



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_48601
Title of the Manuscript:	Phytochemical study and evaluation of the biological activity of anorectic plants used in the Seno province (Burkina Faso).
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Table1. Is this the average weight of mice before experiment? Maybe it will be good add this information in the table.</p> <p>You have written two times number "3.1.2".</p> <p>How it is with acetylcholinesterase inhibition by quercetin?</p> <p>Verse345: You write two times "potential".</p> <p>Verse 352: I do not know if sentence "Antioxidant.." should be written like that. I do not know if you should join antioxidant and anti-obesity.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Iwona Rybakowska
Department, University & Country	Medical University of Gdansk, Poland