SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Energy Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JENRR_48958
Title of the Manuscript:	Optimization of Foam-Mat Drying Process of Watermelon Pulp Using Response Surface Methodology
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	For Introduction; there is no literature review related to drying condition from other work, only information of foam mat drying mechanism and watermelon were detailed.	
	For results which report in abstract (line 19); please report the optimum condition of foam-mat drying. What is the optimum drying temperature, air velocity, carboxymethyl cellulose, and egg albumen? The author report only optimized value for the drying characteristics. These optimum characteristics were resulted from which optimum condition? Meanwhile, the aim is optimization of drying process. Therefore, the main point did not report yet. In addition, optimum condition must be reported in conclusion.	
Minor REVISION comments	Line 73; foam stabilizer: (methylcellulose). Please correct to carboxymethylcellulose same as abstract.	
Optional/General comments	The paper matches to the topic quite well and presented in a well-balanced manner. The results were clearly with appropriate graphs and equations.	

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Patpen Penjumras
Department, University & Country	Maejo University-Phrae Campus, Thailand

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)