



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_49157
Title of the Manuscript:	Data Mining and Statistical Analysis for Available Budget Allocation Preprocurement of Manufacturing Equipment
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Please define your figure and table instead of just source : [no.] (Source 11,14)</p> <p>A complete sentence is required even with references. (First sentences of 1.1 Major goals of data mining with references 6 and 8, 11)</p> <p>Strongly suggest the author to prepare the article according to the format. Font size, paragraph, etc.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Pre-procurement is much more correct than preprocurement	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Choon Sen Seah
Department, University & Country	Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia, Malaysia