

2

3 **Vegetative Development and Productivity of the**

4 **Watermelon Under Different Irrigation Depths in**

5 **the Northwest Region of Espírito Santo**

6

7

8

---

9

10

11 **ABSTRACT**

12

Brazil is one of the main producers of watermelon crops (*Citrullus lanatus*), which present great water requirement and offer in their irrigated cultivation, when well managed, the possibility of productive gains and fruit quality. The objective of this study was to evaluate the productivity and the vegetative development of the plant and the watermelon fruits of the 'Top Gun' cultivar submitted to different irrigation depths in the **Northwestern** region of Espírito Santo. The experiment was carried out in the horticulture sector of the Federal Institute of Espírito **Santo-Campus** Itapina, from September 30, 2017 to December 15, 2017. A Completely Randomized Design (**CRD**) was used consisting of six treatments corresponding to 50%, 75%, 100%, 125%, 150% and 175% of the reference evapotranspiration (ET<sub>o</sub>) calculated daily, with four repetitions of each treatment. The length of the branches of all the selected plants and the longitudinal and transverse lengths of their fruits were evaluated weekly. In the last analysis, the fruit weight was also collected and the productivity was estimated. Development and differentiated production responses were verified with the different depths applied. Water replacements corresponding to the 125% ET<sub>o</sub> leaf gave the best vegetative and productive development of the watermelon 'Top Gun' cultivar, cultivated in the northwestern region of Espírito Santo.

13

14 *Keywords: Citrullus lanatus; 'Top Gun' cultivar; yield; irrigation management;*

15 *evapotranspiration; water replenishment.*

16

17

18 **1. INTRODUCTION**

19

20 Considered a cosmopolitan culture, watermelon (*Citrullus lanatus*) is cultivated in almost all

21 tropical and subtropical regions of the planet. In Brazil, the Northeast region was historically

22 the largest producer of this vegetable, however, it lost expressiveness because of its

23 irrigated crop, pioneered adopted by the most technologically developed regions of the

24 country. This way of cultivation stands out for the possibility of choosing the time of planting

25 and the control of the water offered to the detriment of the phenological stage of the crop,

26 interfering in the production [1].

27

28 Brazil is one of the main producers of watermelon, producing, in 2013, about 2.16 million

29 tons of fruit, thus occupying the 4<sup>th</sup> position in the world ranking and, in the same year, the

30 state of Espírito Santo produced 8,107 tons of this fruit [2]. Although still small, such

31 production has been helping and gaining ground in the process of agricultural production

32 diversification in the state of Espírito Santo, which presents coffee production as a

33 production base [3].

34

35 The culture still presents few studies about the factors responsible for the productivity and  
36 quality of its fruits, even being one of the most important national vegetables [4]. It is known,  
37 however, that it presents a great demand and water application management, and that even  
38 small periods of water shortage can lead to a compromise in the quality and productivity of  
39 its fruits [5].

40

41 In regions with monthly rainfall less than 100 mm and the ones that undergo summer  
42 periods, irrigation becomes a practice of great relevance, allowing gains in productivity and  
43 quality. This practice still stands out as making production viable during the off-season,  
44 allowing greater profitability to producers, due to the generally higher prices achieved in the  
45 commercialization of fruits [6].

46

47 The watermelon culture presents a demand for quantity and frequency of variable irrigation  
48 according to its phenological stage, and its response to them is very relevant in irrigation  
49 planning, seeking a productive maximum in the face of a good use of available water  
50 resources, one of the main limiting factors for its production [7]. The watermelon culture  
51 presents a need for efficient water management when seeking productive gains [6].

52

53 Because of the few studies on the physiological and productive responses of the watermelon  
54 crop and aiming at assisting farmers in the adoption of adequate irrigation management  
55 based on the reference evapotranspiration (ET<sub>o</sub>), in order to increase production in the  
56 region, this work had as an objective to evaluate the productivity and the vegetative  
57 development of the plant and the watermelon fruits of the 'Top Gun' cultivar submitted to  
58 different irrigation depths in the Northwestern region of Espírito Santo.

