Phenol Production In Maize (*Zea mays* L.) in Response To Infection Caused By *Fusarium verticillioides* (Niren.).

ABSTRACT

This study investigated ~~the~~ phenol production in five maize varieties in response to infection caused by *Fusarium verticillioides.* Pure culture of the pathogen was obtained from Plant Pathology Laboratory of the International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA), Ibadan, Nigeria. The screen house experiment was laid out in a completely randomized design. Dual inoculation was done where soil was infected separately before planting and seedlings were infected separately two weeks after planting with two volumes (10ml and 20ml) containing 1.4×10^{7} spores/ml suspension of *F. verticillioides.* The maize plants were harvested at 4^{th}, 5^{th} and 6^{th} weeks after inoculation and the maize plants were dried at room temperature before determination of phenol content in each of the varieties. Data gathered on the agronomic parameters and phenol contents were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) using SAS 9.1 statistical package.

All the maize varieties recorded more than 70% stalk rot incidence while the severity ranged from 19.01? unlikely level of precision! % in variety ART-98-SW1 to 25.21% in ART-98-SW6. ART-98-SW6 showed the most ~~significant~~ ($p<0.05$) phenol content (21.28mg/g). Soil inoculation ~~method~~ produced the highest percentage stalk rot severity while seedling inoculation ~~method~~ showed ~~a~~ significantly higher phenol contents across the period of study. Similarly, disease severity increased with increasing inoculum levels with highest ~~significance~~ attained using~~at~~ 20ml (23.99%) while higher phenol content was obtained at inoculum level 10 ml (18.61mg/g) compared to results ~~at~~ using 20ml (15.63mg/g) and control (7.88mg/g). The maize variety; ART-98-SW6 with highest stalk rot severity also produced the highest phenol content. ~~Therefore,~~Overall, the rate of phenol production in maize corresponded ~~s~~ with the extent of severity *F. verticillioides* infections.

Key words: Maize varieties, Phenol, *Fusarium verticillioides*, Stalk rot incidence and severity.

INTRODUCTION

Maize (*Zea mays* L.) is one of the oldest and most productive cereals cultivated across the world (Olawuyi *et al*., 2010; Okoro-Robinson *et al*., 2014). The crop plays an important role in the diet of millions of African people due to its high yields per hectare, ease of cultivation and its adaptability to different ecological zones coupled with its versatile food uses and storage characteristics (Olakojo and Iken, 2001; Olawuyi et al. 2015). Maize has been well established in the farming system in

Nigeria where it is grown as sole crop or as an intercrop with other crops such as root crops, grain legumes, cereals (sorghum, millet, rice) and even vegetables (Gwinner *et al*., 1996). Despite the importance and wide cultivation of this cereal, its growth and productions are usually impaired by diseases caused by fungi~~al~~, bacteria~~l~~, viruses~~al~~ or nematodes which results in considerable yield loss and decreased grain quality (Akande and Lamidi, 2006).

Fungi were ranked as the second most important cause of maize diseases and the major genera commonly encountered on maize in tropical regions are *Fusarium, Aspergillus* and *Penicillium* (Ominski et al., 1994; Orsi *et al*., 2000). *Fusarium* ~~is~~ species are considered as the most devastating fungal menace of maize; ~~while~~ *Fusarium verticillioides* is the prevalent specie causing root rot, stalk rot and ear rots in maize (Masuka *et al.* 2003; Alankoya *et al*., 2008). ~~Meanwhile, the~~In addition to severe yield and economic losses in corn and other cereal crops worldwide, ~~in addition to~~ the potential occurrence of fumonisins and other mycotoxins in consequence of *F. verticillioides* infection are a matter of concern in current mycotoxicology (Ono et al. 2010).

