### Short Research Article 1 2 3 Pharmacy student's perceptions and evaluation of a formative OSCE added into their 4 curriculum in Northern Cyprus. 5 6 **Abstract:** 7 **Objectives:** To assess pharmacy students' overall perception of objective structured clinical examinations (OSCE). 8 9 Methods: for a blueprint guided 13 stations OSCE exam based on an experiential course 10 objectives conducted, a semi structured interview followed by a cross-sectional survey was 11 conducted using a validated 24-item questionnaire tool which was administered on the 12 13th station immediately after all students completed the examination. 13 14 The questionnaire comprised of questions to evaluate the content and structure of the 15 examination, student's perceptions of OSCE reliability, and rating of individual OSCE 16 stations and also rating OSCEs compared to other assessment methods used during the 17 18 experiential course. 19 Results: 90% of the surveyed students agreed that wide knowledge area and clinical skills 20 were covered in the exam. Over 80% of the students saw that OSCE beside that it provided 21 them with an opportunity to learn real life scenario it was well administered and run in the 22 23 faculty and better organized compared to a previous pilot OSCE (68%). 75 % of the students 24 saw that the 7 minutes time allocated per station was adequate, while a close percentage also agreed that the standardized patients were competent in their role playing. Majority of 25 students though they identify that OSCEs highlighted areas of weakness in their skills and 26 27 knowledge but still disagree with incorporating OSCEs marks into final marks and thus prefer it as an formative assessment. Overall 80% of students rated the OSCE exam settings as good 28 29 or excellent. 30 31 Conclusions: Students highly perceived the exam feeling that it more resembles actual 32 practice providing them with self-confidence and more clearly their defects and what they 33 need to improve regarding both skills and knowledge. They saw OSCEs as being a beneficial 34 formative assessment that should not be included as marks into finals.

Keywords: objective standardized clinical examination (OSCE), pharmaceutical care, clinical competence, pharmacy students, assessment, North Cyprus.

### INTRODUCTION:

- 38 Training and education of pharmacy students in Turkey and North Cyprus in preparation for
- their careers as pharmacists is undergoing change [1, 2, 3]. Pharmacy undergraduate programs
- 40 should prepare graduate pharmacist with the adequate knowledge, skills and attitudes to
- obtain their role in rational medication use and pharmaceutical care in a variety of settings,
- 42 including community and hospital pharmacy environment. Core competences to achieve that
- 43 goal should be well assessed and evaluated within curricula to provide accountability for the
- 44 goals of pharmacy education [4].
- 45 Objective Structured Clinical Examination (OSCE) is a well-designed assessment modality in
- 46 which clinical competence of students or candidate practitioners is evaluated. It is a
- 47 performance-based assessment that evaluates clinical knowledge, professional judgment,
- communication, interpersonal skills, problem-solving skills, and resolution development [5,
- 49 6].

- 50 It was first developed by Ronald M. Harden, and since the first publication of his work in the
- 51 British Medical Journal in 1975 OSCEs became universally adopted for many medical
- schools and professional bodies as a standard approach to assessment of clinical competence
- in a planned, objective and structured way [7]. It is an approach to the assessment of clinical
- 54 competence in which the components of competence are assessed in a planned or structured
- way with attention being paid to the objectivity of the examination [7].
- It was proven as an effective tool for students and practitioner assessment, therefore it has
- 57 been adopted in disciplines other than medicine, like dentistry, nursing, midwifery, pharmacy
- and even engineering and law. Although OSCEs are performed in many settings in regard to
- the exam purposes, the organizing institution, and available facilities, they all share similar
- 60 procedures [8].
- 61 Inside exam candidates pass through the following steps respectively
- 62 1. Registration
- 63 2. Orientation
- 3. Escorting to exam position
- 4. Station Instruction Time
- 5. The Encounter
- 6. Post Encounter Question Period
- 7. Repeat Steps 4 to 6 to complete all stations
- 8. Exam ended / Escorting to dismissal area

- 71 Yet carrying OSCEs has many barriers including cost and increase of workload on faculty
- members, as also many OSCEs loose reliability and validity due to critiques of measures
- taken before and during exam setting [9]. students perceptions and evaluation of learning
- activities guide in assessing achievement of learning goals and outcomes, and forms a form of
- 75 feedback that contribute in enhancement of future OSCEs as in our case, leading to
- development of a more robust, feasible, reliable, and valid examination [10].
- 77 In this report, the authors describe student experience and perception of OSCEs as an
- 78 assessment tool for an experiential clinical pharmacy practice course adopted by a pharmacy
- school in Northern Cyprus(Turkish inhibited) after acquiring of an international certification
- 80 provided by Accreditation Council for Pharmacy Education (ACPE).

