



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_48617
Title of the Manuscript:	Productivity and nutrient supply in 'Gigante' cactus pear with regulated deficit irrigation using wastewater
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Citations Please avoid citing your work in capital letters. Instead of (TORRES, 2009), write (Torres, 2009)	
Minor REVISION comments	Abstract Insert Brazil after campus ...Guanambi Campus , Brazil Introduction Line 22: How long is the dry season? Line 37: write CO ₂ and CO2 Material and Methods Line 74: write 30 m ² and not 30 m2 Line 132: Please explain why you multiplied by 500. Line 138 : Thornthwaite and Mather (1955) is missing in the reference section. Results Line 145 to Line 147: change planta to plant and semana to week so as to be uniform in English. Line 152: Mantovani 2001 is missing in the reference. <u>Table 3</u> Kindly add ET _o for T1,T2, T4, T5, and T6 which I think should be the same as 3433.30 mm as for T3, Kindly add also Kc for the other treatmens. Kc normally varies with the growth stage so does it mean you only took 0.5 for the whole growing season. Line 168 to 172 change change planta to plant and semana to week so as to be uniform in English. Line 183 to 188 change change planta to plant and semana to week so as to be uniform in English.	
Optional/General comments	Take Lines 190 to 195 before Table 4 so that they are next to Table 3. Paraphrase line 224-225 A even better relationship than the linearity occurred when comparing T5 with T6. . A better linear relationship occurred when comparing T5 with T6. Line 260-261 change planta and semana to plant and week respectively. Line 278- 281 change planta and semana to English. Line 294-297 change planta and semana to English. Line 350-352 change planta and semana to English. Line 360- 361 change planta and semana to English Line 371-374 change planta and semana to English. Line 382 change raise to rise Conclusions Kindly give us the limitations of your research as well as future work that can be done on the same if it is possible	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Clement Kiprotich Kiptum
Department, University & Country	University of Eldoret, Kenya