In the recent times, the use of fungicides ~~which is a~~as a chemical control measure~~s~~ commonly employed in the management of diseases caused by fungi has~~ve~~ been discouraged due to ~~its~~ environmental and food contamination issues (Akanmu et al. 2013). Hence, there is need to embrace a safer and novel biological approach to plant disease management. In order to improve plants' resistance to diseases, effort has been directed at the search for new anti-microbial materials from natural sources, which are mostly low-molecular weight secondary metabolites essential for plant disease resistance (Singh *et al*., 2010; Maddox et al. 2010). ~~While a~~Accumulation of certain plant secondary metabolites such as phytoalexins is induced upon pathogen attack~~k~~ ~~k,~~ ~~the I~~~~i~~ncreased accumulation of phenolic phytoalexins in plants can promote host defense against pathogens (Boudet, 2006; Maddox et al. 2010). More so, phenolic compounds with less complex structures, such as catechol and coumarin, have exhibited bactericidal and fungicidal activities (Cowan, 1999). Hence, the ability of plants to make and release phenols as a defense mechanism against infection by pathogens is of importance in determining ~~its~~ resistance (Samapundo, 2007). This study ~~therefore~~

investigates ~~the phenol~~ production of phenolic compunds by five maize varieties in response to infection caused by *Fusarium verticillioides*

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental Site: The experiment was conducted in the screen house of the Department of Botany University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria.

Sources of materials used: Seeds of maize varieties; SWAM1-SR, BR-9928-DMR-SR, ART-98-SW1, ART-98-SW6, BR-9943-DR-SR were obtained from maize germplasm of the Institute of Agricultural and Research Training (IAR&T), Apata, Ibadan. Pure culture of the characterised *Fusarium verticillioides* isolate was obtained from the Plant Pathology Laboratory, International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA), Ibadan, Nigeria.

Multiplication of the inoculum: *Fusarium verticillioides* isolate was sub-cultured, maintained on solidified potato dextrose agar (PDA) and incubated at room temperature (28±2) ˚C for 7 days to produce multiple cultures of the organism. Each of the cultured plates was flooded with 2ml sterile distilled water. A sterile scalpel was used to harvest the spores and mycelia growths by scra~~p~~ping them into a sterilized flask. The solution was adjusted with sterile distilled water, stirred and filtered ~~out the spore suspension~~ with the use of muslin cloth. The resulting spore suspension was counted using a haemocytometer and adjusted to an inoculum~~s~~ load of 1.4×10^7 spores/ml ~~was counted using haemocytometer~~.

Soil preparation and planting: Prior to the conduct of the experiment, the screenhouse was adequately sanitized. Agricultural soil of between 0 to 15 cm depth was collected from the experimental site of Botany Department, University of Ibadan, Ibadan. The soil was sterilized using electric soil sterilizer at 120^{o}C for 1 hour, and was ~~filled in~~transferred to a polythene bag at 5kg per bag after cooling. Maize seeds were surface sterilized in a beaker containing sodium hypochlorite (3.5% w/v) for 3 minutes after which the seeds were dried in between layers of W~~w~~hatmann filter paper for another 5 minutes. The seeds were planted at three seed per hole In pots? In the bags? across the varieties.

Experimental Design: The experiment was laid out in a completely randomized design (CRD) in ten replications. Treatments across the five maize varieties consisted of two inoculation methods; soil and seedling inoculations. These were carried out at 0 ml, 10 ml and 20 ml ~~varying~~ volumes of the standardized (1.4×10^7 spores/ml) ~~inoculums at 0 ml, 10 ml and 20 ml of the~~ spore suspensions. The treatment with soil inoculation was conducted at the period of planting while seedling inoculation was carried out on the respective plots at 2 weeks after planting. The control experiments were treated with respective volumes of sterile distilled water.

Determination of disease incidence and severity: The percentage of disease incidence and severity were determined using the formulas:

$$\text{Disease incidence (\%)} = \frac{\text{number of infected maize plants}}{\text{number of maize plants}} \times 100$$

$$\text{Disease severity (\%)} = \frac{\text{area of plant tissue affected}}{\text{total area}} \times 100$$

Extraction of plant samples: Two replicates from each treatment across the varieties were carefully uprooted at the 4th, 5th and 6th week after planting (WAP). Soil adhering to the root of maize plant was removed by agitation in water., ~~T~~the plants were then air dried at room temperature. Two gramme (2g) of leaf sample per treatment~~s~~ was treated with 10 ml of 80% methanol in a tightly ~~clogged~~ plugged flask. The treated leaf was allowed to stand for 3 minutes, after which the liquid fraction was filtered using muslin cloth. The step was repeated three times with 80% ethanol and the supernatants were collected into volumetric flasks. Final volume of the extracts was made to 50 ml with 80% ethanol and all the extracts from each sample were~~as~~ combined and centrifuged at 2000 revolutions per minutes for 20 minutes in a centrifuge.