59

## 60 **2. MATERIAL AND METHODS**

61

62 This work was carried out at the Federal Institute of Espírito Santo-Campus Itapina, located  
63 in Colatina-ES, Brazil (19°32'22" South, 40°37'50" West, 62 m altitude), from September  
64 30<sup>th</sup>, 2017 to December 15<sup>th</sup>, 2017, in the sector of Horticulture. The climate of the region is  
65 classified as Tropical Aw [8]. The region is characterized by irregular rainfall and high  
66 temperatures. The soil of the experimental area is classified as Dystrophic Red-Yellow  
67 Latosol [9].

68

69 The experimental design was Completely Randomized (CRD) with six treatments: 50% (T1),  
70 75% (T2), 100% (T3), 125% (T4), 150% (T5) and 175% (T6) of the reference  
71 evapotranspiration (ET<sub>o</sub>) calculated daily, with four repetitions of each treatment. Each  
72 treatment consisted of 4 lines of 30.0 m long by 2.0 m wide, where the watermelon plants,  
73 double hybrid 'Top Gun', were conducted in spacing 2.0 x 1.5 m, totaling 20 plants per  
74 repetition, 80 plants per treatment and 480 plants throughout the experiment.

75

76 Only six central plants of the planting lines were evaluated in each treatment, totaling 24  
77 useful plants per treatment, remaining the other ones as border.

78

79 In the experimental area, an automated drip irrigation system, micro spray type, was used,  
80 using an emitter per plant, with an average flow rate of 20 l.h<sup>-1</sup>, at a service pressure of 2.0  
81 kgf.cm<sup>-2</sup>.

82

83 The reference evapotranspiration (ET<sub>o</sub>) was estimated daily (Equation 1) by the Penman-  
84 Monteith method FAO-56 Standard [10], through data obtained from a complete ONSET®  
85 weather station, consisting of air temperature sensors (°C), wind direction (°), wind speed

86 (m.s<sup>-1</sup>), relative humidity (%) and global solar radiation (W.m<sup>-2</sup>), located near the  
87 experimental area.

88

89

$$ET_o = \frac{0.408\Delta(Rn - G) + \gamma \frac{900}{T + 273} u_2(e_s - e_a)}{\Delta + \gamma(1 + 0.34 u_2)} \quad (\text{Eq.1})$$

90

91

92 in which ET<sub>o</sub> is the daily reference evapotranspiration (mm.d<sup>-1</sup>); R<sub>n</sub> is the daily radiation  
93 balance (MJ.m<sup>-2</sup>.d<sup>-1</sup>); G is the daily flow of heat in the soil (MJ.m<sup>-2</sup>.d<sup>-1</sup>); T is the daily average  
94 air temperature (°C); u<sub>2</sub> is the daily average wind speed at 2 m in height (m.s<sup>-1</sup>); e<sub>s</sub> is the  
95 saturation pressure of the daily average water vapor (kPa); e<sub>a</sub> is the daily average water  
96 vapor pressure (kPa); Δ is the slope of the vapor pressure curve at the point of T (kPa.°C<sup>-1</sup>)  
97 and γ is the psychrometric coefficient (kPa.°C<sup>-1</sup>).

98

99 The daily evapotranspiration estimate of the crop (ET<sub>c</sub>) was determined by Equation 2. The  
100 values of the crop coefficients (K<sub>c</sub>) used were about the days after transplanting (DAT) for  
101 the crop: 0.4 (1-18 DAT); 0.5 (19-26 DAT); 0.7 (27-30 DAT); 0.8 (31-35 DAT); 0.95 (36-40  
102 DAT); 1.05 (41-50 DAT); 0.9 (51-54 DAT); 0.8 (55-60 DAT) and 0.7 (61-64 DAT) [5].

103

104

$$ET_c = ET_o \times K_c \quad (\text{Eq. 2})$$

105

106 where: ET<sub>c</sub> = evapotranspiration of the crop for the day (mm); K<sub>c</sub> = crop coefficient of the  
107 day (dimensionless); ET<sub>o</sub> = reference evapotranspiration for the day (mm);

108

109 In order to calculate the volume of water to be applied daily in each treatment (V), Equation  
110 3 was used in which the evapotranspiration of the crop is multiplied by the factor (F),  
111 according to the percentage of each treatment (T<sub>1</sub> = 0.50, T<sub>2</sub> = 0.75, T<sub>3</sub> = 1.0, T<sub>4</sub> = 1.25, T<sub>5</sub>  
112 = 1.50 and T<sub>6</sub> = 1.75), by the location coefficient (wet or shaded area, whichever is higher)  
113 and by area of the plant, then, the result was divided by the application efficiency of the  
114 irrigation system adopted.

