

1 *Original Research Article*

2 | **BIOCONTROL POTENTIAL OF *BACILLUS THURINGIENSIS* ISOLATED FROM**
3 | **SOIL**
4 | **AGAINST MOSQUITO LARVA**
5 |

Formatted: Line spacing: single

Formatted: Highlight

6 ABSTRACT

7 A major challenge for achieving successful mosquito control is overcoming insecticide
8 resistance. This study was carried out to assess the larvicidal activity of *Bacillus thuringiensis*
9 isolated from different soil samples within Sokoto metropolis using standard methods.
10 Confirmatory identification of the organism was made based on biochemical characterization
11 and microscopic observation. The larvicidal activity of *Bacillus thuringiensis* isolated was tested
12 against the larva of mosquito using three dilutions of the *Bacillus* culture in a bioassay. The
13 isolated organisms were confirmed as *Bacillus thuringiensis*. The result of the bioassay showed
14 variation in the level of efficacy of the bacteria and depended on time of the exposure. Mortality
15 rate greater than 20% was observed after 60 minutes and increased to 100% after time of
16 exposure was increased for all dilutions of *B. thuringiensis* used. The results showed that
17 *Bacillus thuringiensis* toxins can be bacteriocidal to mosquito larvae in a matter of minutes
18 depending on the concentration ingested by the larvae. This in essence proved that *Bacillus*
19 *thuringiensis* is an effective bio-larvicide that can be used to reduce and possibly eradicate the
20 nuisance of disease-causing mosquitoes and aid in the rollback of malaria.

Comment [P1]: Methodology not clear so please rewrite it

Comment [P2]: You are not tested bacterial toxins on mosquito then how to say toxins were investigated??? And also this sentence is very h hard so rewrite it.

21
22 | Keywords: Mosquito control, *Bacillus thuringiensis*, bioassay, larvicidal activity, [add mosquito](#)
23 | [scientific name](#)
24 |
25 |

26

27 **INTRODUCTION**

28 Mosquitoes are associated with the transmission of pathogens to humans and other vertebrates.

29 Some of these include the causative agents of malaria, filariasis and dengue, as well as other

30 mosquito-borne zoonotic arbovirus, like Saint Louis Encephalitis Virus (SLEV), West Nile

31 Virus (WNV) and Eastern Equine Encephalitis Virus (EEEV) [1]. Significant morbidity and

32 mortality are recorded as results of these diseases are as a result of the inherent difficulty of

33 controlling mosquitoes [2, 3]. Increase in the distribution of mosquitoes was associated with the

34 emergence of viruses and diseases in new areas [4]. WHO [3] reported malaria to be the world

35 most important vector-borne disease. Cases of these diseases have been reported in more than

36 100 countries, with approximately more than 3 billion people living in endemic areas. More than

37 200 million cases of malaria and eight hundred thousand (800,000) malaria-related deaths are

38 recorded every year [5]. The increase in number of malaria cases is as a result of deteriorating

39 health systems, increase resistance of anopheline to insecticides, time taken to develop an

40 effective vaccine and as well resistance of plasmodium to antimalarial drugs [1].

41 Dengue, including dengue hemorrhagic fever and Dengue Shock Syndrome (DSS) transmitted

42 by *Aedes* mosquitoes is rapidly becoming a worldwide disease, threatening a third of the world

43 population, with an estimate of 50-100 million cases every year [6, 7]. So also, *Lymphatic*

44 *filariasis* caused by *Wuchereria bancrofti*, which is transmitted by mosquitoes, affects more than

45 120 million people around the world [8]. Lack of effective vaccine against these diseases has left

46 the control of mosquito population as the most effective way to prevent vector-borne diseases [9,

47 10].