Determination of phenol content: This was done using the Folin-Ciocalteu method as described by Singh *et al*. (2012) in which gallic acid served as a standard and total phenol is expressed as mg/g gallic acid equivalents (GAE). The amount of total soluble phenol present in the sample was calculated according to Singh et al. (2012).

Data collection and statistical analysis: Data were collected on plant height (cm), leaf number (cm), disease incidence (%) and disease severity (%) weekly for 9 weeks. All the data on growth, disease and phenol contents collected were subjected to ANOVA using SAS 9.1 statistical analysis software and Means were separated by Duncan Multiple Range System at 95% confidence interval.

RESULTS

The fitted model?? for the interactive effect of maize varieties, inoculation methods, inoculums' quantity and duration of experiment produced a significant ($p<0.01$) result on the growth and disease parameters measured. All the factors evaluated were significant with respect to plant height, number of leaves and disease severity, while only inoculum volume and duration of the experiment (WAP) was significant with disease incidence caused by *F. verticillioides* (Table 1).

Maize variety; BR-9928-DMR-SR followed by ART-98-SW6 recorded the most significant ($p<0.05$) growth as measured by ~~on~~ plant height and number of leaves across the varieties evaluated. Were the varieties differentially affected by Fusarium for these traits? If not, height is not relevant to the topic of this ms. This was followed by ART-98- SW1 and BR-9943-DR-SR which also recorded similar level of significance while SWAM 1-SR showed the least growth performances (Table 2).

All the maize varieties recorded more than 70% stalk rot infections with BR-9928-DMR-SR having highest rate of 73.11%. The stalk rot severity ranged from 19.01% in variety ART-98-SW1 to 25.21% in ART-98-SW6 (Figure 1).

Table 3 showse~~d~~ the effect of different inoculation methods and inoculum~~s~~ volume on the growth and disease occurrence in maize plants. While no significant differences was recorded between the inoculation methods with respect to plant height and number of leaves, soil inoculation method produced a significant increase? of ($p<0.05$) disease incidence and severity compared to seedling inoculation which showed no significant difference from the control. Whereas, significant ($p<0.05$) reduction was recorded in the growth rate with ~~increasing~~ increased inoculum~~s~~ volume. No

significant result was obtained in ~~the~~ disease incidence with respect to inoculum~~s~~ levels while at 20 ml, there was significant increase in stalk rot severity (Table 3).

There was a significant increase in plant height and leaf number with the increasing weeks after planting (Table 4). Is it really necessary to mention this? Perhaps if the plants did not grow, that would be significant! Need to see values comparing control and each treatment at each time.

Figure 2 showed a linear relationship between the disease incidence caused by *F. verticillioides* in maize and the period of experiment. A consistent increase in the disease progression was observed until the 5th week when the infection reached its climax and maintained this position till the 9th week of experiment (Figure 2).

The r^2 value of 0.8694 ~~reinstated~~ reflects the reliability of the result obtained ~~in the~~for increasing severity of stalk rot ~~with respect to~~over the period of the experiment. Having received *F. verticillioides* inoculation in the 2nd WAP, disease severity increased consistently from 3rd week (9.95%) to 9th week (36.29%) after planting (Figure 3).

Table 1: ANOVA table of growth and disease occurrence in maize plants after inoculations with *Fusarium verticillioides*

		Plant height (cm)		Leaf number		Disease incidence		Disease severity	
Sources of variation	**df**	**F value**	**P value**	**F value**	**P value**	**F value**	**P value**	**F value**	**P value**
Model?	21	162.46	0.0001**	157.48	0.0001**	210.05	0.0001**	72.74	0.0001**
Maize varieties	4	12.48	0.0001**	14.55	0.0001**	0.30	0.8765	8.82	0.0001**
Inoculums volume	2	43.49	0.0001**	39.33	0.0001**	11.00	0.0011**	34.64	0.0161*
Inoculation method	2	16.16	0.0001**	9.44	0.0001**	0.29	0.5882	5.81	0.0001**
Weeks After Planting	8	405.5	0.0001**	395.34	0.0001**	392.13	0.0001**	172.33	0.0001**
Replicates	9	1.56	0.1693	1.05	0.3864			0.42	0.8372
Error	1327								
Corrected Total	1348								

*Significant ** Highly Significant.