## 81 METHODS AND SETTINGS:

- 82 A blueprint guided 13 stations OSCE exam based on experiential course objectives was
- developed, validated, and conducted for fifth year students of a pharmacy school in Northern
- 84 Cyprus.
- 85 Competences assessed involved drug information retrieval & interpretation, systems based
- 86 client assessment of anticoagulant toxicity and DM complications, management of DTPs in
- 87 respiratory and cardiovascular diseases patients' prescriptions, pharmacotherapy knowledge
- 88 of DM, asthma, COPD, hypothyroidism, anticoagulants use & toxicity management. Also
- 89 response to symptoms & history taking was assessed with patient education skills on DM,
- 90 insulin use and inhalers use. General health advice providing skills for respiratory and
- 91 cardiovascular diseases patients, and finally communication skills with patients with different
- 92 attitudes was also tested.
- 93 A cross-sectional survey was conducted using a face validated 24-item questionnaire tool
- 94 validated by experienced faculty members and educators and administered on the 13th station
- 95 immediately after all students completed the examination .Students were asked to complete
- 96 the questionnaire on a voluntary basis.
- 97 The questionnaire was modified according to a questionnaire which was developed based on a
- 98 comprehensive literature review and modified from previously validated instrument used to
- evaluate a group of students [11].
- 100 The questionnaire comprised of questions to evaluate the content and structure of the
- examination, student's perceptions of OSCE reliability, and rating of individual OSCE
- stations and also rating OSCEs compared to other assessment methods used during the
- experiential course. A 4-point Likert-type scale that indicated degrees of agreement consisting
- of disagree, normal, agrees and no comment was used for 14 items. Rating and compares of
- specific stations was carried with 7 items with a "none of the stations "option. In addition, an
- item evaluated the general rating of students of the conducted OSCE followed by an open-
- ended follow- up request for comments to generate qualitative data.
- 108 Statistical package for social sciences (SPSS) version 16.0 for windows was used for analysis
- of Data.

#### **RESULTS:**

- 111 The results obtained from the 74 fifth year clinical pharmacy students representing at two
- different shifts, shift -A consist of 36(48.6%) students and shift-B were 38(51.4%) students.
- 113 90% of the surveyed students agreed that wide knowledge area and clinical skills were
- 114 covered in the exam.
- Over 80% of the students saw that OSCE beside that it provided them with an opportunity to
- learn real life scenario it was well administered and run in the faculty and better organized
- 117 compared to a previous pilot OSCE (68%). The majority of students saw the OSCE as an
- unprecedented opportunity to encounter real-life scenarios.
- Two third of the students (75 %) saw that the 7 minutes time allocated per station was
- adequate, while a close percentage also agreed that the standardized patients were competent
- in their role playing. Majority of students though they identify that OSCEs highlighted areas
- of weakness in their skills and knowledge but still disagree with incorporating OSCEs marks
- into final marks and thus prefer it as an formative assessment (Table.1).
- The evaluation of the OSCE stations was different related to shifts. The most difficult stations
- in shift-A was station 4 (33.3%) whereas in shift-B station 6 was the most difficult (30.3%).
- While the station they thought it have moderate educational value were the same station-2,
- 127 (23.5%, 38.7%) (Table.2,3). Overall 80% of students rated the OSCE exam settings as good
- 128 or excellent.

