



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_45596
Title of the Manuscript:	Kinetics of Nitrate Removal from Bed Column
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>It is suggested some modifications of the text to improve the manuscript.</p> <p>In the INTRODUCTION: It is necessary to cite some manuscripts already published within the same theme of study.</p> <p>I suggest that in the introduction the equations are not placed, just to mention the models. Cite the equations in the methodology.</p> <p>At the end of the introduction put the aim of this research work.</p> <p>Make Equations in Microsoft Equation.</p> <p>Carefully inspect the description of the units of the equations. See paper already published in this journal.</p> <p>Check all units carefully throughout the text, except for the % symbol, the other units must be separated from the numerical values.</p> <p>In the MATERIALS AND METHODS Adjust the sub-items. See manuscript. DOI: 10.9734/JGEESI/2017/37283</p> <p>Various information is needed, such as the study site.</p> <p>Cite the equations in the methodology.</p> <p>More details as variables were obtained.</p> <p>In the RESULTS AND DISCUSSION The quality of the figures is not good. The titles of figures and tables need to be standardized. See in this manuscript the correct form. DOI: 10.9734/JGEESI/2017/37283</p> <p>In the CONCLUSION The conclusions should be better, because here is basically the description of the results already described above.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Mairton Gomes da Silva</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Federal University of Recôncavo of Bahia (UFRB), Brazil</i>