**Original Research Article** 

# INTERACTIONS OF EXTRACTS OF SELECTED MACROFUNGI AND MALARIA PARASITE, *Plasmodium berghei berghei* IN BALB/C STRAIN ALBINO MICE

# ABSTRACT

1 2

3

4 5

Malaria is a global menace that claimed many lives. The potential of mushroom at 12 appropriate dosage, concentrations and suitable condition especially as 13 antiplasmodial agents against malaria is important. Therefore, this study 14 15 investigated the interactive effects of some fungi extracts (Pleurotus tuber-regium, Pleurotus pulmonarius, Fomes lignosus, Lentinus subnudus, Termitomyces 16 robustus) and their combinations with malaria parasite, Plasmodium berghei 17 berghei in BALB/c strain albino mice. Intraperitoneal injection of experimental 18 animals with 0.2mL of 5x10<sup>6</sup> parasitized blood was done before or after oral 19 20 administration of the extracts of 0.1mL fungi extracts at five concentrations. There were 3 replicates. The percentage parasitemia, packed cell volume (PCV), the 21 weight loss of the albino mice were monitored. The Extract, and Concentration 22 Levels recorded highly significant (p< 0.01) effects on the parasitemic level (137.96; 23 329.26), PCV (4539.48; 2357.93) and weights (53.46; 510.56) of experimental 24 25 animals in prophylactic and therapeutic experiments. Also, highly significant interactions (of 521.30) was obtained from Extracts x Concentrations. Lentinus 26 subnudus and Fomes lignosus as well as P. tuber-regium had the best prophylactic 27 and therapeutic potentials of 30%; 36% and 36% respectively. Lentinus subnudus 28 could be considered a good prophylaxis in prevention of malaria as it exceeds 29 30 therapeutic effect. Concentrations 0.4mg/mL and 0.04mg/mL were found to be most 31 effective; producing similar effect as chloroquine (20mg/kg bw) used as control. Therefore, the optimum activity of the fungi extracts were interactive against the 32 33 malaria parasite, Plasmodium berghei berghei in the albino mice.

Keywords: Fungi extracts, *Plasmodium s*pecies, Antiplasmodial potentials, Albino mice,
Interactive effects.

36 37

38 39

# 1. INTRODUCTION

40

41 Mushrooms are higher fungi growing on decaying wastes [1]. They are highly rich in nutrients and medicinal compounds, such as lentinan, glycans etc [2]. These in 42 43 addition to other bioactive compounds enhanced human's health [3]. According to World Health Organization [4], malaria outbreak is a global problem associated with 44 resistant Plasmodium strains. There is the need to search for drugs especially of 45 natural origin that are effective against strains of *Plasmodium* responsible for the 46 spread of malaria parasite. Therefore, this work aimed at studying the interactions of 47 48 fungi extracts, and their concentrations that enhance therapeutic potentials of 49 selected higher fungi against malaria parasite, *Plasmodium berghei berghei* in 50 albino mice.

51

## 52 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

## 53 Sources of fungi extracts, experimental animals and malaria parasite

Fungi samples (Pleurotus tuber-regium, P. pulmonarius, Termitomyces robustus, 54 Fomes lignosus and Lentinus subnudus) were collected from different locations. 55 Extraction of the five fungi was done separately with ethanol using soxhlet 56 apparatus [5]. The extracts (40mg/mL) were serially diluted to 4, 0.4, 0.004 and 57 0.0004mg/mL before administering orally to the mice. The malaria parasite, 58 Plasmodium berghei berghei; and BALB/C strain albino mice (Mus musculus) of 4-5 59 weeks old of an average weight of 22grammes were used. Passaging was carried 60 out as the albino mice were intraperitoneally injected with 0.2mL of 5 x 61 10<sup>6</sup>Plasmodium berghei berghei infected blood sample. They were monitored for 62 about 12days for parasitemia. Also, the packed cell volume (PCV) and weights of 63 64 animals were determined.

