SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_48552
Title of the Manuscript:	A REVIEW OF SOIL DYNAMICS IN TRACTION STUDIES
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

Minor REVISION comments Line 83 tires) Line 121(2011)) Line 212 acquisition Line 215 (Mardan et all 2010) There isn't at references Line 247(Recel 1964) There isn't at references Line 265 equationis (what means that) Line 315 analy 33Bekker, Mc, (1969) Line 335 Freitag Line 426 and Line 428 are same references. Line 471 Wulfsohn, D. (2009)		Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and
Minor REVISION comments Line 83 tires) Line 121(2011)) Line 212 acquisition Line 215(Mardan et all 2010) There isn't at references Line 247(Reece 1964) There isn't at references Line 247(Reece 1964) There isn't at references Line 245equationis (what means that) Line 269Cl.d.b/w Line 335sekker, M.G. (1969) Line 335 Freitag Line 426 and Line 428 are same references.			highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Line 83 tires) Line 121(2011)) Line 212 acquisiition Line 215(Mardan et all 2010) There isn't at references Line 247(Reece 1964) There isn't at references Line 265equationis (what means that) Line 269Cl.d.b/w Line 315manuwa S.I.(2014a) (not bold) Line 333Bekker, M.G. (1969) Line 355 Freitag Line 426 and Line 428 are same references.	<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		,
Line 83 tires) Line 121(2011)) Line 212 acquisiition Line 215(Mardan et all 2010) There isn't at references Line 247(Reece 1964) There isn't at references Line 265equationis (what means that) Line 269Cl.d.b/w Line 315manuwa S.I.(2014a) (not bold) Line 333Bekker, M.G. (1969) Line 355 Freitag Line 426 and Line 428 are same references.			
Line 83 tires) Line 121(2011)) Line 212 acquisiition Line 215(Mardan et all 2010) There isn't at references Line 247(Reece 1964) There isn't at references Line 265equationis (what means that) Line 269Cl.d.b/w Line 315manuwa S.I.(2014a) (not bold) Line 333Bekker, M.G. (1969) Line 355 Freitag Line 426 and Line 428 are same references.			
Line 83 tires) Line 121(2011)) Line 212 acquisiition Line 215(Mardan et all 2010) There isn't at references Line 247(Reece 1964) There isn't at references Line 265equationis (what means that) Line 269Cl.d.b/w Line 315manuwa S.I.(2014a) (not bold) Line 333Bekker, M.G. (1969) Line 355 Freitag Line 426 and Line 428 are same references.			
Line 83 tires) Line 121(2011)) Line 212 acquisiition Line 215(Mardan et all 2010) There isn't at references Line 247(Reece 1964) There isn't at references Line 265equationis (what means that) Line 269Cl.d.b/w Line 315manuwa S.I.(2014a) (not bold) Line 333Bekker, M.G. (1969) Line 355 Freitag Line 426 and Line 428 are same references.			
Line 83 tires) Line 121(2011)) Line 212 acquisiition Line 215(Mardan et all 2010) There isn't at references Line 247(Reece 1964) There isn't at references Line 265equationis (what means that) Line 269Cl.d.b/w Line 315manuwa S.I.(2014a) (not bold) Line 333Bekker, M.G. (1969) Line 355 Freitag Line 426 and Line 428 are same references.	Minor REVISION comments		
Line 212 acquisiition Line 215(Mardan et all 2010) There isn't at references Line 247(Reece 1964) There isn't at references Line 265equationis (what means that) Line 269Cl.d.b/w Line 315manuwa S.I.(2014a) (not bold) Line 333Bekker, M.G. (1969) Line 355 Freitag Line 426 and Line 428 are same references.	THE VISION COMMONS		
Line 215(Mardan et all 2010) There isn't at references Line 247(Reece 1964) There isn't at references Line 265equationis (what means that) Line 269Cl.d.b/w Line 315manuwa S.I.(2014a) (not bold) Line 333Bekker, M.G. (1969) Line 355 Freitag Line 426 and Line 428 are same references.			
Line 265equationis (what means that) Line 269Cl.d.b/w Line 315manuwa S.I.(2014a) (not bold) Line 333Bekker, M.G. (1969) Line 355 Freitag Line 426 and Line 428 are same references.		Line 215(Mardan et all 2010) There isn't at references	
Line 269Cl.d.b/w Line 315manuwa S.I.(2014a) (not bold) Line 333Bekker, M.G. (1969) Line 355 Freitag Line 426 and Line 428 are same references.			
Line 333Bekker, M.G. (1969) Line 355 Freitag Line 426 and Line 428 are same references.		Line 269Cl.d.b/w	
Line 355 Freitag Line 426 and Line 428 are same references.			
Line 426 and Line 428 are same references.		Line 355 Freitag	
Line 471 vvulisonn, D. (2009)		Line 426 and Line 428 are same references.	
		Line 47 1 Wullsorin, D. (2009)	
	Out and I/Our and a second		
Optional/General comments	Optional/General comments		

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Şükrü KİTİŞ
Department, University & Country	Dumlupinar University, Turkey

Approved by: CEO Created by: EA Checked by: ME Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)