Figure 1: Disease incidence (DI) and severity (DS) across the maize varieties caused by *F. verticillioides*.

177 Table 2: Effect of *F. verticillioides* on plant heights and leaf number across the maize varieties

178

Varieties	Plant height (cm)	Number of leaves
SWAM 1-SR	43.27[c]	4.53[c]
BR-9928-DMR-SR	51.31[a]	5.47[a]
ART-98-SW6	54.56[a]	5.68[a]
ART-98- SW1	49.52[b]	5.07[b]
BR-9943-DR-SR	48.94[b]	4.97[b]
R^2	0.72	0.71

179

180 Mean with different letters are significantly different ($p \leq 0.05$)

181

182 Are these from the end time point? Comparison to control? How does this show the effects of *F.*

183 *verticillioides*

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194 Table 3: Effect of inoculation method and inoculum volume on growth and disease occurrence in

195 maize plants after X weeks?

Parameters	Variables	Plant height (cm)	Number of leaves	Disease incidence (%)	Disease severity (%)
Inoculums' volume (ml)	Control	54.11a	5.63a	70.18a	21.51b
	10	48.88b	4.90b	71.78a	22.18ab
	20	41.58c	4.63c	72.65a	23.99a
Inoculation method	Control	52.99a	5.23a	69.56b	20.69b
	Seedling inoculation	46.72b	5.06a	70.18b	21.51b
	Soil inoculation	50.58b	5.17a	74.87a	25.59a
	R^2	0.72	0.71	0.94	0.53

196

197 Means with different letters are significantly different ($p \leq 0.05$)

198

199 Table 4: Effect of time (WAP) on the growth of treated maize plants

Weeks After Planting	Plant height (cm)	Leaf number
1	2.08i	0.01i
2	11.78h	1.59h
3	24.61g	3.07g
4	39.63f	4.35f
5	54.69e	5.61e
6	66.92d	6.50d
7	75.94c	7.43c
8	82.57b	8.38b
9	87.65a	9.36a
R^2	0.72	0.71

200

201 **Means with different letters in a column are significantly different Average across**

202 **treatments??**

203



Figure 2: Effect of time (WAP) on disease incidence caused by *F. verticillioides* in maize plants.



Figure 3: Effect of time (WAP) on disease severity of *F. verticillioides* in maize plants

The model for the reaction of quantity of phenol produced was significant ($p<0.01$) through the period of experiment. The effect of maize varieties and inoculums volume also recorded significant result at the 4th, 5th and 6th (WAP). The method of ~~inoculums'~~ inoculation ~~method~~ used showed ~~significance~~ significant effects on phenol levels ($p<0.01$) at 4th and 5th WAP while it was significant at $p<0.05$ at 6th WAP (Table 5).

Maize variety ART-98-SW6 showed the most significant ($p<0.05$) phenol content across the period of this study. This result was followed by BR-9928-DMR-SR while ART-98-SW1and BR-9943-DMR-SR showed no significant difference (Table 6).

The seedling inoculation method showed significantly higher phenol contents than soil inoculation, although the two methods produced results which were significantly higher than the control. More so, inoculum ~~s~~ volume of 10 ml recorded a significantly higher phenol contents than at 20 ml (Table 7). Explanation?

The inoculation method~~s~~ were positively seems negave for 20 vs 10 ml? and significantly ($p<0.01$) correlated with inoculum~~s~~ volume ($r=0.64$). Also, inoculation method was significantly associated with phenol production at week 4 ($r=0.60$), week 5 ($r=0.68$) and week 6 ($r=0.71$) after planting. Similarly, inoculum~~s'~~ volume was significantly correlated with phenol content obtained at week 4 ($r=0.81$), week 5 ($r=0.90$) and week 6 ($r=0.89$) of the experiment (Table 8).