115

116

$$V = \frac{ET_c \times F \times KI \times A}{Ea} \quad (\text{Eq. 3})$$

117

118 where: V = volume of water to be applied daily in each treatment (mm); ET<sub>c</sub> =  
119 evapotranspiration of the crop for the day (mm); F = percentage of treatments (decimal); KI =  
120 location coefficient (%); A = area of the plant (3 m<sup>2</sup>); Ea = efficiency of application of the  
121 irrigation system (90%).

122

123 Soil preparation was carried out by plowing, sorting and opening of pits 20 days before  
124 planting. Fertilization was done based on soil analysis (Table 1), according to the need of the  
125 watermelon crop, according to Prezotti *et al.* [11], following the manual of recommendation  
126 of liming and fertilization for the state of Espírito Santo, for a productivity of 25 tons per  
127 hectare. 150 g.pit<sup>-1</sup> of NPK 08-28-10 (pit fertilization) were applied; 100 kg.ha<sup>-1</sup> of N and 100  
128 kg.ha<sup>-1</sup> of K<sub>2</sub>O, weekly applied by sowing before irrigation (cover fertilization).

129

130

131

**Table 1. Chemical characteristics of the soil in the 0-20 cm layer**

pH (water)	O.M. (%)	P -----	K mg dm <sup>3</sup>	Na -----	Ca -----	Mg -----	Al -----	SB cmolc dm <sup>3</sup>	t -----	CEC -----	V %
6.5	1.84	179.9	244	14	4.60	1.46	0.00	6.74	6.74	7.94	84.9

132 *pH: potential of Hydrogen; OM: organic matter; P: phosphorus; K: potassium; Na: sodium; Ca: calcium;*  
133 *Mg: magnesium; Al: aluminum; SB: sum of bases; t: effective cation exchange capacity; CEC: cation*  
134 *exchange capacity at pH 7; V: percentage base saturation.*

135

136 The watermelon seeds, 'Top Gun' cultivar Chinese double hybrid, used in the production of  
137 seedlings were purchased locally and individually seeded on September 30<sup>th</sup>, 2017, in  
138 polyethylene bags with dimensions of 10 x 20 x 0.5 cm, filled with a conventional substrate  
139 composed of 70% of subsoil soil and 30% of sifted and sieved cattle manure. For each 1000  
140 kg of this mixture (subsoil soil plus manure) were added 2 kg of dolomitic limestone, 4 kg of  
141 single superphosphate and 0.3 kg of potassium chloride [11].

142

143 The seedlings were produced in a greenhouse and transplanted directly into the field on the  
144 16<sup>th</sup> day after sowing (October 15<sup>th</sup>, 2017), when they presented two well-formed leaves. In  
145 the first five days after transplanting (DAT), an irrigation depth corresponding to 100% of the  
146 ETo was used for all treatments, thus maintaining the soil in the field capacity in all  
147 treatments, providing uniform initial development and adaptation of the seedlings to the  
148 planting site. The treatments with the different irrigation depths started at the 6<sup>th</sup> DAT.

149

150 Weekly evaluations of the length of all branches of the plants, selected in each treatment,  
151 and of the longitudinal and transverse length of all fruits were carried out using a measuring  
152 tape graduated in centimeters. Through the sum of the length of all the branches of the  
153 selected ones, starting from their base, the average length of the branches was calculated  
154 for each treatment.

155

156 The experiment was finalized on December 15<sup>th</sup>, 2017, when the fruits were submitted to the  
157 last measurement and weighed in a digital scale with an accuracy of 0.05 grams, with the  
158 average weight of the fruits per treatment, the production was then estimated per the  
159 corresponding hectare.

160

161 The data of the components, length of branches, length and transverse length circumference  
162 of the fruits, fruit weight and yield were submitted to analysis of variance of the regression by  
163 the F test ( $P < 0.05$ ), using R software [12]. When significant, regression models were  
164 adjusted to better explain the effect of treatments, higher coefficient of determination ( $R^2$ ).  
165 The maximum points were determined through the first derivative of the regression  
166 equations.


