Role of relief and slope in Agricultural Land use: A case study in Valapattanam River basin in Kannur District, Kerala using GIS and Remote Sensing

Abstract

The present study aims to determine the relationship between relief and agricultural land use; and slope and agricultural land use in Valapattanam river basin in Kannur district using GIS and Remote Sensing. Land is a delineable area of the earth's terrestrial surface, encompassing all attributes of the biosphere immediately above or below this surface, including those of the near-surface climate, the soil and terrain forms, the surface hydrology (including shallow lakes, rivers, marshes and swamps), the near surface sedimentary layers and associated groundwater reserve, the plant and animal populations, the human settlement pattern and physical results of past and present human activity. The Survey of India Topographic maps in 1:50000 scale are used as a base map for delineating the basin. Contours were digitized and DEM was ~~created~~generated. Using Landsat imagery and agricultural land use maps were prepared using satellite digital data by digital image processing method using ERDAS IMAGINE image processing software. ~~From t~~The study ~~it is found~~revealed ~~-~~that there is a strong correlation between Agricultural land use and relief ~~and~~ & slope in the Valapattanam River basin. GIS and Satellite Remote sensing were useful to establish the relationship of Agricultural land use with relief ~~and~~ & slope. Higher the relief and slope lower the agricultural land use.

Keywords: Remote Sensing, biosphere, sedimentary layers, Topographic maps, ~~Topographic maps,~~ Landsat imagery, geographic information system.

Introduction

Land is the basis for many life support systems. Land is a delineable area of the earth's terrestrial surface, encompassing all attributes of the biosphere immediately above or below this surface, including those of the near-surface climate, the soil and terrain forms, the surface hydrology (including shallow lakes, rivers, marshes and swamps), the near surface sedimentary layers and associated groundwater reserve, the plant and animal populations, the human settlement pattern and physical results of past and present human activity (terracing, water storage or drainage structures, roads, buildings, etc.) (Brinkman and Smyth, 1973 and FAO, 1995). Wise land use/land cover is an essential basis for a healthy and prosperous future of the society. Functions of land are manifold and depend upon site, situation and function. Land evaluation is part of the process of land-use planning. The essence of land evaluation is shell to compare or match the requirements of each potential land use with the characteristics of each kind of land. The result is a measure of the suitability of each kind of land use for each kind of

Comment [U1]: Too much of introduction. Covers almost the half of the abstract section. Concise the same to make it brief and precise.

Comment [U2]: Use the full form at the beginning.

Comment [U3]: Modify the sentence. Doesn't stand any meaning.

Comment [U4]: This information has been already given prior to it and no need for repetition. Instead, the conclusion can be discussed a little more.

land. Land properties vary in time and space. Land-use/ land cover is dynamic. Over the years a variety of evaluation procedures have been proposed to cope with the complexity of land and its use.

Vink (1983) established relationship between Landscape Ecology and Land Use. Soma Roy Choudhury (1992) studied terrain and its impact on the land use of the Tista basin in West Bengal. Kaberi De (2010) made a study on terrain characteristics and their impact on land use of the Torsa-Raidak interfluves in West Bengal. Tools like Remote sensing and GIS were widely used in studies on land use, resource management and agriculture by Sahai and Karela (1987), Singh et al (2003), Salem Essa et al (2005), Lo and Yeung (2006) and Patel (2008).

In this paper an attempt ~~is~~ was made to see the relationship between relief and agricultural land use; and slope and agricultural land use in Valapattanam river basin in Kannur district using GIS and Remote Sensing.

Study area

Valapattanam River is one of the important rivers in north Kerala. Out of the 44 rivers of Kerala, seven west-flowing rivers are in Kannur district and Valapattanam River is the longest among them. It is the ninth longest river in the State and by the quantum of water resources, it gains fourth place. The Valapattanam basin extend between latitudes 11º 49'30" N and 12º 13' 50" North and longitudes 75º 58' 55" E and 75º 17' 22" East (Fig. 1). The length of the river is 110.50 Km with a catchment area of 1907 Sq km of which approximately 1321Sq km of area falls within the territory of Kerala State and the remaining in the Karnataka State. The river covers about 43.45% of Kannur district. Important tributaries of Valapattanam River are Bavali, Aralam, Veni or Vallithodu, Iritty, Sreekandapuram rivers, and Kattampallipuzha.

