



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Energy Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JENRR_48412
Title of the Manuscript:	ENFORCEMENT OF ACCESS TO EFFECTIVE TECHNICAL SUPPORT SERVICES IN THE KENYAN SOLAR ENERGY SYSTEM
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Check English Grammar. Rewrite the paper as Journal format. Structure of the paper is not Good. Update the references.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>Reader can't understand this manuscript as per current structure. Rewrite the paper.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>P.S.Manoharan</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Thiagarajar College of Engineering, India</i>