## 65 Statistical Analysis

66 Data collected were analysed using SAS version 2.0 to compute Analysis of 67 Variance (ANOVA) while Means were separated by Duncan's Multiple Range Tests 68 (DMRT) at p < 0.05.

69 70

# 71 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The prophylactic effects of extract types, replicates, concentration and their 72 interactions on parasitemia in albino mice for the days of infection (Table 1). The 73 fungi species produced a highly significant (p< 0.01) prophylactic and therapeutic 74 effects on the parasitemia, PCV, and weights of BALB/c albino mice. Extract and 75 76 Concentration produced high significant (p< 0.01) prophylactic effects on parasitemia except on the first and twelveth days of infection. The third order of 77 78 interaction; concentration and replicates was significant only on the second day. The 79 fungi extract types, concentration and their first order of interaction (Extract x Concentration) had prophylactic effects on the packed cell volume of albino mice on 80 the first and third days of infection, while only Concentration produced significant 81 82 effect on the twelveth day after infection (Table 2).

The result shown in Table 3 reveals that the extracts produced higher prophylactic 83 effect on the weight of the experimental animals. Due to the effect of the extracts, 84 weight loss in the animals was minimal on the first and second days of infection. 85 The results in Table 4 and 5 show the effects of the extracts, concentrations, 86 87 interactions of the extracts and concentrations were highly significant (therapeutically) on the parasitemia and PCV in the animals throughout the period of 88 89 the experiment. The effect of the concentrations, extracts and concentrations was 90 highly significant (P<0.01) on the seventh day of parasitic infection, while the 91 interactive effect of the extracts and replicates was significant (Table 6). The 92 interactions of the parameters on the parasitemia, PCV, weight showed highly significant (P<0.01) therapeutic effect for Extract x Concentration. Similar results 93 were obtained in the therapeutic experiments. This reveals the efficacy of the fungi 94 extracts for both prophylactic and therapeutic experiments. 95

The findings from this study show that higher fungi especially mushrooms possess antiplasmodial potentials. The fungi extracts reduced the parasitemic infection in the

98 mice in accordance with previous report of [6]. The evaluation of *in-vivo* single and interactive effects of the fungi extracts at different concentration levels against the 99 100 malaria parasite, Plasmodium berghei berghei was observed for a period of time was established as previously confirmed by[7]. The single interactive effects of the 101 102 extract types, concentrations, as well as the combination of extract and concentrations increased prophylactic effect on the parasitemia with the exception 103 of the day of infection of the plasmodium on the albino mice. This is in accordance 104 with the report of [8]. 105

106 The prophylactic and therapeutic effects of the fungi extracts was enhanced except 107 in the replicate and in the co-interaction of the Extracts X Replicate at all levels of 108 interaction in parasitemia, PCV and weights of the experimental animals. This was 109 in agreement with the findings on inhibitory effects of some botanicals against 110 Fusarium species [9; 10; and 11]. The interactions of the Extract, and Concentration increased the preventive and curative potentials of the fungi. This could be 111 112 attributed to the pharmacological compounds and bioactive components of the fungi 113 extracts. They evidenced the biological and medicinal qualities of the higher fungi. These are naturally-occurring chemical compounds play the roles of protecting 114 human health [12, 13, 14, 15,16]. 115

116 The parasitemia infection in the mice were effectively suppressed by the interactions of the fungi extracts. This indicates the efficacy of the extracts against the malaria 117 parasite as earlier reported by [17]. As a result of the potency, moderate percentage 118 of parasitemia was recorded for the extracts administered at different concentration 119 120 levels throughout the period of infection. The results of the interactions of extract and replicate, concentration and replicate could be due to the non-significance of 121 the replicates. The efficacy of the extracts and the prompt activities in reducing the 122 parasitemia of the mice, stabilizing the PCV and reducing weight loss in the animals 123 established the potency of the fungi extract as reported by [18]. 124

