## SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



## **SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_48963
Title of the Manuscript:	First-Year Students' Self-Regulation Process Through Self-Report at a Minority Serving Institution (MSI)
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

## **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

## **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol> <li>Very Interesting research topic. I have some suggestions.</li> <li>Under the research methodology give brief explain about the variable use in Regression.</li> <li>Table 01 is also an analysis. Shift Table 01 to result and Discussion section. The descriptive statistics used for this analysis can be explained in methodology section.</li> <li>Please use the reference style proposed by the journal.</li> <li>Please check all in text citations are listed in the reference list or not.</li> <li>Since this research is based on one institute, it is better to mention ethical approval for the data collection as well as publish the findings by the institute name.</li> </ol>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

# SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



# **SDI Review Form 1.6**

# PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

# **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Wasantha Rajapakshe
Department, University & Country	Sri Lanka Institute of Information Technology, Sri Lanka

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)