SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_48768
Title of the Manuscript:	In Vitro Antioxidant Potentials of Ethanol Extract of Ripe and Unripe Dennetia tripetala Fruits
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty</u>', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This manuscripts well-written in general. I suggest the authors discuss a little bit more about the potential "Medical" meaning of the outcome from this study on "Ethanol Extract of Ripe and Unripe Dennetia tripetala Fruits". Recent literatures like "Effect of ethanol extract of ripe fruits of Dennetia tripetala on prostatic and testicular functions of male albino rats, The Journal of Medical Research 2018; 4(2): 89-92" would be helpful for such discussions. I suggest the author(s) add some descriptions on how to distinguish between the ripe and unripe status of the fruits. The format of formula can be improved, for example, should "%" be ahead of the variables? Should we add numbers for all formulas?	
Minor REVISION comments	The order of 2.5-2.7 is not correct. There are two "2.7" and "2.6" is behind "2.7" In 2.7, "ethanol exract of ripe and unripe" should be "ethanol extract of ripe and unripe"	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dong Xu
Department, University & Country	Tianjin University, China

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)