

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_49157
Title of the Manuscript:	Data Mining and Statistical Analysis for Available Budget Allocation Preprocurement of Manufacturing Equipment
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manu- his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	 a. Introduction : what is the mainproblem of this research, is there any research before ?; what the difference whit this research b. Sampling technique to select the data and sample size unclear c. Conclusion (line 248-255) unmatch with the purpose of research (line 84-86) 	
Minor REVISION comments	Discussion still minim, showing numbers only, but what the numbers mean hasn't been revealed; for example : $R^2 = 0.8727$ (line 234), what this number"s meaning ?	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed wi that part in the manuscript. It is m feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kholil
Department, University & Country	Sahid University Jakarta, Indonesia

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight s mandatory that authors should write his/her