SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_49037
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Emergency Nurses' Knowledge and Performance about Hospital Triage
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manus his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This is an observational study based on a questionnaire. The objective of this study was to evaluate triage knowledge and skills of emergency nurses in Yazd province of Iran. However, skills have not been assessed. Objective and one of the conclusions are not related ('the performance of nurses working in the emergency departments of the aforementioned centers is higher than average'). This study is just based on nurses' views. There is not data about performance of these nurses. A total of 84 questionnaires were obtained, there is not information about response rate. Ranges of the scales used are not provided. I'm not able to understand the tables. The information provides is just interesting for this hospital. Discussion starts with information barely relevant.	
Minor REVISION comments	Quantitative in this phrase is not necessary 'This was a quantitative cross-sectional study' Verbs must be included in the past.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with the transmission of transmission of the transmission of the transmission of transmiss
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) Authors only describe that oral consent from participant was obtained. There is not information about the approbation of this study by a research ethic committee. There were personal data codified. Moreover, authors explain that 'Finally, if the questionnaire was filled out incompletely, the participants were asked to complete the forgotten information' this pressure could introduce a relevant bias.	

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight s mandatory that authors should write his/her





SDI Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jose Joaquin Mira
Department, University & Country	Universidad Miguel Hernandez, Spain