



**SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1**

**PART 1:**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Engineering Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_48519
Title of the Manuscript:	Validating Visual Modflow Numerical Model To Predict Future Impact Of Brine Disposal On Groundwater
Type of Article:	Original Research Article

**PART 2:**

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
<p>I suggest enumerating again the references, that starting at number 1, now, on the text the first reference is number 3.</p> <p>Line 22: I suggest changing the word sanctuary to another more suitable, like as ecosystem or something similar.</p> <p>It is unusual start the sentence with a reference (Ex. Lines 29, 30, 33, etc.). Commonly references are placed on the end of the sentences.</p> <p>Line 39: I suggest describing the conclusions of that reference (in short), as introduction of the comparison.</p> <p>Authors shall to take care with punctuation marks. Paragraphs about the same subject must be linked by full stop, if they were different subject is recommended new paragraph (lines: 104-105).</p> <p>In my opinion, the manuscript is getting better; structure, results organization were improved... However, the model's results were removed. They are not the aim of the paper, but they were interesting.</p> <p>By other hand when I talked about validation and calibration of model, I didn't talk calibration with experimental model (because this is the core of this work), I was talking about validation and calibration values that were calculated by Visual Modflow.</p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<i>Germán Sanz Lobón Organic</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>University of Cordoba , Spain</i>