



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_48475
Title of the Manuscript:	Monitoring system design based on kingview sewage treatment
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	THE AUTHOR(S) HAS SUCCEEDED IN DOING WHAT LOOKS LIKE A REVIEW OD SEWAGE TREATMENT AND THAT OF KINGVIEW SOFTWARE. I HAVE FORWARDED THE MANUSCRIPT WITH MY COMMENTS AND I THINK IT SHOULD BE PASSED TO THE AUTHOR(S). THEY SHOULD INDICATE THE BASIC SPECIFICATIONS OF THE SYSTEM	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alex
Department, University & Country	University of Agriculture, Makurdi, Nigeria