



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_49258
Title of the Manuscript:	India's export performances of animal products under new Food Safety Law Regime
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Although this is an interesting and important subject, the approach taken by the author is too descriptive and insufficiently analytical. There is a calculation but this is not sufficiently tied in to the rest of the paper. The author should also include some research hypotheses which can be examined by the analysis and a discussion section in which the results of this analysis can be considered in terms of whether it makes any contribution to academic knowledge. The conclusion should incorporate research imitations and suggestions for future research.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	John Walsh
Department, University & Country	RMIT Vietnam, Vietnam