



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_48227
Title of the Manuscript:	Anti-microbial Properties of Valeriana officinalis, Satureja bachtiarica and Thymus daenensis methanolic extracts against Helicobacter Pylori
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Use lowercase for p in <i>pylori</i> in the topic. 2. Rephrase the second last sentence of methods in abstract section. 3. Delete 'the' from the second line of results in abstract section. 4. In the fourth line of introduction, it should be gastrointestinal lymphoma. 5. Use its instead of it in the fifth line of introduction. 6. In the ninth line of introduction, use lowercase i in including 7. Rephrase the second last sentence of introduction. 8. In the fourth line of section 2.1, it should be like '...Erlenmeyer flasks and methanol was added'. 9. Italicize <i>H. pylori</i> in section 2.2 and throughout the manuscript. 10. Remove 'the checking of' from the fifth line of section 2.2. 11. Make spelling corrections for <i>Escherichia coli</i> in the last sentence of section 2.2. 12. Remove full stop from line 2 of section 2.3. 13. Do not start sentence with numbers. Manage the second sentence of section 2.3. 14. In the first sentence of results, use showed for show, were for are the and remove strains. 15. Remove rate from the last line of first paragraph of results. 16. Use lowercase i for the inhibitor in the second line of second paragraph of results. 17. In the last line of second paragraph of results it should be- extracts were dose dependent. 18. In the third line of discussion, remove the, rate and comma from the sentence. 19. Use lowercase for l in ml in the twelfth line of first paragraph of discussion and throughout the manuscript. 20. Remove 'so' from the second line of third paragraph of discussion. 21. Remove at from the ninth line of page 8. 	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Use till for and in the sixth line of section 2.1. 	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Vivek Kumar Singh</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Public Health and Infectious Disease Research Center (PHIDReC), Nepal</i>