



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_48155
Title of the Manuscript:	An Assessment the Solid Waste Composition and Management in Agbor and its implication on human health
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Results: the part from table 2 to figure3 is not a result of the study; it talks about the sampling characteristics. This part is to move in materials and methods to describe respondents.</li> <li>- The results of this study should be compared with findings of anterior studies.</li> <li>- Add a conclusion of the article.</li> <li>- Recommendations should be based on the study's findings, should be practical and easy to be implemented.</li> </ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Avoid minor mistakes: samples, Line 3 in introduction: that materials. Line 6 parag 2 in study area and size: mush</li> </ul>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Try to compare the study's finding to results of quantitative approach (data and statistics)</li> </ul>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Farhaoui Mohamed
Department, University & Country	National Office of Electricity and Drinking Water, Morocco