SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_47738
Title of the Manuscript:	Biodiversity in Forest Fragments Under Different Forms of Environmental Conservation
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Please include the dominant species or species with higher frequencies of plants, mammals etc. as an example to highlight the differences in diversity	
	For the analysis of vegetation, do you only measure the occurrence/frequency of araticum-de-terra-fria (<i>Annonaemarginata</i> (Schltdl.) H. Rainer) and dairy (<i>Tabernaemontanacatharinensis</i> or also other plant species?	
	Please explain your abbreviation in the first paragraph for every section, it's quite confusing to go back and forth to understand the abbreviation you used	
	Your discussion is also quite confusing as you discuss different things, and not based on your result. Please discuss your results accordingly.	
	In your conclusion, please also state what is the importance/implication of your results to the i.e. forest management, local communities	
	Also, what is your recommendation based on your results?	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer,
	correct the manuscript and highlight that part in
	the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should
	write his/her feedback here)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

ere ethical issues in this manuscript? (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Julia Nelson
Department, University & Country	Universiti Malaysia Sarawak, Malaysia

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)