SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_48700
Title of the Manuscript:	Use of Brachiarias in the improvement of physical and chemical attributes of a Yellow Oxisol
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment
Compulsory REVISION comments	TITLE
	Change to
	Utilization of Brachiaris in the improvement of physical and chemical properties of Yellow Oxisol
	several long sentences were present in the manuscript from abstract to conclusion. Plz shorten them or break it up.
	Soil chemical analyses were not thoroughly discussed? PIz discuss in detail.
Minor REVISION comments	ABSRACT
	Increase the volume of your abstract with more key findings from your research. it was mainly methodology.
	This study aimed to evaluate the effectCHANGE TO the study evaluated the effect
	INTRODUCTION
	as noted by [3;4]change toas noted by Borges et al. and Gazolla et al. [3-4]
	of 80%separate numbers from units of measurements
	it is necessary to adopt practices that enable to maximize the forage productionrecast sentence
	In this context, [10]plz mention authors name as shown in e.g above
	Materials and method
	Five species of the genus Brachiaria were chosen, includingPlz what or how did you identify the different species you must include it.
	described by [14], adapted by [15]plz correct
	of 24 hours,change to 24 hrs or 24 h.
	50 ml of waterchange to 50 mL
	PH in water inwrongchange to pH
	Comparedlower case
	RESULTS and DISCUSSIONS
	Significant and superiorfootnotes are of smaller fonts
	H + in thehydrogen ion or H ⁺
	[18] affirm thatplz correct. see above
	ph values mayplz correct. see above
	aluminium andaluminum is American spelling
	This fact can be explained by the lowlong sentence break up
	if it did not Interfering significantlydid not interfere significantly
	the root system fasciculatedrephrase
	Significant and superiorfootnotes are of smaller font size
	According to [23], theplz correct.
	those observed by [24]plz correct.
	when compared the average soil"to" is missing
	CONCLUSIONS
	Conclusions are never written as bullet points etc. it is a running continuous sentence based on key findings from your work tied back to the
	objectives. so correct yours.
	references were very good. however why were some journal titles bolded? also some were written in upper letters while others were not. plz be
	specific. e.g Brazilian Journal of Soil Science & Journal of inorganic biochemistry & WORKSHOP ON FORESTRY SEEDS?
Optional/General comments	
Comments	

<u>PART 2:</u>

		Author's comment (if agreed with that part in the manuscript. It is ma feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)

with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight mandatory that authors should write his/her

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nkwoada Amarachi Udoka
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Technology, Nigeria