



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_48431
Title of the Manuscript:	Knowledge, Attitude, and Utilization of Traditional Medicine for Type 2 Diabetes among residents of St. Cuthbert's Mission, Guyana
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: <http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> All the sections in the manuscript are written poorly with a large number of grammatical and technical errors. Title of the manuscript indicating that the study is "Utilization of Traditional medicine for Type 2 Diabetes". But, the survey was taken for general traditional medicine. There is a controversy in the title and content in the manuscript. Introduction is completed with incomplete information. There is no objective of the present study mentioned in the manuscript. Table 1 heading (Column 1-5), what is this all. In Table 2 & 3, the contents are in UPPERCASE (Eg. FEMALE, MALE etc.). All these to be changed to Title case (Eg. Female, Male etc.) In Table 4, Dosage form used – Fluid (It is supposed to be liquid), Route of administration – Mouth (It is supposed to be Oral). Overall, the language is very poorly used in the manuscript. References - Not in uniform format. There is a difference in the format from one to another reference (for example, Reference no 7 & 8). Overall I don't support this Manuscript for publication in JOCAMR. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mahendran Sekar
Department, University & Country	Universiti Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia