



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_48139
Title of the Manuscript:	HYDROCARBON POTENTIAL OF THE DISANG GROUP OF ROCKS OF THE INNER PALEOGENE FOLD BELT OF ASSAM-ARAKAN BASIN EXPOSED ALONG THE DIMAPUR-SENAPATI ROAD SECTION OF NAGALAND AND MANIPUR, INDIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Table 1: The authors did a table describing the information, but it is perfectly possible to draw up a stratigraphic profile from the data described.</p> <p>The Fig. 2 is not clear and appears to have been taken from an older publication whose source was not cited. Replace or cite the source.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Tables 3 and 4 could be gathered in a single table to facilitate the synthesis of the information. The width of the columns can be reduced.</p> <p>It is necessary to separate the words in the text. Some words are joined together and need to be separated. We recommend doing a scan on all text.</p>	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is of good quality, the reading is fluent and the issue is of great relevance to the current context. I recommend the publication of this manuscript after passing through a minor revision.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gabrielli Teresa Gadens Marcon
Department, University & Country	University of Rio Grande do Sul State, Brazil