167

### 168 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

169

170 The relative humidity during the field cultivation period presented a maximum value of 99.2%  
171 and a minimum of 29.7%, making an average value of 76.5%. The average values recorded  
172 for the maximum and minimum air relative humidity were 88.0% and 27.9%, respectively,  
173 with an average of 56.2% (Figure 1). Relative humidity is considered to be one of the factors  
174 that most affect the growth and productivity of the watermelon crop, with the range of 60 to  
175 80% suggested for most vegetables [13]. High relative air humidity indexes may compromise  
176 fruit quality, favoring the incidence of foliar diseases, while low moisture favors the  
177 production of sweeter fruits [14].


178



**Fig. 1. Daily variations of maximum, minimum and average relative humidity during the growing season (10/15/2017 to 12/15/2017) of the watermelon crop, Top Gun cultivar**

179  
180  
181  
182  
183  
184  
185  
186

During the experimental period of watermelon cultivation, the maximum temperature recorded was 40.2°C (14<sup>th</sup> DAT) and the minimum temperature recorded was 16.8°C (4<sup>th</sup> DAT), with an average value of 25.3°C (Figure 2). These climatic conditions, observed during the experiment, fit the ideal conditions of production of the crop, which consists of hot and mild climate, long days and low relative humidity, optimum temperature range of 23 to 28°C [15].




**Fig. 2. Daily variations of the maximum, minimum and average temperature during the growing period (10/15/2017 to 12/15/2017) of the watermelon crop, Top Gun cultivar**

187  
188  
189

The development of watermelon in the experiment period occurred in an environment with climatic conditions satisfactory to the crop. Being a typically tropical, its development is


190 paralyzed under temperatures below 13°C and, below 15°C, its germination is not favored.  
191 However, under very high air temperatures there is a larger production of male flowers  
192 (above 35°C), which is not desirable and, above 39°C, pollination carried out mainly by bees  
193 is damaged because it affects the insects [13].  
194

195 During the growing period, the cumulative volume of rainfall was 270.6 mm, whose  
196 distribution can be observed in Figure 3. The reference evapotranspiration (ET<sub>o</sub>) reached a  
197 maximum value of 6.63 mm.d<sup>-1</sup> and a minimum of 1.34 mm.d<sup>-1</sup>, presenting an average value  
198 during the field experiment of 3.55 mm.d<sup>-1</sup> in the growing period.  
199



**Fig. 3. Daily variations of reference evapotranspiration (ET<sub>o</sub>) and rainfall, during the growing season (10/15/2017 to 12/15/2017) of the watermelon crop, Top Gun cultivar**


200 The applied depths had their volume calculated based on the percentage of ET<sub>o</sub> (50; 75;  
201 100; 125; 150 and 175%), estimated daily. The total volume applied (effective rainfall plus  
202 volume of the calculated depth corresponding to each treatment) was 598.26 mm; 628.79  
203 mm; 659.69 mm; 690.91 mm; 722.79 mm and 755.79 mm for the treatments T1, T2, T3, T4,  
204 T5 and T6, respectively (Figure 4).  
205  
206



**Fig. 4. Total water applied for treatment (effective rainfall plus depth of each treatment) provided in each treatment during the growing period (10/15/2017 to 12/15/2017) of the watermelon crop, Top Gun cultivar**

207  
208  
209  
210  
211  
212  
213  
214  
215  
216  
217

The behaviors presented by the average growth of the watermelon branches in each treatment (Figure 5) show different responses of the development of the plants to the treatments used. Good developmental responses were observed in the treatments corresponding to 75%, 100%, 125% and 150% of ETo. The treatment of 175% of the ETo showed an initial average growth of the branches similar to the treatments of 75% to 150%, but, from the 45 DAT, it was not able to provide the same average growth rate of the branches, probably due to the effect of the higher volume of water applied. The treatment corresponding to 50% of the ETo gave the lowest development during the whole cycle, reaching 0.8 m at the end of it.