Table 5: ANOVA table for Phenol (mg/g) produced by the maize plants after days of infection

~~Source~~	~~df~~	4th WAP		5th WAP		6th WAP	
		F value	**P>F**	**F value**	**P>F**	**F value**	**P>F**
Model	7	90.24	0.0001**	227.49	0.0001**	310.19	0.0001**
Maize varieties	4	49.92	0.0001**	67.34	0.0001**	74.98	0.0001**
Inoculation methods	1	9.59	0.0065**	9.79	0.0061**	4.21	0.0560*
Inoculums volume	1	139.13	0.0001**	306.88	0.0001**	267.68	0.0001**
Error	17						
Corrected Total	24						

**=Highly significant *= Significant

Table 6: Phenol (mg/g) content of the maize plants 4-6 weeks after ~~days of~~ infection (average of all 4 treatments?)

Varieties	4th WAP	5th WAP	6th WAP
SWAM1-BR-SR	7.40^{d}	11.12^{d}	14.04^{d}
BR-9928-DMR-SR	13.80^{b}	16.92^{b}	20.96^{b}
ART-98-SW6	16.16^{a}	19.04^{a}	21.28^{a}
ART-98-SW1	11.20^{c}	15.04^{c}	19.22^{c}
BR-9943-DMR-SR	10.52^{c}	14.54^{c}	18.74^{c}
R^2	0.97	0.99	0.99

Means with different letters are significantly different ($p \leq 0.05$)

Table 7: Phenol (mg/g) content of the maize plants days after inoculation with different volumes of *Fusarium verticillioides*

Parameter	Variable	4th WAP	5th WAP	6th WAP
Inoculation method	**Control**	4.72^{c}	5.94^{c}	7.88^{c}
	Seedling	14.32^{a}	16.33^{a}	17.58^{a}
	Soil	12.86^{b}	14.03^{b}	15.48^{b}
	R^2	0.97	0.99	0.99
Inoculum~~s~~ volume	**Control**	4.97^{c}	5.94^{c}	7.88^{c}
	10ml	13.37^{a}	15.32^{a}	18.61^{a}
	20ml	10.81^{b}	13.04^{b}	15.63^{b}
	R^2	0.97	0.99	0.99

Means with different letters are significantly different ($p \leq 0.05$).

Table 8: Extent of association between the maize varieties, pathogen inoculation, and time with phenol content

Correlation	Maize varieties	Inoculation method	Inoculums' volume	Phenol contents		
				Week 4	Week 5	Week 6
Maize varieties						
Inoculation method	0.00					
Inoculum~~s~~ volume	0.00	0.64**				
Week 4	-0.13	0.60**	0.81**			
Week 5	-0.11	0.68**	0.90**	0.98**		
Week 6	-0.72	0.71**	0.89**	0.94**	0.99**	

* Significant, **Highly Significant

DISCUSSION

~~High incidence of stalk rot infection recorded across the *Fusarium verticillioides* inoculated maize varieties supported the claim that *F. verticillioides* is the major causal agent of highly variable disease symptoms ranging from asymptomatic plants to severe rotting and wilting in maize (Oren et al., 2003; Sobowale et al., 2005; Aliakbari, 2007).~~ Virulence of *F. verticillioides* that ranged from 25.21% in ART-98-SW6 to 19.01% in ART-98-SW1 demonstrated ~~the~~ small but significant variation~~s~~ in the severity of systemic infection on the host. ~~,~~ W~~w~~hile environmental factors also play a major in the activities of this cosmopolitan pathogen (Murillo-Williams and Munkvold, 2008; Thompson and Raizada, 2018~~). More so)~~, variation recorded here in the growth rate and resistance of maize varieties to *F. verticillioides* infections is ~~in consonance~~consistent with ~~some~~ earlier findings in which host resistance was reported as a major determinant of variation~~s~~ in the activities of the pathogen (Sharma, 2003; Olowe et al. 2017).

Similar rate of maize growth~~s~~ observed in both seedling and soil inoculation methods is contrary to the results obtained by Khan et al. (2012) in which a significant increase in the growth parameters of

plants that received *Alternaria inocula(sp?)* through different methods was reported. ~~Also~~However, higher incidence and severity of stalk rot recorded in the soil inoculation method conforms to ~~the~~ earlier claims that attribute~~d~~ varying severities caused by artificial inoculation of *F. verticillioides* ~~with~~ to different inoculation methods (Drepper and Renfro, 1990; Sobowale, 2011). Furthermore, the effect of increasing~~e in~~ inoculum~~s'~~ quantity ~~which resulted in corresponding decrease in growth rate of maize plants revealed varied efficiency as regards inocul~~was consistent across both methods of inoculation, as has also been seen ~~um delivery with different inoculums' level~~ in another study (Sobowale et al., 2007).

