



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Economics, Management and Trade</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JEMT_48670</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Fun Culture @ Work Place: A Review</b>
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) The title of this paper should be linked with containing this study. E.g. Fun Culture in the Work Place: A Systematic Review.</li> <li>2) A literature review has not mention the literature sources (databases) and search duration (years).</li> <li>3) Did not show findings and conclusion in manuscript.</li> </ol>	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Did not found in text reference in Reference List, for example Hofstede, 2001.</li> <li>2) Definitions of different authors summary (table) should include year(s).</li> <li>3) The abstract is not well written as difficult to discover 1) what are you trying to solve and 2) how that adds to current insight.</li> </ol>	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	The study is a very actual one. The prominent authors of culture and leadership theories shall not be leave out. All relevant literature reviews should map and present in summary tables. The in-text references are not recent.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)



SDI Review Form 1.6

Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	
--	--	--

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Oon Fok Yew</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>University Technology of Malaysia, Malaysia</i></b>