

SDI Review Form 1.6

| Journal Name: | Journal of Energy Research and Reviews |
|--------------------------|---|
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JENRR_48387 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | THE STUDY OF TERRESTRIAL SOLAR RADIATION IN AWKA USING MEASURED METEOROLOGICAL DATA |
| Type of the Article | |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manu- his/her feedback here) |
|------------------------------|---|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | Equations 7 and 8 are not correct. There is an error first in derivation (eq.7), and consequently in eq.8. These errors must be corrected. Marked sentences at the beginning of the Results and Discussion part are not clear and must be revised (as indicated in the comments in the paper). At the end of 6th page, authors comments are not in full agreement with the figure they refer to (Fig.4). Perhaps some more explanations are advisable (it is also indicated in the comments). | |
| Minor REVISION comments | Overall language is very good, and there are some minor corrections, as indicated in the paper. It is costumary to mark variables in Italic, so if it does not present a problem to authors they should revise this. More references are advisable. | |
| Optional/General comments | The subject of the paper is very interesting and the investigations are thorough. Obtained data should be extremely useful for future application of solar systems. The obtained results, and consequently conclusions drawn from them are valuable for possible data base of factors that influence terrestrial solar insolation at the specific site. The title and the abstract are coherent and appropriate to the content of the paper, and the paper as a whole is well structured. The authors must correct above mentioned mistakes and such revised paper could be published consequently. | |

eed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write



PART 2:

| | | Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manus his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | |

Reviewer Details:

| Name: | Aleksandra Vasic-Milovanovic |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Department, University & Country | University of Belgrade, Serbia |

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write