



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_33172
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF CALL VOICE QUALITY OF GSM NETWORK OPERATORS IN 5 CITIES IN KWARA STATE
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>In Results and Discussion (Network Accessibility) - Bar charts can be used to represent CSSR % of 4 service providers(MTN,GLOBACOM, ETISALAT and AIRTEL.)</p> <p>In Results and Discussion (Service Retainability) - Bar charts can be used to represent CDR % of 4 service providers(MTN,GLOBACOM, ETISALAT and AIRTEL.)</p> <p>Unique format need to be followed Table captions (i.e., Caption for table 1 is above the table but the caption of table 2 is below the table)</p> <p>In Abstract abbreviations for GSM, MOS, ITU-T, need to be provided.</p> <p>In Introduction section (Ajiboye et al., 2007). can be rewritten as (Ajiboye et al., 2007).</p> <p>Refer author instruction in following link for references style. http://www.sciencedomain.org/page/general-guideline-for-authors</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>It is state that call wise quality is studied using five components: loudness, distortion, noise, crosstalk and fading. (line 93 to 96 in Methodology)</p> <p>But results for the above components are not discussed.</p> <p>Plagiarism % can be reduced below 30% (Kindly refer attaché plagiarism report & rephrase sentence /paragraph that report plagiarism)</p>	
---	--	--

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Jaichandran R</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Vinayaka Missions University, India</i>