The prevalence of stalk rot incidence observed to reach its peak at 5th week after planting affirmed that fungus colonizes maize stalks systemically without necessarily causing visible disease symptoms and that *F. verticillioides* strains can be vertically transmitted through seed-to-plant transmission and systemic stalk infection (Munkvold, 1997; Murillo-Williams and Munkvoid, 2008). None of this was proven here! Meanwhile, the severity rate of 36.29% at 9th week after inoculation justifies the epidemiological claim that *Fusarium* stalk rot reduces output in maize by 10% typically and by 30–50% in severely affected areas (Li et al. 2010).

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

The result of maize variety ART-98-SW6, followed by BR-9928-DMR-SR, ART-98-SW1, BR-9943-DMR-SR and SWAM1-BR-SR as the decreasing order of phenol production after inoculated with *F. verticillioides* was in agreement with some earlier reports that both constitutive and/or induced synthesis contribute to abundance and composition of phenolic compounds in cereal grains and this is highly variable depending on the species, variety and environmental conditions (Adom and Liu 2002; Lattanzio et al. 2006). The observation of Reddy and Sireesha (2013) that nutritional status and concentration of biochemical constituents in plants prior to infection determines the severity of disease possibly explains the reasons maize varieties ART-98-SW6 and BR-9928-DMR-SR with higher stalk rot severities also produced higher phenol contents than other varieties tested. Suggests that spread to more tissues leads to more defense response products, but that they are not effective in blocking disease. This further corroborated the reports that plants respond to pathogen invasion through the activation of complex defense strategies such as the accumulation of

flavonoids, phytoalexins and phenolic compounds (Delledonne et al., 2001; Hefny et al., 2012). In this work, total phenol produced by the maize varieties acts as biochemical markers to analyse disease incidence and severity which conforms to the work done by Singh et al. (2012) on biochemical response and host-pathogen relationship of stalk rot fungi in early stages of maize (*Zea mays*).

Higher phenol contents produced in seedling inoculation method compare to soil inoculation could be associated with plants' reaction to direct inoculation which possibly resulted into a more effective delivery of *F. verticillioides* inoculums. (and more exposed tissue) Thus, the possibility of the pathogen inducing phenol production could be substantiated by the report that biochemical resistance, tolerance or susceptibility in plants against any disease depends mainly on preexisting, preformed or induced substances by the pathogen in the host (Reddy and Sireesha, 2013). In this study, the higher phenol production that occurred at lower inoculum volume was consistent with the findings of Perveen et al. (2010) who reported a decrease in total phenol of the leaves of *M. arvensis* with increase in initial inoculum of *S. sclerotiorum*, a situation attributed to the altered rates of synthetic activity because of infection by pathogen (Howlett, 2006).

The inoculation methods and inoculum~~s~~ volumes used in this study effectively delivered infective stalk rot inoculum dosage, the rate of which were found strongly correlated with phenol production in maize plants at 4^{th}, 5^{th} and 6^{th} week after inoculation. Thus, agreed with the claim that majority of phenolic compounds are a part of the preformed general defense system against potential pathogens (Stuper-Szablewska et al. 2017).

In general, phenol production in the maize varieties corresponds with the rate of severity of *F. verticillioides* infections. Therefore, close association exists between stalk rot disease and maize plants' resistance to infection through phenol production. Hence, researches that will increase phenol production in crops especially maize, may thus be suggested.

REFERENCES

Adom KK, Liu RH. (2002). Antioxidant activity of grains. J Agric Food Chem. 50:6182–6187

Akande S.R. and Lamidi G.O. (2006). Performance of quality protein maize varieties and disease reaction in the derived-savanna agro-ecology of South-West Nigeria. *African Journal of Biotechnology.* 5(19), 1744-1748.

