SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_48808
Title of the Manuscript:	Phenol Production In Maize (Zea mays L.) in Response To Infection Caused By Fusariun verticillioides (Niren.).
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Lots of editing needed: see track-changes ms lincrease in height over the growth period does not justify a level of significance; what would be important and should be measured is the effect of disease on height vs control. Few if any of the tables and graphs tell the actual source of data; ie.what treatments were included in the averages and other statistical analysis. For many it is likely the endpoint values, but this in snot clear. Values such as these cannot be described realistically to the second decimal. Some of the 'significant' values such s number of leaves seem to be simply variety or age related; again the comparisons should only be for changes associated with infection. The discussing makes claims for 'prrofs' not shown in the ms. See Highlighted statements.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	It would perhaps help if all the averages for each variety for each treatment combination were available in a table- maybe not for every week, but at least those where conclusions are being drawn.	

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Clint Magill
Department, University & Country	Texas A&M University, USA

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)