



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_48634
Title of the Manuscript:	Anthropometric parameters of asthmatic children and the relationship of childhood asthma with height, weight and body mass index
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The paper is well write, however the sample size is small.</p> <p>C1- The authors write that,117 patients take corticosteroid, no difference in anthropometric parameter compared to controls ,however, why the authors did not classified it as oral and system user and compare according ,also according to the ,dose ,it may be significant if you classified according to route of administration and dose Please do it</p> <p>C2 The authors classified the asthma into mild intermittent and mild persist .please compare between the two groups regarding the anthropometric parameter</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>C1 The title Anthropometric parameters of asthmatic children and the relationship of childhood asthma with height, weight and body mass index The title contains two sentences with the same meaning . It is better to write Anthropometric parameters in asthmatic children or The relationship of childhood asthma with height, weight and body mass index</p> <p>C2 The authors write that ,they used Anova test in statistical methods ,while the results did not contain any test done by Anova .????</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hanan M. Hamed
Department, University & Country	National research Centre , Egypt