125 126

#### 127 Table 1: Interactive effects of extract types, replicates, concentration on 128 parasitemia in albino mice for the days of infection

			%	Parasite	mia			
Source of Variation	df	Day 1	Day 2	Day 3	Day 4	Day 5	Day 12	
Extract Types	5	6.9 <sup>ns</sup>	56.54**	57.46**	54.25**	18.79 <sup>*</sup>	137.96**	
Replicate	2	7.17 <sup>ns</sup>	0.48 <sup>ns</sup>	3.90 <sup>ns</sup>	2.53 <sup>ns</sup>	2.05 <sup>ns</sup>	68.07 <sup>ns</sup>	
Concentration	5	9.65 <sup>ns</sup>	94.40**	88.01**	98.98**	95.44**	329.26**	
Extract x Replicate	10	8.46 <sup>ns</sup>	2.43 <sup>ns</sup>	3.61 <sup>ns</sup>	6.11 <sup>ns</sup>	2.94 <sup>ns</sup>	27.01 <sup>ns</sup>	
Extract x Concentration	25	8.62 <sup>ns</sup>	19.46**	15.18**	22.56**	26.67**	68.50 <sup>ns</sup>	
Concentration x Replicate	10	8.65 <sup>ns</sup>	8.01*	5.42 <sup>ns</sup>	3.36 <sup>ns</sup>	8.87 <sup>ns</sup>	30.01 <sup>ns</sup>	
Error	50							
Total	108							
Corrected Total	107							
129 *, ** are significant	and	highly s	significant valu	ues at p	< 0.05 ar	nd p<0.01	Comme	ent [C1]: The meaning

included and in all tables

are significant and highly significant values at p < 0.05 and p<0.01129 130 respectively.

131

132

135	Table 2: Interactive effects of the extract types, replicates, concentration and	
136	on PCV of albino mice for the days of infection	

	Packed Cell Volume (PCV)							
Source of Variation		df	Day 1	Day 2	Day 3	Day 4	Day 5	Day 12
Extract Types		5	164.25 <sup>*</sup>	2794.49 <sup>ns</sup>	205.12 <sup>ns</sup>	534.52 <sup>ns</sup>	300.6 <sup>ns</sup>	307.16 <sup>ns</sup>
Replicates		1	4.01 <sup>ns</sup>	3200.00 <sup>ns</sup>	490.89 <sup>*</sup>	193.39 <sup>ns</sup>	1530.89 <sup>ns</sup>	196.68 <sup>ns</sup>
Concentration		5	272.98**	3246.85 <sup>ns</sup>	225.39 <sup>ns</sup>	522.12 <sup>ns</sup>	1041.00 <sup>ns</sup>	1489.22**
Concentration	х	5	63.31 <sup>ns</sup>	2599.90 <sup>ns</sup>	79.99 <sup>ns</sup>	39.29 <sup>ns</sup>	405.06 <sup>ns</sup>	134.71 <sup>ns</sup>
Replicate								
Extract x Replicate		5	106.51 <sup>ns</sup>	2482.43 <sup>ns</sup>	169.19**	66.42 <sup>ns</sup>	90.32 <sup>ns</sup>	369.71 <sup>ns</sup>
Extract x Conc.		25	129.15 <sup>*</sup>	2480.06 <sup>ns</sup>	208.89 <sup>*</sup>	283.45 <sup>ns</sup>	407.18 <sup>ns</sup>	688.42 <sup>ns</sup>
Error		25						
Total		72						
Corrected Total		71						
137 *, ** are sign	ificar	nt an	d highly si	gnificant val	ues at p	< 0.05 a	nd p<0.01	
138 respectively.				-		1.		

