



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_48686
Title of the Manuscript:	Study of Outcome of High Volume Manual SICS and Complications in Garhwal Himalayan Region
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	A short description is required for the technique of SICS/MSICS, explaining the main differences between these and the standard phacoemulsification. Please spell out abbreviations on their first mentioning (etc. SICS, MSICS, DM, PCR) Tables required to be in the same form. A throughout English lecturing is necessary due to the large number of the grammatical and spelling issues.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gabor Nemeth Borsod-Abaúj-Zemplén
Department, University & Country	Hungary