**Fig. 5. Average accumulated growth of the branches of the watermelon plant under different irrigation depths**


218

219 These responses indicate that volumes below and above the water supply range of 75% to  
220 150% of ETo are detrimental to the average development of the branches in the watermelon  
221 crop, evidencing in the case of higher volumes, a later loss. According to Pereira [16], water  
222 stress acts by causing a reduction in the rate of evapotranspiration in plants and in the  
223 physiological functions that are related to it, such as nutrient assimilation, photosynthesis  
224 and respiration.

225  
226 Under favorable conditions of soil characteristics, the excess irrigation applied to the  
227 watermelon crop suffers percolation, which results in damage to the irrigant [17]. This  
228 damage is not exclusively linked to the costs of this unnecessary volume of irrigation, but  
229 also to the leaching of nutrients that such percolation favors, even affecting the development  
230 of the crop.

231  
232 Under unfavorable conditions of soils with reduced drainage, excess water compromises the  
233 respiration of the roots, causing the yellowing of the plants, which may lead to their death,  
234 due to the low tolerance to low aeration of the soil [6].


235  
236 Analyzing the results of the final average length of the branches in the accumulated volumes  
237 of water applied in each treatment (Figure 6), we can observe that these values present a  
238 regression with quadratic behavior and coefficient of determination of 0.87. The highest  
239 estimated average length for the branches, 1321.64 cm, was attributed to the total depth of  
240 687.36 mm, only 3.51 mm less than the accumulated value attributed to that one studied  
241 corresponding to 125% of the ETo. Higher yields of watermelon production were observed  
242 by Eitz *et al.* [18], in plants that presented the highest branch lengths, attributing such  
243 occurrence, probably to a larger leaf area for photosynthesis and higher speed of soil cover.  
244




**Fig. 6. Final average length of the watermelon branches, Top Gun cultivar, submitted to different irrigation depths**

245  
246 By the behavior of the fruit growth, both in the longitudinal (Fig. 7A) and transverse (Fig. 7B)  
247 directions, it is possible to verify that from the 45<sup>th</sup> DAT, in the treatment corresponding to  
248 50% of the ETo, that the water deficiency compromised the development of the fruits,  
249 directly reflecting on their productivity.





A





B

**Fig. 7. Average accumulated growth of the longitudinal (A) and transverse (B) circumference of the watermelon fruits, Top Gun cultivar**

251  
 252  
 253  
 254  
 255  
 256  
 257  
 258

The values corresponding to the final length of the fruits, longitudinal and transverse, obtained by the treatments of irrigation depths presented a quadratic regression model, with determination coefficients of 0.94 and 0.89 respectively (Figure 8). The maximum development in the longitudinal length of the watermelon fruit, 85.84 cm, was reached in the total depth of 705.52 mm, with only 0.21 cm more than that estimated for the studied depth of 125% of the ET<sub>0</sub>, while the maximum development in the transversal length of the fruit,

259 78.80 cm, occurred in the that one of 695.75 mm, with approximately 0.02 cm less than that  
 260 estimated for the studied depth corresponding to 125% of ETo.  
 261




B

**Fig. 8. Final, longitudinal (A) and transverse (B) average length of the watermelon fruits, Top Gun cultivar, submitted to different irrigation depths**

262  
 263  
 264  
 265  
 266  
 267

The average weight of the watermelon fruit (Figure 9) presented a quadratic behavior, with a determination coefficient ( $R^2$ ) of 0.868, reaching its maximum on a 698.96 mm depth (only 8.05 mm more than the accumulated volume in the 125% of the ETo), with an average weight of 9.006 kg, a value higher than the 5.45 kg found for Top Gun cultivar by Cardoso et


268 *al.* [19], when evaluating watermelon cultivars in Manaus region in the state of Amazonas  
269 and 6.028 kg found by Pinho *et al.* [20], for Top Gun watermelon fruits when evaluating the  
270 production and quality of watermelon under daily irrigation equivalent to 100% replacement  
271 of the ETo by dripping in Teresina region in the state of Piauí.  
272



**Fig. 9. Average final weight of the watermelon fruits, Top Gun cultivar, submitted to different irrigation depths**

273  
274  
275  
276  
277  
278  
279  
280  
281  
282

The productivity of watermelon also showed a quadratic behavior, with a determination coefficient ( $R^2$ ) of 0.868 and maximum estimated yield, 29.97  $\text{ton}\cdot\text{ha}^{-1}$ , being reached in the accumulated depth of 699.01 mm, only 0.08 tons more than the estimated for the studied one corresponding to 125% of ETo (Figure 10). This estimated maximum production is about 4.13 tons lower than that found by Pinho *et al.* [20] for Top Gun cultivar under drip irrigation in Teresina, adopting a smaller spacing of 2.0 meters between rows by 1.0 meter between plants.