Table 3: Interactive effects of extract types, replicates, and concentration on 

weights of albino mice during the period of infection

			Wei	ight			
Source of Variation	df	Day 1	Day 2	Day 3	Day 4	Day 5	Day 12
Extract Types	5	21.72**	23.42**	23.06 <sup>ns</sup>	19.59 <sup>ns</sup>	46.71 <sup>*</sup>	50.08 <sup>ns</sup>
Replicate	2	21.84**	48.51**	32.78 <sup>*</sup>	44.53 <sup>*</sup>	76.44**	152.12**
Concentration	5	3.08**	3.17 <sup>ns</sup>	4.30 <sup>ns</sup>	15.19 <sup>ns</sup>	90.96**	510.56**
Extract x Replicate	10	2.93 <sup>ns</sup>	6.24 <sup>ns</sup>	10.42 <sup>ns</sup>	7.14 <sup>ns</sup>	15.02 <sup>ns</sup>	34.95 <sup>ns</sup>
Extract x Concentration	21	9.95**	18.99 <sup>*</sup>	16.18 <sup>ns</sup>	20.87**	44.25**	44.36 <sup>ns</sup>
Concentration x	10	5.39 <sup>ns</sup>	28.36**	27.33 <sup>*</sup>	33.41**	41.80**	29.11 <sup>ns</sup>
Replicate							
Error	50						
Total	108						
Corrected Total	107						
16 * ** are significant	and h	highly signif	icont volue	o ot n	0.05 000	1 n < 0 01	

are significant and highly significant values at p < 0.05 and p<0.01 ~~ `,

respectively. 

159Table 4 :Therapeutic effects of extract types, replicates, concentration on160parasitemia during the period of infection in albino mice								
		_		6 Parasitem				
Source of Variation	df	Day 7	Day 8	Day 9	Day 10	Day 11	Day 14	
Extract Types	5	26.44	14.44	11.62**	24.15**	31.84	20.32	
Replicate	2	14.01	11.61 <sup>ns</sup>	5.50 <sup>ns</sup>	3.52 <sup>ns</sup>	5.68 <sup>ns</sup>	0.67 <sup>ns</sup>	
Concentration	5	30.80			26.60**	22.39**	23.61**	
Extract x Replicate	10	5.69 <sup>ns</sup>			2.06 <sup>ns</sup>	1.83 <sup>ns</sup>	2.82 <sup>ns</sup>	
Extract x Concentration	21	11.29**			18.21**	21.31	23.60	
Concentration x	10	3.30 <sup>ns</sup>	4.55 <sup>ns</sup>	1.39 <sup>ns</sup>	2.99 <sup>ns</sup>	4.11 <sup>ns</sup>	3.17 <sup>ns</sup>	
Replicate								
Error	42							
Total	96							
Corrected Total	95							
161 *, ** are significan	t and	highly sig	nificant val	ues at p <	< 0.05 and	l p<0.01		
162 respectively.								
163								
164				$\sim$				
			act types, re			on on		
166 PCV durin	g the	period of ir	fection in a					
		_		Cell Volume	• •			
Source of Variation	df	Day 7	Day 8	Day 9	Day 10	Day 11	Day 14	
Extract Types	5	1294.51**	3336.35	3815.00**	4539.48**	4282.39		
Replicate	2	190.21 <sup>ns</sup>	285.18	24.83 <sup>ns</sup>	95.30 <sup>ns</sup>	120.02 <sup>ns</sup>		
Concentration	5	399.71**	518.46**	443.72 <sup>ns</sup>	804.79**	992.81**		
Extract x Replicate	10	52.86 <sup>ns</sup>	69.87 <sup>ns</sup>	199.78 <sup>ns</sup>	52.40 <sup>ns</sup>	42.64 <sup>ns</sup>		
Extract x Concentration	21	427.47**	329.13**	521.30**	423.41**	438.71**	281.41	
Concentration x	10	46.11 <sup>ns</sup>	86.61 <sup>ns</sup>	92.77 <sup>ns</sup>	115.27 <sup>ns</sup>	114.11 <sup>ns</sup>	<sup>°</sup> 130.06'	
Replicate								
Error	42							
Total	96							
Concentrated Total	95							
167 *, ** are significan	t and	highly sig	nificant val	ues at p <	< 0.05 and	l p<0.01		
168 respectively.								
169								
170								
171								
172								
173								
174								
175								
176								
177								
178								
179								
180								
181								