Comment [U5]: The role and importance of relief and slope have not been discussed properly here. Thus, its highly recommended to include a paragraph on it and substantiate it with proper citations.

Comment [U6]: Introduction is not only reviewing the existing works, but also find the research gaps of them and propose a solution to overcome it. Otherwise, there is no credit in repeating the same study conducted somewhere else, without any advancement.

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0.49"



Fig.1

Comment [U7]: Complete the caption.

Materials and methodology

The Survey of India Topographic maps in 1:50000 scale are used as a base map for delineating the basin. Contours were digitized and DEM was created. Based on the contours a relief map was prepared (Fig.2). Using DEM slope map was prepared (Fig.3). ArcGIS software was used for the integration and analysis. Using Landsat imagery and agricultural land use maps were prepared using satellite digital data by digital image processing method using ERDAS IMAGINE image processing software (Fig.4). Relief categories were intersected with agricultural land use categories and areas were calculated. Same way Slope categories were intersected with agricultural land use categories and areas were calculated. To show the relationship trend graphs were prepared. Correlation coefficients were also made to establish the relationships.

Comment [U8]: Same sentences are repeated from abstract. Kindly rewrite and make it brief.

Analysis

Relief is the difference between the highest and lowest elevations in an area. A relief map shows the topography of the area. A relief shows changes in elevation over a given area

Comment [U9]: The paper is missing the discussion. No comparison and validation of the data have been carried. Moreover, all the papers, mentioned in the reference list is not cited in the body of the manuscript.



Fig.2



Fig.3

of land. It is the expression of the interaction of several different phenomena and processes within the earth's crust and on its surface. Relief has strong influence on the processes and phenomena related with land, such as climate, hydrology etc. It is also a well known fact that both natural and accelerated processes of soil erosion are largely dependent upon the nature of local relief. Relief is therefore intimately connected with many of the other elements of landscape resources. Agricultural land use is strongly influenced by the size and shape of the

relief forms. The distribution of area under different elevations is given in the Table 1. About 25 percent of the basin has an elevation between 100 and 300 meters. Elevation of about 20 to 60 meters occupies 24.3 percent of the total basin area and 20.3 percent area is occupied between 60 to 100 meters elevation. Major portion of the district is covered between 20 meters and 300 meters elevation. They are mostly in the midland regions of the district.

Table 1

Valapattanam River basin: Relief

Sl.No.	Height above mean sea level	Area (sq.km)	Percent to the basin area
1	Below 20	169.3	13.0
2	20-60	317.4	24.3
3	60-100	264.8	20.3
4	100-300	331.1	25.4
5	300-600	139.5	10.7
6	600-1200	54.3	4.2
7	1200-1800	25.8	2.0
8	Above 1800	3.1	0.2

Many physical attributes vary along a natural slope. Seven categories of slope are recognized in the Valapattanam basin based on the degree of steepness. Plateau edges and high mountain region have steep slopes. Many physical and biological processes acting on the landscape are highly correlated with topographic position: a hilltop, valley bottom, exposed ridge, flat plain, upper or lower slope, and so on. Examples of these processes include soil erosion and deposition, hydrological balance and response and wind exposure. More than 50 percent of the basin has very gentle slope of less than 3 degree slope angle (Fig: 3). About 20 percent has 3to 6 degree of slope angle. The next category is the 6 to 12 degree slope which also constitutes 14 percent. Slope angle of 12 to 18 occupies almost 10 percent and higher slope angles or steep slope constitute limited area of less than 5 percent of the total basin area (Table 2).

Table 2

Valapattanam River basin: Slope

Sl.No	Slope	Area(sq.km)	Percent to the basin area
1	>30	3.6	0.3
2	24-30	29.5	2.3
3	18-24	61.7	4.7
4	12-18	119.6	9.2
5	6-12	179.6	13.8
6	3-6	218.5	16.8
7	<3	694.5	53.3

Land Use/Land Cover

Valapattanam river basin is richly endowed with agricultural resources. Most of the area comes under agricultural land use. Coconut is the dominant crop in the basin followed by rubber,

Comment [U10]: Change all the table formats to make it look more professional.

cashew, arecanut, paddy and other crops. Land use/ land cover of Valapattanam River basin is shown in Fig 4.



