



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_48927
Title of the Manuscript:	Psychometric Properties of Iranian Elder Abuse Questionnaire (Long form)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Interesting paper. Please review thoroughly the writing and spaces between words. Please consider to cite unified along the text; it seems that cites are combined, i.e., numbers in square brackets and all authors with year in round parenthesis. Please could you mention how many psychologists and how many psychiatrists were involved in the study? Please unify font and size along the text. Results: first phrase, please explain the age range, it is confusing.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Diana C. Tapia-Pancardo
Department, University & Country	National Autonomous University of México, México