AMF in the soil, and subsequent corn growth [15].

Annual crops, green manures and forage species have a high degree of mycorrhizal dependency, acting as a soil conditioning, multiplying the native mycorrhizal community [16,13]. In this sense, sunflower is an option to benefit the soil mycorrhizal population in crop rotation / succession systems.

For the mycorrhizal colonization rate, it was observed a variation from 21 to 28% in 2009 and 28 to 48% in 2010 (Table 4), with no difference between genotypes. According to the authors cited in the reference [17], mycorrhizal dependence can be defined as the plant's responsiveness to mycorrhization through increased growth, which may be related to the fertility and amount of phosphorus, present in the soil.

About the factor year, in 2010 there was a higher mycorrhizal colonization, which can be explained by the lower phosphorus content in the soil (Table 1). The correlation between the phosphorus content and mycorrhizal colonization is negative [18] so, the reduction in the P content may lead to an increase in plant colonization. Studing sunflower hybrids, it was verified that higher doses of P decreased sporulation and AMF colonization [19].

Table 4. Average percentage of AMF colonization in soil under sunflower cultivation, in Campo Verde - MT, Brazil, in 2009 and 2010

Year	Genotype	Mycorrhizal colonization (%)	Average
	M 734	28 a	
2009	Agrobel 960	21 a	24 b
	Helio 358	22 a	
	M 734	38 a	
2010	Embrapa 122	48 a	38 a
	HLA 860 H.O.	28 a	
	CV (%)	16,24	

Means followed by different letters in the column differ from each other, by the Tukey test (P = .05). CV:coefficient of variation.

In general, the relationship AMF-plant can be mediated by nutrient levels, present in the soil, since these fungi increase root exploration area, contributing to a greater absorption of nutrients for the plant. As the increase in soil phosphorus decreases the root mycorrhizal colonization and the plant dependence to mycorrhization [20], in soils with low levels of phosphorus, typical of the Cerrado biome, the AMF favors sunflower cultivation [21].

Studying, AMF inoculation in sunflower, it was observed an increase in chapter diameter, thousand achenes weight and achenes yield, parameters that were related to the better development of the plants through the association with AMFs, due the higher absorption of nutrients as P, K and Fe.

- In addition, there is evidences that mycorrhizal-sunflower ratio enables greater plant resistance to heat, showing an interesting impact in Cerrado production systems, which is characterized by high temperatures [23].
- Moreover, the potential of AMFs as biofertilizer for oleaginous crops is reforced, especially for soils with low fertility, since the practice allows to reach adequate levels of production, with less use of synthetic fertilizers making the productive system more sustainable [19].
- Therefore, colonization and mycorrhizal sporulation vary according to the sunflower genotype and the evaluation period. On flowering period there were intense AMFs activity, moment that is required to the plant a high nutritional supply for grain production.

4. CONCLUSION

146

154

155156

161 162

164 165

166

170

174

178

- The number of spores has low variation, demonstrating that the system is stable. The maximum production occurs in flowering period.
- 159 Mycorrhizal colonization in sunflower is not influenced by the genotype.
- Lower soil phosphorus levels favors_arbuscular_mycorrhizal colonization.

COMPETING INTERESTS

We declare that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. Silva TFB, Santos ABS, Rozas CEO, Santos AC, Paiva LM. Influence of the density of mycorrhizal fungi on the production of passion fruit (Passiflora alata CURTIS). The 2009; 22 (4): 1-6. English.
- 2. Rosseto P, Urcoviche RC, Oliviera JR, Alberton O. Spore density of mycorrhizal and fungal fungi of the UNIPAR glomale germplasm bank. Archives of Veterinary Sciences and Zoology of UNIPAR. 2012; 15 (1): 43-47. English. DOI: 10.25110 / arqvet.v15i1.2012.4166
- 3. Grunvald AK, Carvalho CGP, Oliveira ACB, Andrade CAB. Adaptability and stability of sunflower genotypes in Central Brazil. Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira. 2008; 43 (11): 1483-1493. English. DOI: 10.1590 / S0100-204X2008001100006
- 4. Souza FR, Silva IM, Pellin DMP, Bergamin AC, Silva RP. Agronomic characteristics of the sunflower crop intercropped with Brachiariaruziziensis. Agronomic Science Journal. 2015; 46
 (1): 110-116. English. DOI: 10.1590 / S1806-66902015000100013

- 183 5. Gerdemann JW, Nicolson TH. Spores of mycorrhizalEndogone species extracted from soil
- by wet sieving and decanting. Transaction of the British Mycological Society. 1963; 46 (2):
- 185 235-244. English. DOI: 10.1016 / S0007-1536 (63) 80079-0

- 187 6. Giovanetti M, Mosse B. An evaluation of techniques for measuring vesicular 188 arbuscularmycorrhizal infection in roots. New Phytologist. 1980;84(3):489-500. DOI:
- 189 10.1111/j.1469-8137.1980.tb04556.x

190

7. Phillips JM, Hayman DS. Improved procedures for clearing roots and staining parasitic and vesicular arbuscularmycorrhizal fungi for rapid assessment of infection. Transaction of the British Mycological Society. 1970;55(1):158-161. DOI: 10.1016/S0007-1536(70)80110-3

194

8. Carrenho R et al. Mycorrhizal fungi in Brazilian agrosystems. In: Siqueira JO et al. Mycorrhizae: 30 years of research in Brazil. Lavras: UFLA; 2008.