Fig: 4

Mixed trees include cashew, pepper, mango, and jack fruit. Mixed crops include banana, plantain, tapioca and other crops. Area under different land use/ land cover is given in the Table.3. The upper reaches of the Valapattanam River basin are extensively cultivated with plantation cash crops like coffee, and rubber. Tapioca, cashew and pepper occupy the midland regions. At the lower elevations of the river valleys, tapioca, coconut and other tree crops are interspersed with paddy cultivation. The lowland coastal area is dominated by coconut and partly by cashew and paddy. The diversity of tree crops is markedly high at the lowland – midland junction. Conversion of forest area for developmental activity includes plantations in the uplands.

Table 3

Valapattanam River basin – Area and Percentage under Land use/Land cover

Sl.No	Land use/land cover	Area in sq.km	Percentage to the total area
1	Paddy	90.5	6.93
2	Coconut	98.18	7.51
3	Mixed crops	68.09	5.21
4	Mixed trees	825.09	63.13
5	Rubber	90.13	6.9
6	Forest	79.26	6.06
7	Barren land	20.2	1.55
8	Marshy land	11.73	0.9
9	Water body	23.74	1.82

Relief and Area under Agricultural Land Use

Relief ranges from zero to above 1800 meters in the basin. Area under paddy is high in the low land region of the basin and gradually decreases in area with increase in height towards east. Water body also follow similar trend. Marshy area is confined to low land only. Area under rubber is low in the lowland region; it is high in the midland region and decrease towards highland. Similarly mixed trees are found more in the midland region compared to low and high land region. Forest area is showing increasing trend from midland to high land. Barren lands are confined to midland region. Graph:1 shows the relation between agricultural land use and different height categories. Table: 4 Shows area of agricultural land use in square kilometers under different height categories

Table 4

Valapattanam River basin – Relief and area under agricultural land use

Relief	Paddy	Coconut	Rubber	Mixed Crops	Mixed trees	Forest	Barren land	Marshy land	Water body
Below 20	42.7	32.4	0.4	27.3	54.7	0.0	1.9	11.8	16.1
20-60	25.2	22.4	8.8	22.2	220.5	0.0	7.5	0.1	6.5
60-100	13.1	15.3	18.5	6.7	186.7	0.8	2.1	0.0	1.3
100-300	10.2	22.7	43.2	11.8	224.7	13.1	5.2	0.0	0.2
300-600	0.3	6.0	13.2	0.8	95.1	30.9	0.4	0.0	0.0
600-1200	0.0	0.4	5.4	0.0	25.3	26.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
1200-1800	0.0	0.1	1.5	0.0	3.3	21.7	0.1	0.0	0.0
Above 1800	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	3.1	0.0	0.0	0.0



Graph: 1

Comment [U11]: Table 4 & 5 is also represented in the form of graph and thus, no need to repetition. Tables can be eliminated.

Comment [U12]: There is no caption named graph. It comes under figures in scientific writing. Mention the same with proper caption.

Slope and Area under Agricultural Land use

All the categories of agricultural land use show high area in low slope areas of Valapattanam River basin (Graph: 2).They gradually decease in area at higher slope region.

Table 5

Valapattanam River basin - Slope and area under agricultural land use

Area in sq.km

Slope	Paddy	Coconut	Rubber	Mixed Crops	Mixed trees	Forest	Barren land	Marshy land	Water body
<3	78.3	66.6	32.2	59.5	382.2	5.6	8.0	11.9	21.8
3 to 6	9.1	15.9	16.0	10.5	163.9	6.8	1.3	0.0	2.1
6 to12	3.2	11.9	24.0	5.2	121.7	20.3	0.9	0.0	0.0
12 to18	0.9	8.0	11.3	0.2	79.2	28.2	0.1	0.0	0.0
18 to24	0.0	2.5	5.6	0.0	34.2	21.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
24 to30	0.0	0.4	2.5	0.0	14.0	13.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
> 30	0.0	1.3	2.3	0.0	0.7	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.0



Graph: 2

Conclusion

From the study it is found that there is a strong correlation between Agricultural land use and relief and slope in the Valapattanam River basin. GIS and Satellite Remote sensing were useful to establish the relationship of Agricultural land use with relief and slope. Higher the relief and slope lower the agricultural land use.

