

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_46041
Title of the Manuscript:	Design and Development of Fruits Washer
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manus his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
	Structure of the paper. For example the order and numbering to be followed from Introduction to Conclusion.	
Minor REVISION comments		
	Grammatical mistakes from abstract to conclusion.	
Optional/General comments	The paper to be considered for publication, only after incorporating the accompanying comments cited in the paper.	

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed w. that part in the manuscript. It is n feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dawn C.P. Ambrose
Department, University & Country	ICAR- Central Institute of Agricultural Engg-Regional Centre, India

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight s mandatory that authors should write his/her