	Questions		level satisf	action		
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	No comment	
Q3	Wide knowledge area and	4(5.3%)	3(4.0%)	68(90.7)	0(0.0%)	
	clinical skills were covered in					
	OSCE					
Q4	Exams was well structured	4(53%)	24(32.0%)	44(58.7%)	3(4.0%)	
	&sequenced					
Q5	Exam was well administered	3(4.0%)	12(16.0%)	59(78.7%)	1(1.3%)	
	and run					
Q6	Time at each station was	10(13.3%)	6(8.0%)	58(77.3%)	1(1.3%)	
	adequate					
Q7	Enough information was	9(12.0%)	18(24.0%)	42(56.0%)	6(8.0%)	
	provided before the exam					
Q8	All assessed skills were	25(20.0%)	17(22.7%)	42(56.0%)	1(1.3%)	
	covered in the practice course					
Q9	OSCE provided opportunity to	1(1.3%)	11(14.7%)	62(82.7%)	1(1.3%)	
	learn real life scenarios					
Q1	OSCE was less stressful than	20(26.7%)	22(29.3%)	26(34.7%)	7(9.3%)	
0	other exams					
Q1	Good direction and feedback	3(4.0%)	22(29.3%)	44(58.7%)	6(8.0%)	
1	were provided.					
Q1	OSCE highlighted areas	4(5.3%)	23(30.7%)	45(60.0%)	3(4.0%)	
2	of weaknesses in skills and					
	knowledge					
Q1	This year OSCE was better	7(9.3%)	13(17.3%)	51(68.0%)	4(5.3%)	
3	organized than last year pilot					
	OSCE					
Q1	The OSCE cases were clear	19(25.3%)	25(33.3%)	28(37.3%)	3(4.0%)	
4	challenging but not too much					
	difficult					
Q1	Standardized patients seemed	8(10.7%)	8(10.7%)	53(70.7%)	6(8.0%)	
5	competent in their role playing					
Q1	OSCE would been more	41(54.7%)	15(20.0%)	14(18.7%)	5(6.7%)	
6	beneficial if it was part of final					

mark		

# **Table 2:** Students in group A evaluation of OSCE Stations

	Questions	OSCE Stations Shift A					
		Station 1	Station 2	Station 3	Station 4	Station 5	Station 6
Q17	Most difficult station	6(16.7%)	-	10(27.8%)	12(33.3%)	4(11.1%)	4(11.1%)
Q18	Most easiest station	2(5.6%)	20(55.6%)	2(5.6%)	-	7(19.4%)	5(13.9%)
Q19	Station which you liked most	3(8.3%)	10(27.8%)	1(2.8%)	3(8.3%)	14(38.9%)	5(13.9%)
Q20	Best standardized patient:	4(12.5%)	11(34.4%)	7(21.9%)	3(9.4%)	5(15.6%)	2(6.3%)
Q21	Which station would you think to have <b>high</b> educational value	2(5.7%)	5(14.3%)	4(11.4%)	7(20.0%)	13(37.1%)	4(11.4%)
Q22	Which station would you think to have <b>moderate</b> educational value	8(23.5%)	8(23.5%)	6(17.6%)	4(11.8%)	3(8.8%)	5(14.7%)
Q23	Which station would you think to have <b>low</b> educational value	9(28.1%)	7(21.9%)	8(25.0%)	2(6.3%)	2(6.3%)	4(12.5%)

**Table 3:** Students in group B evaluation of OSCE Stations

	Questions	OSCE Stations Shift B					
		Station 1	Station 2	Station 3	Station 4	Station 5	Station 6
Q17	Most difficult station	10(30.3%)	3(9.1%)	7(21.2%)	2(6.1%)	4(21.1%)	7(21.2%)
Q18	Most easiest station	1(3.1%)	3(9.4%)	2(6.3%)	15(46.9%)	6(18.8%)	5(15.6%)
Q19	Station which you liked most	4(11.8%)	7(20.6%)	4(11.8%)	13(38.2%)	4(11.8%)	2(5.9%)
Q20	Best standardized patient:	3(9.1%)	10(30.3%)	5(15.2%)	9(27.3%)	5(15.2%)	1(3.0%)
Q21	Which station would you think to have <b>high</b> educational value	4(12.5%)	8(25.0%)	2(6.3%)	9(28.1%)	8(25.0%)	1(3.1%)
Q22	Which station would you think to have <b>moderate</b> educational value	2(6.5%)	1(3.2%)	4(12.9%)	8(25.8%)	12(38.7%)	4(12.9%)
Q23	Which station would you think to have <b>low</b> educational value	6(20.0%)	5(16.7%)	8(26.7%)	4(13.3%)	3(10.0%)	4(13.3%)