197

198 9. Smith SE, Read DJ. Mycorrhizal symbiosis. San Diego: Academic Press; 1997.

199

- 10. Miranda JCC, Vilela L, Miranda LN. Dynamics and contribution of mycorrhizal fungi in
- crop rotation systems. Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira. 2005; 40 (10): 1005-1014. DOI:
- 202 10.1590 / S0100-204X2005001000009

203

- 204 11. Angelini GAR, Loss A, Pereira MG, Torres JLR, Saggin Júnior OJ. Mycorrhizal
- 205 colonization, spore density and diversity of mycorrhizal and fungal fungi in Cerrado soil
- under no tillage and conventional tillage. Agrarian Sciences. 2012; 33 (1): 115-130.
- 207 English. DOI: 10.5433 / 1679-0359.2012v33n1p115.

208

- 209 12. Smith SE, Gianinazzi-Pearson V. Physiological interactions between symbionts in
- 210 vesicular-arbuscularmycorrhizal plants. Annual Review of Plant Physiology and Plant
- 211 Molecular Biology. 1988; 39 (1): 221-244. DOI: 10.1146 / annurev.pp.39.060188.001253

212

- 213 13. Miranda JCC. Closed: mycorrhizae: occurrence and management. Planaltina: Embrapa
- 214 Closed; 2008.

215

- 216 14. Ambrosano EJ et al. Crop rotation biomass and arbuscularmycorrhizal fungi effects on
- 217 sugarcane yield. Scientia Agricola. 2010; 67 (6): 692-701. DOI: 10.1590 / S0103-
- 218 90162010000600011

219

- 220 15. Karasawa T, Kasahara Y, Takebe M. Differences in growth responses of maize to
- 221 preceding cropping caused by fluctuation in the population of indigenous

- arbuscularmycorrhizal fungi. Soil Biology and Biochemistry. 2002; 34 (6): 851-857. DOI:
- 223 10.1016 / \$0038-0717 (02) 00017-2

- 225 16. Miranda JCC, Miranda LN, Vilela L, Vargas MA, Carvalho AM. Management of
- 226 mycorrhizal fungi through crop rotation in the agricultural systems of the Cerrado. Technical
- 227 Communiqué 42, Embrapa Cerrados. Planaltina. 2001; 42: 1-3. English. Accessed 16 Feb
- 228 2019.
- 229 Available: https://www.infoteca.cnptia.embrapa.br/bitstream/doc/564225/1/comtec42.pdf

230

- 231 17. Janos DP. Plant responsiveness to mycorrhizas differs from dependence upon
- 232 mycorrhizas. Mycorrhiza. 2007; 17 (2): 75-91. DOI: 10.1007 / s00572-006-0094-1

233

- 18. Ferreira DA, Carneiro MAC, Saggin Júnior OJ. Mycorrhizal fungi in a red latosol under
- 235 management and uses in the Cerrado. Soil Science Journal. 2012; 36 (1): 51-61. English.
- 236 DOI: 10.1590 / S0100-06832012000100006

237

- 238 19. Sarah S, Ibrar M. Effects of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi on Spores Density and Root
- 239 Colonization of Four Hybrids of Sunflower (Helianthus annuus L.) at Different Rock
- 240 Phosphate Levels. Sarhad Journal of Agriculture. 2016;32(4):258-266. DOI:
- 241 10.17582/journal.sja/2016.32.4.258.266

242

- 20. Balota EL et al. Effect of mycorrhizal fungi on different doses of phosphorus in sunflower
- and peanut. Encyclopedia Biosphere. 2010; 6 (11): 1-8. English.

245

- 246 21. Balota et al. Effect of mycorrhizal fungi on oleaginous crops. In: Brazilian Congress of
- 247 Castor Oil & International Symposium on Oilseeds, 1., 2010, João Pessoa. Anais ...
- 248 Campina grande: EmbrapaAlgodão, 2010. p. 680-684.
- 22. Silva AJN. Soil chemical properties and growth of sunflower (Helianthus annuus L.) as
- affected by the application of organic fertilizers and inoculation with arbuscularmycorrhizal
- 251 fungi. RevistaBrasileira de Ciência do Solo. 2015;39:151-161. DOI:
- 252 10.1590/01000683rbcs20150194

253

- 25. Mayer Z, Duc NH, Sasvári Z, Posta K. How arbuscularmycorrhizal fungi influence the
- 255 defense system of sunflower during different abiotic stresses. ActaBiologicaHungarica.
- 256 2017;68(4):376-387. DOI: 10.1556/018.68.2017.4.4