SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_48644
Title of the Manuscript:	Determination of flood hazard Zones Using Geographical Information Systems and Remote Sensing techniques: A case Study in part Yenagoa Metropolis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	 I have a lots of question regarding this work: How the author's processed remote sensing data? Why this is novel approach? However, I found several papers regarding these mapping techniques. If we have water level data, we can clearly determine which one is more flood prone zone. In that case, what would be the purpose of this work? Based on only 2 years of flood data, how this mapping tool would be useful to the managers? This paper is an example of reading least amount of paper and make a manuscript based on this. This paper shows lack of originality, consequence, lots of typo, font size and style. The author's need to take a careful consideration on these aspects as well. Authors are trying to convince reviewers by giving same information in both table and figures. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details	<u>s)</u>

Reviewer Details:

Name	e:	Mohammad Abu Zafer Siddik
Depa	rtment, University & Country	Stamford University Bangladesh, Bangladesh

Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Created by: EA Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)