Akanmu A. O., Abiala M. A., Akanmu A.M., Adedeji A. D, Mudiaga P. M and Odebode A. C. (2013) Plant Extracts Abated Pathogenic *Fusarium* Species of Millet Seedlings. *Archives of Phytopathology And Plant Protection,* 46 (10) 1189-1205,

Alankoya A.E. Monda E.O. and Ajanga S. (2008). Variation in *in vitro* fumonisin B1 production by different *Fusariumverticillioides* isolates in Kenya. *American-Eurasian Journal for Agriculture and Environmental Science*. 4: 368-371.

Aliakbari F., Mirabolfathy M., Emami M., Mazhar S.F. and Karami-Osboo R., (2007). Natural Occurrence of *Fusarium* species in Maize Kernels at Gholestan Province in Northern Iran. *Asian Journal of Plant Sciences, 6: 1276-1281.*

Boudet A (2006) Evolution and current status of research in phenolic compounds. Phytochemistry 68:2722–2735

Cowan M (1999) Plant products as antimicrobial agents. Clin Microbiol Rev 12:564–582

Delledonne, M., J. Zeier, A. Marocco and C. Lamb (2001). Signal interactions between nitric oxide and reactive oxygen intermediates in the plant hypersensitive disease-resistance response. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA., 98: 13454-13459.

Drepper W.J. and Renfro B.L. (1990). Comparison of Methods for Inoculation of Ears and Stalksof Maize with *Fusarium moniliforme. Plant Dis.* 74:952-956.

Gwinner J., Harnisch R., Much O. 1996. Manuel sur la manutention et la conversation des grains apres-recolte. GTZ, Eschborn, Germany. P 38.

Hefny M., Attaa S., Bayoumi T., Ammar S.H. and El- Bramawy M. (2012). Breeding Maize for Resistance to Ear Rot Caused by *Fusarium moniliforme*. *Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences, 15: 78-84.*

Howlett B.J. (2006) Secondary metabolite toxins and nutrition of plant pathogenic fungi Cur. Opinion Plant Biol., 9, pp. 371-375

Khan M.M., Khan M.R. and Mohiddin F.A. (2012). The Relative Performance of Different Inoculation Methods with *Alternaria brassicae* and *A. brassicicola* on Indian Mustard. *Plant Pathology Journal, 11: 93-98.*

Lattanzio V, Lattanzio V.M., Cardinali A. (2006). Role of phenolics in the resistance mechanisms of plants against fungal pathogens and insects. Phytochem: Adv Res. 661:23–67.

Li W.J., He P and Jin J.Y. (2010) Effect of potassium on ultrastructure of maize stalk pith and young root and their relation to stalk rot resistance. Agricultural Sciences in China, 9:1467–1474.

Maddox C.E., Laur L.M. and Tian L. (2010) Antibactarial activity of phenolic compounds against the phytopathogen Xylella fastidiosa. Curr Microbiol. 60(1):53-58.

Masuka A.J., Cole D.L., and Mguni C. 2003. List of plant diseases in Zimbabwe. Plant Protection Research Institute.

Munkvold, G. P., McGee, D. C., and Carlton, W. M. (1997). Importance of different pathways for maize kernel infection by Fusarium moniliforme. Phytopathology 87:209-217

Murillo-Williams A. and Munkvoid G.P. (2008) Systemic Infection by *Fusarium verticillioides* in Maize Plants Grown Under Three Temperature Regimes. Plant Disease 92 No. 12, pages 1695 - 1700

Okoro-Robinson M.O., Olawuyi O.J., Bello W.O. and Babalola B.J. 2014. Comparative evolution of organic manure on growth and yield of maize. *Agricultural and Biological Research*. 30(1): 60-73.

Olakojo S.A. and Iken J.E. 2001. Yield performance and stability of some improved maize (*Zea mays* L.) varieties. *Moor Journal of Agricultural Research*. 2: 21-24.

Olawuyi O.J., Bello O.B., Ntube C.V. and Akanmu A.O. (2015) Progress from selection of some maize cultivars' response to drought in the derived savanna of Nigeria. *Agrivita*, 37 (1) 8-17

Olawuyi O.J., Odebode A.C., Alfar A., Olakojo S.A. and Adesoye A.I. 2010. Performance of maize genotypes and arbuscularmycorrhizal fungi in Samara District of Southwest Region of Doha-Qatar. *Nigerian Journal of Mycology,* 3(1): 86-100.

