#### SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



#### **SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_48848
Title of the Manuscript:	DIFFERENT LIGHT RADIATION INTENSITIES ON COTTON: A PHYSIOLOGICAL APPROACH
Type of the Article	Original Research Paper

#### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

### SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



# **SDI Review Form 1.6**

# **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Good paper very much fit for publication by JEAI. However, much needs to be done to bring the paper up to publication standard.	
	First and foremost, the paper needs to be better articulated. There are a lot of language and syntax errors in the paper which need to be rectified before the paper can be considered for publication. This is of the essence because poor articulation of ideas makes the paper incomprehensible. The paper should therefore be given to someone with a good mastery of the English language to read through and correct all the language errors.	
	Secondly, the methodology of the study is too superficial which does not permit repeatability/replicability. It is of the essence to add more flesh to the methodology section in order to permit replicability. Equally, the materials and methods section should be sub-divided into: the study area; data collection procedure; and data analysis procedure. This will ease comprehension.	
	Thirdly, a justification should be provided for the findings of the paper. It doesn't suffice to just describe the findings of the paper. The results section should also be divided into different sub-sections following the specific objectives of the study. This will go a long way to ease comprehension of the study's findings.	
	Equally, the discussion of the study's findings should be done in a more comparative fashion i.e. comparing and contrasting the findings of the study with the findings of other authors. More scientific publications (especially the most recent i.e. 2014 – 2019), should be sought for and used to discuss the findings of the paper.	
	Last but not the least, the practical and policy implications of the study should be given in order to help policy makers and other stakeholders orientate and reorientate their decision making processes.	
Minor REVISION comments	The abstract needs to be looked into again. In the present abstract, the study's findings are not well portrayed. This should be criticall looked into.	
Optional/General comments	Good original research paper that could be considered for publication by JEAI. However, the aforementioned comments should be taken into account before the paper is considered for publication.	

### PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

# SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



# **SDI Review Form 1.6**

# **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Nyong Princely Awazi
Department, University & Country	University of Dschang, Cameroon

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)