



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_49026
Title of the Manuscript:	ANALYSIS OF REGRESSION AND CORRELATION ON PRODUCTION OF SUGARCANE IN THE STATES OF PARANÁ, SÃO PAULO AND MINAS GERAIS, BRAZIL
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Precise introduction and justification should be reported in the abstract. Justification and objective(s) of the research should be mention in the introduction section. Design for the experiment should be clearly mentioned. Discussion is scanty and results should be discuss more and supported with recent citations. References and citations should follow journal guidelines.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Minor corrections should be corrected as highlighted and indicated in the manuscript.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Introduction and methodology were clear and understandable. Precise introduction and justification should be reported in the abstract. Justification and objective(s) of the research should be mention in the introduction section. Design for the experiment should be clearly mentioned. Discussion is scanty and results should be discuss more and supported with recent citations. References and citations should follow journal guidelines. The manuscript should be accepted for publication after moderate revisions and minor corrections.	

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Habu Saleh Hamisu</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>National Horticultural Research Institute, Nigeria</b>