Olowe, O.M., Odebode, A.C., Olawuyi, O.J. and Sobowale, A.A. (2017) Molecular Variability of Fusarium verticillioides(Sacc.) in Maize from Three Agro-Ecological Zones of Southwest Nigeria. American Journal of Molecular Biology, 7, 30-40

OnoE.Y.S., Fungaro M.H.P., Sofia S.H., Miguel T.D., Sugiura Y., Hirooka E.Y. (2010). *Fusarium verticillioides* strains isolated from corn feed: characterization by fumonisin production and RAPD fingerprinting. Braz. arch. biol. technol. vol.53 no.4 Curitiba

Oren L., Ezrati S., Cohen D., and Sharon A. (2003) Early Events in the Fusarium verticillioides-Maize Interaction Characterized by Using a Green Fluorescent Protein-Expressing Transgenic Isolate. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2003 Mar; 69(3): 1695–1701.

Orsi R.B., Correa B., Possi C.R., Schammass E.A., Nogueira J.R., Dias S., Malozzi M.A.B. 2000. Mycoflora and occurrence of fumonisin of freshly harvested and stored hybrid maize. *Journal of storage and Product Research*. 36-87.

Perveen K., Haseeb A. and Shukla P.K. (2010) Effect of Sclerotinia sclerotiorum on the disease development, growth, oil yield and biochemical changes in plants of *Mentha arvensis*. Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences, 17, Issue 4, Pages 291-294

Reddy M.N. and Sireesha C.H. 2013. Role of oxidative enzymes and biochemical constituents in imparting resistance to groundnut (*Arachis hypogea* L.) against stem rot of diseases caused by *Sclerotium rolfsii*. Bioresearch Bulletin. 36-41pp.

Samapundo S., De Meulenaer B., Osei-Nimoh D., Lamboni Y., Debevere J., Devlieghere F. (2007). Can phenolic compounds be used for the protection of corn from fungal invasion and mycotoxin contamination during storage? Food Microbiology 24 (2007) 465–473.

Sharma, T.R. (2003) Molecular Diagnosis and Application of DNA Markers in the Management of Fungal and Bacterial Plant Diseases. Indian Journal of Biotechnology, 2, 99-109

Singh N., Ambika R., Meena S. and Girish M. 2012. Biochemical response and host-pathogen relation of stalk rot fungi in early stages of maize (*Zea mays* L.). *African Journal of Biotechnology*. 11 (82): 14837-14843.

Singh P., Shukla R., Prakash B., Kumar A., Singh S. and Mishra P.K. 2010. Chemical profile, antifungal, antiaflatoxigenic and antioxidant activity of *Citrus maxima* Burm. and *Citrus sinensis* (L) Osbeck essential oils and their cyclic monoterpene, DL-limonene. *Food and chemical toxicology*. 48 (6), 1734-1740.

Sobowale A.A. (2011). Determination of infective, non-lethal dosage of *Fusarium verticillioides* in maize (*Zea mays*) stem and effective inoculation method in the screenhouse. Journal of Agriculture and Biological Sciences Vol. 2(5) pp.118-122

Sobowale A.A., Cardwell K.F., Odebode A.C., Bandyopadhyay R., Jonathan S.G. (2007). Persistence of *Trichoderma* species within maize stem against *Fusarium verticillioides*. *Arch. Phytopathol. Plant Prot*. 40, 3: 215-231.

Sobowale A.A., Cardwell K.F., Odebode A.C., Bandyopadhyay R., Jonathan S.G. (2005). Growth inhibition of *Fusarium verticillioides* (Sacc.) Nirenberg by isolates of Trichoderma Pseudokoningii strains from maize plant parts and its rhizosphere. Journal of Plant Protection Research, 45 (4) Pages 249-265.

Stuper-Szablewska K, Kurasiak-Popowska D, Nawracała J, Perkowski J. (2017). Response of non-enzymatic antioxidative mechanisms to stress caused by infection with *Fusarium* fungi and chemical protection in different wheat genotypes. Chem Ecol. 33:949–962.

Thompson M. and Raizada M. (2018). Fungal Pathogens of Maize Gaining Free Passage Along the Silk Road. Pathogens, 7, Number 4, 81