## DISCUSSION 136 The OSCE was one of the useful assessment methods recently added into the students' 137 138 curriculum as a formative assessment of experiential practices and an objective tool for evaluating clinical skills in pharmacy education []. Hence, this survey is important so to 139 assess how the students perceived this evaluation and if the setting and the stations were 140 carried properly and fairly [10]. 141 142 OSCE was seen as a useful practical experience by most students; also most of them provided 143 a positive feedback about the quality of OSCE performance in terms of the clarity of the 144 provided information before the exam; the sequence of OSCE stations; the reflection of the 145 tasks taught and the time at each station. These findings are consistent with studies elsewhere 146 [10-13]. 147 148 The majority of students saw the OSCE as an unprecedented opportunity to encounter real-life 149 scenarios. The finding that an overwhelming proportion of the students (82.7%) admitted that 150 the OSCE provided a useful and practical learning experience was consistent with similar 151 152 studies reported elsewhere [14]. 153 Austin et al, reported that students expressed in a survey considerable concern that there was 154 155 so much variability between cases and patient-actors that it might adversely affect their 156 academic standing and believed that it was problematic within an evaluation perspective [5]. 157 Conversely, in this study the standardized patients seemed competent in their role playing was evaluated as good (70.7%). 158 A comparison of traditional testing methods and simulated examination for therapeutics was 159 carried by Gardener et al who reported a moderate positive correlation between performance 160 161 on the simulated cases evaluation and the traditional examinations [14]. 162 Monaghan and his colleagues reported that all examinees believed that OSCE compared to 163 other traditional methods of evaluation was a much better indicator of how they would 164 perform in the real world, as well was reported from pharmacy students elsewhere [15-20] 165

Only 34.7% saw that OSCE was less stressful than other exams. Similar results are reported

Further, many students felt that the OSCE was an extremely anxiety-producing examination.

and also agreed by vast majority in our assessment (82%).

166

167

from studies mostly reporting student's first experience of OSCE, or a newly introduced OSCE [15-21]. Hence, it was a new experience for students which made them feel anxious about it. Similarly, students stress and anxiety was more tied to a new experience with OSCEs [22, 23], yet carrying OSCEs as only formative assessment not a final exam may relax students added to the entity of standardized patient which may also contribute to students anxiety [24].

The evaluation of OSCE by pharmacy students highlighted some areas that need to be enhanced in future, such as the inadequate information and guidance before OSCE as many students did not realize the formativeness of the exam.

 Most of students indicated that suitable time was allocated to perform tasks in contrast to other observations elsewhere. This maybe contributed to the team setting and reviewing of cases and real pilots before exam which enhance the quality and reliability of the assessment setting. Yet a significant percent of surveyed students did not agree on the exam cases toughness, 35% vs 25% agreed that the cases were challenging but not difficult.

The evaluation of the OSCE stations differed between the morning and evening shift. The most difficult stations were different in term of their assessed skills between shift-A and shift-B. While the stations perceived to have moderate educational value were the same (station-2).

 From this discussion we recommend students' orientation prior to OSCE should be well planned and assured. Written descriptions of expectations and objectives of formative assessments beside exam blueprint maybe more beneficial [10, 22].

## CONCLUSION

Students highly perceived the exam feeling that it more resembles actual practice providing them with self-confidence and more clearly their defects and what they need to improve regarding both skills and knowledge. They saw OSCEs as being a beneficial formative assessment that should not be included as marks into finals. It is therefore extremely important to invest in the Turkish students' positive perception toward advancing pharmacy education in Turkey and Northern Cyprus, in order keep up to date with global practice demands and to shift to a more patient-centred profession and patient-centered educational

system. Such educational interventions could be further implemented in other faculties of pharmacy within the Turkish Higher Ministry of Education.