**Fig. 10. Average productivity of the watermelon, Top Gun cultivar, submitted to different irrigation depths**

283  
 284  
 285  
 286  
 287  
 288  
 289  
 290  
 291  
 292  
 293  
 294  
 295  
 296  
 297  
 298  
 299  
 300  
 301  
 302  
 303  
 304  
 305  
 306

The water deficit, especially in the more critical stages of flowering and fruiting, promotes a considerable reduction in fruit quality and production as a whole [6]. Excess water, especially in poorly drained soils and irrigation systems that promote leaf wetting, contributes to the recurrent occurrence of soil diseases. When this excess occurs in the maturation stage, the damage caused is more harmful than when there is the deficit, leading to a reduction of sugar in the fruits and, in some situations, cracking [21].

All the evaluated parameters evidenced the occurrence of developmental responses and differentiated production of the watermelon crop, related to the irrigation depths used. The 125% ETo (T4) depth provided the best vegetative growth response, fruit size and productivity, and it (125% of ETo) should be considered in irrigation management for the producers from the Northwestern region of Espírito Santo.

#### 4. CONCLUSION

Irrigation management performed with water replacements equivalent to the irrigation depth of 125% of the ETo presented the best development in the average length of the branches, fruit growth, higher average weight of the fruit, as well as the higher productivity of the watermelon Top Gun cultivar, cultivated in the Northwestern region of Espírito Santo

307 **COMPETING INTERESTS**

308

309 Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

310

311

312

313 **REFERENCES**

314

315

316 1. Dias RCS, Rezende GM. Watermelon production system: socioeconomics. Embrapa  
317 Semiárido Sistema de produção. 2010. Electronic version ISSN 1807-0027. Available:  
318 <https://sistemasdeproducao.cnptia.embrapa.br/FontesHTML/Melancia/SistemaProducaoMelancia/socioeconomia.htm>

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

2. AGRIANUAL – Anuário da Agricultura Brasileira. São Paulo: FNP, Business Consulting. 2017;321-324.

3. Varejão P. Watermelon is an alternative income for producers in Marilândia. Portal Campo Vivo. 2010. Available: <https://campovivo.com.br/sem-categoria/Melancia-e-alternativa-de-renda-para-produtores-de-Marilandia/>

4. Figeirêdo VB, Medeiros JF, Zocoler JL, Sobrinho JE. Evapotranspiration of watermelon irrigated with different salinity waters. Engenharia agrícola. 2009;29(2):231-240. Available: <https://repositorio.unesp.br/bitstream/handle/11449/10318/S0100-69162009000200006.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y>

5. Braga MB, Calgaro M. Watermelon production system: irrigation. Embrapa Semiárido Sistema de produção. 2010. Electronic version ISSN 1807-0027. Available: <https://sistemasdeproducao.cnptia.embrapa.br/FontesHTML/Melancia/SistemaProducaoMelancia/irrigacao.htm>

6. Marouelli WA, Braga MB, Andrade Junior AS. Irrigation in watermelon culture. Technical circular 108. Ministério da Agricultura, Pecuária e Abastecimento. 2012. ISSN 1415-3033. Available: <https://www.infoteca.cnptia.embrapa.br/infoteca/handle/doc/942564?locale=es>

7. Andrade Junior AS, Frizzone JA, Bastos EA, Cardoso MJ, Rodrigues BHN. Optimal irrigation strategies for watermelon crop. Pesquisa agropecuária brasileira. 2001;36(2):301-305. Available: <http://www.scielo.br/pdf/%0D/pab/v36n2/a13v36n2.pdf>

8. Köppen, W. Das geographische system der klimare. In: Köppen GW.; Geiger MR. (eds.), Handbuch der Klimatologie, Berlin. 1936; 44p.

