#### SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



#### **SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_49037
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Emergency Nurses' Knowledge and Performance about Hospital Triage
Type of the Article	Clinical research

#### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

## SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



## **SDI Review Form 1.6**

## **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
	Strengths	
	It is an important piece of research that emphasizes the value of nurses in emergence care	
	❖ Weakness	
	Editing and grammar for all paper	
	Background and Objectives: back ground : where ? Objective : more detailed summarized , please	
	<ul> <li>all nurses responsible for triage in emergency department of educational hospitals of Yazd University of Convenience sample of (number of nurses)</li> <li>Introduction:         <ul> <li>First of all, it is essential that "triage" be defined precisely. In fact, triage is the sorting of patients (as in an emergency room) according to the urgency of their need for care. The term springs from the French verb trier, meaning to separate, sift or select</li> <li>(Rephrase, please)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
	- Theoretical background: deficit about triage ,principle, stage, and so on  Material and Methods: writing according the good research: research design, setting, sample, instrument/tools, validity and reliability for tools, and data collection or procedure return for another research within writing Where ethical consideration for research???	
	Results:  - A total of 84 questionnaires were completed and collected. Not need to wright?  - Results: description for the table in the text such as table – this shows that-	
	<ul> <li>The level of knowledge of the nurses employed in the emergency departments of Shahid Sadoughi University of medical sciences in Yazd is moderate in terms of triage. However, the performance of nurses working in the emergency departments of the aforementioned centers is higher than average. (previous text which table?)</li> <li>Table 1. Demographic characteristics and the comprison of triage knowledge, performance and total score between categorized groups(n=???)</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>p value for knowledge shows the comparison of knowledge score between categorized groups,p value for performance shows the comparison of performance score between categorized groups and finally p value for total score shows the comparison of total score (knowledge+performance) between categorized groups. Rephrase the statement and concious of aim from the text!</li> </ul>	
	<b>Discussion :</b> start by another way such as : the aim of study or one statement about triage and important.	
	<ul> <li>Findings of many different studies are in consistent with ours. In this regard we can mention studies with similar moderate to poor test results conducted in various provinces of ( Similar previous study by )</li> </ul>	
	<ul><li>Where recommendation??</li><li>Where limitation of the study??</li></ul>	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

# SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



## **SDI Review Form 1.6**

	<ul> <li>Where conflict of research??</li> <li>More than 50% of reference more than 10 years, please checked</li> </ul>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

## PART 2:

		<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

## **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Salwa Atyia Mohammed El Sayed
Department, University & Country	Fayoum University , Egypt

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)