



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_47933
Title of the Manuscript:	Development and Psychometric Assessment of the Need Assessment Tool for Women with the Experience of Pregnancy Termination due to Fetal Anomalys
Type of the Article	Study Protocols

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>1.Since the Article is classified as Study Protocols, it should follow specific structure (Protocol outline: 1. Presentation 2. Background and justifications 3. Objectives 4. Methods 5. Ethical considerations 6. Project management 7. Timetable 8. Resources 9. References 10. Appendices). Please look: Eriksen,2004, How to write study protocol.... After Phase 2 (pg. 3), the text is increasingly hard to follow.</p> <p>2.In the Introduction- incorporate The "Discussion" -Review relevant literature and current knowledge. -Define relevant terms (i.e. content, face and construct validity)</p> <p>3.In Methods – Participants: define what the sample size is (i.e. "till reaching data saturation")</p> <p>4.In order to make it easier to follow, it would be good to mark the chapters (different font, number the phases; i.e. Phase 1a, Phase 2a....).</p> <p>5.Please mind your English.</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>Ethical approval should be at the end.</p> <p>References: after the number follows "." instead "-"</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The topic is very interesting. There is a need for this type of research since little is known about the experience of those women.	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u> There is no ethical issues in this manuscript.	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Mirna Sisek-Šprem</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>University Psychiatric Hospital Vrapče, Croatia</i>