Comment [U13]: Not up to the mark. Rewrite the major findings with proper explanation.

REFERENCES

1. Brinkman R (1998), Land quality indicators: aspects of land use, land, soil and plant nutrients, Land quality indicators and their use in sustainable agriculture and rural development, Land and Water Bulletin 5, FAO, Rome pp.95-104.
2. Clark, J., and Steven, M. (1996). Application of remote sensing in agriculture. Published by Butterworths, London. Pp 337-397.
3. Doi R .D. (1990), Land capability classification in semi arid Mosel River basin –a study in the methodology, Annals of the National Association of Geographers of India,Vol.10.No.1,pp 47-51
4. Essa.S.et.al., 2005, Operational remote sensing for the detection and monitoring of oil pollution in the Arabian gulf: case studies from the United Arab emirates oil pollution and its environment impact in the Arabian gulf region Elsevier. Development in earth and environmentalscience3,pp-31-42.
5. Farida Perveen, Ryoa Nagasawa,Md.Imtiaz Uddin and Hossain K.M.Delowar(2005), Crop land suitability Analysis using a Multicriteria Evaluation and GIS Approach in Haripur Upazila of Bangladesh
6. Gastellu-Etchegorry, J. (1990). Satellite remote sensing for agricultural projects. Published by the World Bank, Washington, DC. USA. Pp 1-42.
7. Gautam N.C (2002), Methodology for Land use planning Systematic Approach. Centre for Land use management Hyderabad pub. pp. 6-77.
8. Howard, J., (1991). Remote sensing of forest resources; theory and application. Published by Chapman and Hall, London. Pp 2-20.
9. Jensen, J., 1986. Introductory digital image processing – A remote sensing perspective. Prentice Hall, New York, USA.
10. Joshi P.K, Humayun Rashid and P.S. Roy (2002), Landscape Dynamics in Hokersar wetland, Jammu and Kashmir - An application of Geospatial approach, Indian Institute of Remote Sensing Journal, Vol.30, No.1 and 2, pp1-5.
11. Kaberi De (2010) Terrain characteristics and their impact on land use of the Torsa-Raidak interfluves in West Bengal, Unpublished Ph.D thesis, University of Calcutta, Calcutta.
12. Landscape System and Ecological Studies Vol. 29 No. 2.
13. Leopold L.B, M.G.Wolman and J.P Miller (1964), Fluvial processes in Geomorphology, Freeman. San Francisco, p.522.
14. Lo C.P and Albert K.W.Yeung (2006), Concepts and techniques of Geographic Information System Prentice – Hall of India Pvt.Ltd Pub, pp1-2.
15. Mohamad Shafi (2006), Agricultural Geography, Pearsons Education, pp 88-482.

16. Namdeo Hiraman Khadse (2006), Mapping of slope categories for landuse and agriculture in Washim and Akola district, Maharashtra, Geographical Review of India, Vol: 68, No: 4, pp 445-452.
17. Noor Mohammad (1981), Perspectives in Agricultural Geography: vol2, Concept Publishing Company New Delhi.
18. Parihar J.S., Panigrahy S., Chakraborthy M, and Patel NK (2009), Forecasting Agricultural output using Space Agro meteorology and land based observations(FASAL), NNRMS Bulletin (33)Satellite Remote sensing Applications ,pp1-6.
19. Publication 22, Land resources evaluation and the role of land related indicators (R. Brinkman and A. Young (eds.), ILRI, Wageningen, the Netherlands.
20. Raturi R.K, Bhatt A.B(2004), Vegetation pattern analysis in Rudraprayag District using Remote sensing and GIS ,Journal of the Indian Society of Remote Sensing,Vol.32,No:2, pp217-224.
21. Sen A. K. 2006 Geomorphic Processes and their impact on Agricultural land use.
22. Soma Roy Choudhury (1992) Terrain and its impact on the land use of the Tista basin in West Bengal, Unpublished Ph.D thesis, University of Calcutta, Calcutta.
23. Vink A.P.A. (1962), Soil Survey as related to agricultural productivity, Journal of soil sciences, 14, pp.88-101.
24. Vink A.P.A(1975), Landuse,Springer-verlag,Berlin,p.18
25. Vink A. P. A. (1983) Landscape Ecology and Land Use

Comment [U14]: References are non-uniform and a specific style should be followed as per the journal guideline.