



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_48431
Title of the Manuscript:	Knowledge, Attitude, and Utilization of Traditional Medicine for Type 2 Diabetes among residents of St. Cuthbert's Mission, Guyana
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>It would be ideal if quoted text would be removed and the meaning maintained through an original sentence Is something meant to fill lines 77-86, 149-156 and 172-183? Preferibly Table 1 is not a direct copy from DePhillips, 2004. In the header Column1-Column5 should be removed The Data Collection section should include the ethics approval for this study and the informed consent procedure as well as the inclusion and exclusion criteria used. A list of the questions asked to gauge participant knowledge of TM and attitude would be useful. A comparison of results from this study with those of Agbaje & Babatunde, 2005 would substantiate the author's claims Figure 3 should not be embedded in the text and should include a meaningful caption Reference 12 needs the date of last access The English language needs only slight improvements</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Byron Baron</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>University of Malta, Malta</i>