SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_46159
Title of the Manuscript:	Pharmacy student's perceptions and evaluation of a formative OSCE added into their curriculum in Northern Cyprus.
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Methods No inferential statistics were employed in the data analysis	
	Discussion The 1 st paragraph of this section should contain the summary of the main findings of the study English revision is needed	
	Limitation(s) of the study should be included in the manuscript	
Minor REVISION comments	Abstract Page 1, line 7 Objectives????How many objectives do you have? Page 1, lines 20 and 23 Do not start a sentence with figures Page 1, line 28 an formative?? Check your grammar Page 1, lines 31-33 check your grammar Introduction Page 2, line 41 is it proper to use the word "obtain" here? Page 3 lines 86-88 DM, DTPs, COPD were not defined on first use Methods Page 3, line 100comprised of check your grammar Page 3, line 104 normal check grammar Results Page 4, lines 111-112 Grammar check Page 4, line 113 don't start a sentence with a figure Page 4, line 123 grammar check Discussion Page 7, line 139 missing reference Page 7, lines 146-147 grammatical error Page, lines 150-152 grammatical error Page 8, lines 190-192 this portion should end the conclusion Conclusion Page 8, lines 195-197 grammatical error	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	
,	No ethical approval was granted for the study	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Roland Okoro
Department, University & Country	University of Maiduguri, Nigeria

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)