204205

### **References:**

- Uzun MB, Gülpınar G, ÖzçelikAy G. The situation of curriculums of faculty of pharmacies in
  Turkey.
- 2- Abdi, A. M., Gultekin, O., Mestrovic, A., & Basgut, B. . Introducing a clinical pharmacy
  practice experience into pharmacy education curriculum for students of Turkey and Northern
  Cyprus. In: INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CLINICAL PHARMACY. VAN
- 211 GODEWIJCKSTRAAT 30, 3311 GZ DORDRECHT, NETHERLANDS: SPRINGER, 2017.
- p. 254-255.
- 3- Deniz EU, Şahne BS, Yeğenoğlu S, Elçin M. Feedback for a simulation practice on
  communication skills in pharmacy education: A pilot study. Marmara Pharmaceutical Journal.
- 215 2018 May 1;22(2).
- 4- International Pharmaceutical Federation. Statement of Policy on Good Pharmacy Education
  Practice [approved by FIP Council in Vienna in September 2000; accessed 2015 Mar 23].
  Available from: http://www.fip.org/www/?page=statements
- 5- Austin Z, O'Byrne C, Pugsley J, Quero Munoz L. Development and validation processes for an objective structured clinical examination (OSCE) for entry-to-practice certification in pharmacy: the Canadian experience. Am J Pharm Educ. 2003;67(3):76.
- 222 6- Harden RM. What is an OSCE? Med Teach. 1988;10(1):19-22.
- 7- Gelula MH, Yudkowsky. Microteaching and standardized students support faculty development for clinical teaching. R.Acad Med. 2002 Sep; 77(9):941.
- 8- Sloan DA, Donnelly MB, Schwartz RW, Felts JL, Blue AV, Strodel WE. The use of objective structured clinical examination (OSCE) for evaluation and instruction in graduate medical education. J Surg Res. 1996;63(1):225-230
- 9- Barman A. Critiques on the objective structured clinical examination. Ann Acad Med Singap. 2005;34(8):478-482.
- 10- Shirwaikar A. Objective structured clinical examination (OSCE) in pharmacy education-a trend. Pharmacy practice. 2015 Oct;13(4).
- 11- Ahmed Awaisu, a. M. (2007, December 15). Perception of Pharmacy Students in Malaysia on the Use of Objective. p. 8.
- 12- KIRTON, Stewart Brian; KRAVITZ, Laura. Objective structured clinical examinations (OSCEs) compared with traditional assessment methods. American journal of pharmaceutical education, 2011, 75.6: 111.

- 13- Amina El-Nemer, N. K. (2009). Using OSCE as an Assessment Tool for Clinical Skills:
  Nursing Students' Feedback. (1991-8178).
- 14- Gardener, S., & Eng, S. (2005). What students want: Generation Y and the changing function of the academic library. Libraries and the Academy, 5(4), 405–420.
- 15- Urteaga EM, Attridge RL, Tovar JM, Witte AP. Evaluation of clinical and communication
  skills of pharmacy students and pharmacists with an objective structured clinical examination.
  American Journal of Pharmaceutical Education. 2015 Oct 25;79(8):122.
- 16- Branch C. An assessment of students' performance and satisfaction with an OSCE early in an
  undergraduate pharmacy curriculum. Currents in Pharmacy Teaching and Learning. 2014 Jan
  1;6(1):22-31.
- 17- DENG, Bin; FENN III, Norman E.; PLAKE, Kimberly S. Impact of a teaching objective
  structured clinical examination (TOSCE) on student confidence in a pharmacy skills
  laboratory. Currents in Pharmacy Teaching and Learning, 2018.
- 18- WILBY, K. J., et al. Objective structured clinical examination for pharmacy students in Qatar:
  cultural and contextual barriers to assessment. EMHJ-Eastern Mediterranean Health Journal,
  2016, 22.4: 251-257.
- 19- Kristina SA, Gustriawanto N, Rokhman MR, Aditama H, Sari IP. Students' first experience
  with Objective Structured Clinical Examination in a pharmacy school in Indonesia. Journal of
  Applied Pharmaceutical Science Vol. 2018 Sep;8(09):102-6.
- 20- Hanna LA, Davidson S, Hall M. A questionnaire study investigating undergraduate pharmacy
  students' opinions on assessment methods and an integrated five-year pharmacy degree.
  Pharmacy Education. 2017 Apr 26;17.
- 21- El-Nemer A, Kandeel N. Using OSCE as an assessment tool for clinical skills: nursing
  students' feedback. Australian Journal of basic and Applied sciences. 2009;3(3):2465-72.
- 22- BREWIN, John; CANTWELL, Roch. Implementing the OSCE in Nottingham. Psychiatric
  Bulletin, 1997, 21.1: 30-32.
- 23- ROSS, Margaret, et al. Using the OSCE to measure clinical skills performance in nursing. Journal of Advanced Nursing, 1988, 13.1: 45-56.
- 24- Gallimore C, George AK, Brown MC. Pharmacy students' preferences for various types of
  simulated patients. American Journal of Pharmaceutical Education. 2008 Sep;72(1):04.