83 weights in all	bino mice at	ining the p					
Courses of Verietier		<u> </u>		Weight	<b>.</b>	-	
Source of Variation	df	Day 7	Day 8	Day 9	Day 10	Day 11	Day 1
Extract Types	5	53.46**	46.34	65.55	73.31*	54.21 <sup>ns</sup>	44.17
Replicate	2	0.99 <sup>ns</sup>	5.26 <sup>ns</sup>		39.46 <sup>ns</sup>	1.37 <sup>ns</sup>	5.88 <sup>n</sup>
Concentration	5	27.40**			76.33 <sup>*</sup>	110.19 <sup>ns</sup>	75.81
Extract x Replicate	10	10.17			49.95 <sup>*</sup>	34.38 <sup>ns</sup>	28.32
Extract x Concentrat		18.57**			63.91 <sup>*</sup>	76.80 <sup>**</sup>	66.84
Concentration x Rep		13.65**		52.63 <sup>ns</sup>	51.93 <sup>*</sup>	34.38 <sup>ns</sup>	28.32
Error	42	10.00	21.03	02.00	01.00	07.00	20.02
Total	96						
Corrected Total	95						
	ificant and	hiahly sia	nificant val	ues at p	< 0.05 ar	nd p<0.01	
respectively.		3, 0.9	,	· · · · · · · ·		- F	
36							
37							
38							
39							
90							
91							
92							
93							
94							
	uantitative P						
Phytochemicals		Mix	Fom	PP	PT	Term	
Tannin	0.52	0.02	0.53	0.17	0.67	0.50	
Steroid	0.64	3.35	1.06	1.24	0.91	1.76	
Oxalate	nd	0.01	nd	nd	0.01	0.01	
Saponin	nd	nd	nd	0.12	nd	nd	
Flavonoid	nd	nd	nd	0.72	nd	0.58	
Alkaloid	nd	0.01	nd	nd	nd	nd	
Cyanogenic	0.15	0.01	0.10	0.20	0.15	4.00	
glucoside							
Phenol	0.28	0.005	0.28	0.72	0.45	0.02	
DPPH	73.40	85.34	89.30	85.20	83.20	69.08	
(Antioxidant)							

182	Table 6:	Therapeutic	effects of	of ext	act typ	oes,	replicates,	concentration	on
183	weig	hts in albino i	nice durir	ng the	period of	of inf	fection		

196

DPPH- 2, 2–diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl; nd- not detected; FOM - *Fomes lignosus;* PT - *Pleurotus tuber-regium;* PP - *Pleurotus pulmonarius;* Term- *Termitomyces robustus;* Lent - *Lentinus subnudus*; Mix - Mixture of all the fungi samples in equal proportion.

### 4. CONCLUSION 203

202 204

205 It is apparent from this study that the tested fungi possess prophylactic and 206 therapeutic antiplasmodial potentials. L. subnudus proved as the extract with the highest prophylactic effect against the malaria parasite, Plasmodium berghei 207 berghei in the experimental mice while P. tuber-regium had the best therapeutic 208 209 effect. In both prophylactic and therapeutic experiments, concentrations 0.4mg/mL 210 and 0.04mg/ mL produced the best effect against the malaria parasite. P. berghei 211 berghei in the albino mice. Therefore, the study on interactions of the higher fungi in 212 the prevention and treatment of malaria could be integrated in antimalarial study.

Comment [C2]: Should be recast please

## 218 219 **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have no competing interest.

220 221

- 222
- 223

#### 224 REFERENCES

1. Dubuex, JCB. Jr., Sollenberger, LE., Interrante, SM., Vendramini, JMB and Steward, RL., 225 226 Jr. Litter decomposition ad mineralization in bahiagrass pastures managed at different 227 intensities. Crop Sci., (2006) 46: 1303 - 1310.