9. Santos HG, Jacomine PKT, Anjos LHC, Oliveira VAV, Lumbreras JF, Coelho MR, Almeida JA, Cunha TJF, Oliveira JB. Brazilian system of soil classification. Revista ampliada – Brasília, DF: Embrapa. 2013;3:353.

10. Allen RG, Pereira LS, Raes D, Smith M. Crop evapotranspiration: guidelines for computing crop water requirements. (Irrigation and Drainage Paper, 56) Rome: FAO. 1998;1-300.

11. Prezotti LC, Gomes JA, Dadalto GG, Oliveira JA. Liming and Fertilization Recommendation Manual for the State of Espírito Santo - 5<sup>th</sup> approach. SEFA/INCAPER/CEDAGRO. Vitória, ES. 2007;1-305.

- 360  
361 12. R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for  
362 Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. 2017. Available: URL <https://www.R-project.org/>  
363
- 364 13. Rezende GM, Dias RCS, Costa ND. Watermelon production system: Climate. Embrapa  
365 Semiárido, Sistemas de Produção. Electronic version ISSN 1807-0027. 2010. Available:  
366 <https://sistemasdeproducao.cnptia.embrapa.br/FontesHTML/Melancia/SistemaProducaoMelancia/clima.htm#precipitacao>  
367  
368
- 369 14. EMBRAPA – Empresa Brasileira de Pesquisa Agropecuária. The culture of watermelon.  
370 2nd ed. Brasília, DF: Embrapa Information Technology. 2007;85. ISBN 978-85-7383-407-9.  
371 Available: <https://ainfo.cnptia.embrapa.br/digital/bitstream/item/11919/2/00081320.pdf>  
372
- 373 15. Costa ND, Leite WM. Soil and water management: soil potential for watermelon  
374 cultivation. Embrapa Semi-Árido.2007:15. Available:  
375 <https://www.alice.cnptia.embrapa.br/bitstream/doc/159191/1/OPB1322.pdf>  
376
- 377 16. Pereira LS. Water Requirements and Irrigation Methods. Lisboa: Publicações Europa-  
378 América. 2004:313.  
379
- 380 17. Saraiva KR, Bezerra FML, Souza F, Camboim Neto LF. Using “ISAREG” on irrigation  
381 management in watermelon cultivation in the Baixo Acaraú, Ceará. Revista Ciências  
382 Agrônômica. 2013;44(1):53-60. ISSN 1806-6690. Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S1806-66902013000100007>  
383  
384
- 385 18. Eltz FLF, Böck VD, Amado TJC. Effects of soil and leaf diseases management on  
386 watermelon yield and quality. Revista brasileira Agrociências. 2005;11(2):201-206.  
387 Available: <https://periodicos.ufpel.edu.br/ojs2/index.php/CAST/article/view/1212/1007>  
388
- 389 19. Cardoso MO, Antonio IC, Batista AC. Production and fruit quality of watermelon cultivars  
390 in the ecosystem of "upland" of the State of Amazonas. Horticultura Brasileira. 2011,  
391 29(2):1630-1636. Available:  
392 [http://www.abhorticultura.com.br/EventosX/Trabalhos/EV\\_5/A3687\\_T5412\\_Comp.pdf](http://www.abhorticultura.com.br/EventosX/Trabalhos/EV_5/A3687_T5412_Comp.pdf)  
393
- 394 20. Pinho RC, Ferreira VM, Andrade Junior AS, Andrade FN, Ribeiro VQ. Production and  
395 fruit quality of watermelon cultivars under drip irrigation. XL Brazilian Congress of  
396 Agricultural Engineering – CONBEA, Cuiabá, 2011. Available:  
397 <https://ainfo.cnptia.embrapa.br/digital/bitstream/item/39560/1/TR0366-2.pdf>  
398
- 399 21. Santos FJS, Lima RN, Rodrigues BHN, Crisostomo LA, Sousa F, Oliveira JJG.  
400 Management of watermelon irrigation: use of tank class "A". Embrapa. Technical Circular 20.  
401 2004:13. Available: [http://www.ceinfo.cnpact.embrapa.br/arquivos/artigo\\_3056.pdf](http://www.ceinfo.cnpact.embrapa.br/arquivos/artigo_3056.pdf)  
402  
403  
404