



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_44864
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative study of 50% Trichloroacetic acid solution versus 10% Potassium hydroxide solution in the treatment of plane warts
Type of the Article	Original article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:



SDI Review Form 1.6

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Lines 88-92- Elaborate on the physician's perception in differentiation between moderate and mild responders in MATERILS AND METHODS section. Eg. Warts (single or multiple) were measured in size on follow ups to label them moderate or mild responders to treatment?	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Lines 117-126 – write the percentage of the side effects in the bracket as written above in the result section to follow the same pattern of writing.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Shruti Ghadgepatil</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Dr DY Patil medical college, India</i>