228 2. Jonathan, S.G. Vegetative growth requirements and antimicrobial activities of some higher 229 fungi in Nigeria. Ph.D thesis, University of Ibadan (2002).

230 3. Opige, M., Kateyo, E. and Olila, D. Indigenous knowledge and indigenous usage of edible 231 and medicinal mushrooms among the Teso people of Eastern Uganda. Journal of Food 232 Technology(2006) 4(4): 325-330.

4. WHO. Guidelines for the treatment of malaria. Fact Sheet'94 (2015) 233

5. Redfren J, Kinnimonth M, Burdass D, Verran J (2014) Using soxhlet ethanol extraction to 234 235 produce and test plant material (essential oils) for their antimicrobial properties. J Microb. 236 Biol. Edu 15(1): 45-46

237 6. Katsayal, UA., Abdurahman, EM., Abubakar, MS., Musa, KY., Ambah, SF., and Jahun, 238 MB. Fungi as potential source of antimalarial agents. Nig Journal Pharma.Sci(2009)8(1): 239 138-142.

240 7. Jonathan, SG., and Olawuyi, OJ., Popoola, OO. and Aina, DA. Antibacterial activities of 241 extracts of Daldina concentrica. African J. Biomed. Res. (2011)14: 57 - 61.

242 SR., Obradovic, T. Imeh, KM., Wheaton, MJ. The effects of 8. White. methylenedioxmethamphetamine (MDMA, "Estasy") on monoaminergic neurotransmission in 243 244 the central nervous system. Progress in Neurobiology (1996)49:455-479

245 9. Agbenin, NO., Marley, PS. In vitro assay of some plant extracts against Fusarium oxysporum F. sp lycopersici causal agent of tomato wilt. Journal of Plant Protection 246 247 Research in Plant Biology (Poland) (2006) 46: 117-121

10. Babu, J., Muzafar, AD., Vinod, K. Bioefficacy of Plant Extracts to control Fusarium solani 248 249 F. sp Melanogenae Incitant of Brinjal Wilt. Global Journal of Biotechnology and Biochemistry 250 (2008).3(2): 56-59

251 11. Akanmu, AO., Olawuyi, OJ., Abiala, MA., Yaya, OS., Odebode, AC. Interactive effects of

252 some botanicals and Fusarium spp on the growth of millet seedlings. Research in Plant 253 Biology. (2013) 4(1): 01-11

12. Hasler, CM., Blumberg, JG. Symposium on phytochemicals: Biochemistry and Physiology.Journal of Nutrition (1999)129:7565-7575. 

13. Smith, RA., Mettlin CJ., Davis, KJ., Eyre, H. American Cancer Society guidelines for the earlydetection of cancer. A cancer Journal for Clinicians. (2000) 50(1): 34-49.

14. Saxena, J. and Patra, AK. Dietary phytochemicals as rumen modifiers: a review of the effects of on microbial populations. Antonie van Leeuwenhoek(2009)96: 363-375

15. Gracia, EJ., Oldoni, TLC., de Alencar, SM., Reis, A., Luguerio, AD., Grande, HM. Antioxidant activity of DPPH of potential solution to be applied on bleached teeth. Branzilian Dental Journal(2012)23(1): 22-27 

16. Ilondu, EM. Myco- chemical composition and efficacy of four mushroom extracts in the control of Rhizoctania solani, a damping-off pathogen of garden egg (Solanum melongena L.) seedlings. American Journal of Scientific and Industrial Research(2013) 4(5):429-437. 

17. Chelela, BL., Chacha, M., Matemu, A. O. Wild edible mushroom value chain for improved livelihoods in Southern Highlands of Tanzania. American Journal of Research Communication 2014 2(8):1-14

18. Walker, MG., Page, CP., Hoffman, BF., Curtis, M. Integrated Pharmacology. (3rd ed.). St. Louis: Mosby. 2006. ISBN 0-323-04080-2