48 Chemical insecticides have been used in the last century to successfully control mosquitoes of

49 the genus *Aedes* and *Anopheles*. Current ecological and environmental protection standards halt

50 the use of these chemicals, because of their adverse effects on non-target species, including
51 humans, environmental impact, contamination soil and water and development of mosquito
52 resistance to insecticides [11]. New strategies were created to replace the use of chemical
53 insecticides. They include Integrated Pest management (IPM) that has guidelines. Guidelines of
54 which are based on environmental planning, public awareness and biological control that control
55 the mosquitoes more efficiently while preserving the environment from contamination [1].
56 Commercial preparations of *Bacillus thuringiensis* (*Bt*) as a biocontrol agent has been the
57 greatest success in microbial pesticides, with more than 95% of the microbial pesticides sold
58 being of this bacterial agent [12]. *Bacillus thuringiensis* (*Bt*) is a gram-positive, rod-shaped and
59 spore forming bacteria that is mostly found in the soil and produces polymorphic crystal proteins
60 [13]. The insecticidal activity of *Bt* is due to the proteic parasporal inclusions that are produced
61 during sporulation [14]. The insecticidal proteinaceous crystals (ICPs) comprised one or more
62 crystal (Cry) and Cytolytic (Cyt) proteins recognized as δ -endotoxin. When ingested by the
63 target insect, the ICPs dissolve in the midgut of the larva releasing proto-toxins that eventually
64 lead to the formation of pores that causes cell-cytolysis [15, 16].

65 Despite the use of *Bacillus thuringiensis* as a biocontrol agent for over 30 years, no significant
66 resistance was recorded. However, the search for natural *Bt* isolates with increase activity against
67 mosquito and other insect is still encouraged. Recently, *Bt* with increased activity against *Aedes*
68 *caspius* and *Culex pipiens* were isolated [17]. In this study, the larvicidal activity of *Bt* isolated
69 from soil samples will be evaluated on mosquitoes.

Comment [P3]: Aim is not clear describe clearly

70

71

72

73 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

74 **Sample Collection**

75 A total of 4 soil samples were collected from Tamaje, Mabera, Arkilla and Kantin Daji areas of
76 Sokoto state, where there is no previous record of application of *Bacillus thuringiensis* based
77 insecticides. The soil samples were collected aseptically from top of 5cm depth. The samples
78 were placed immediately in plastic bags and labeled appropriately [18]. The soil samples were
79 transported to the laboratory and stored at room temperature. In the same vein, mosquito larva
80 was collected from stagnant waters around Sokoto metropolis.

Comment [P4]: Add latitude and longitude of soil sample collection areas

Comment [P5]: Please mention the room temperature and humidity of the sample.

Comment [P6]: Add latitude and longitude of mosquito larvae collection areas.

81 **Media Preparation**

82 The media to be used to culture the bacteria such as Nutrient agar and Luria-Bertani will be
83 prepared according to the manufacturer's instruction.

Comment [P7]: Please add ingredients of Bacterial culture medias

84 **Nutrient Agar**

85 Twenty-eight grams (28g) of nutrient agar was weighed and dissolved in 1000ml of distilled
86 water in a sterile conical flask. The mixture was heated using a hot plate to dissolve the medium.
87 The conical flask was plugged with cotton wool stoppers and wrapped with aluminum foil. It
88 was then sterilized using an autoclave at 121°C for 15 minutes. The medium was cooled at about
89 45 - 50°C after sterilization and then poured into sterile petri dishes (about 20ml per plate) under
90 aseptic conditions. The plates were allowed to solidify and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours and
91 the sterility of the medium was checked [19].

92 **3.2.2 Luria-Bertani Agar**

93 This media is made up of Tryptone, Yeast extract, NaCl, NaOH and Bacto agar for jelling. Ten
94 grams (10g) of Tryptone, ten grams (10g) of NaCl, five grams (5g) of NaOH and ten grams
95 (10g) of yeast extract were weighed and dissolved in 950ml of distilled water in a sterile conical

96 flask. The mixture was heated using a hot plate to dissolve. The final volume was added up to
97 1000ml and fifteen grams (15g) of Bacto agar was added. The conical flask was plugged with
98 cotton wool stoppers and wrapped with aluminum foil. It was then sterilized using an autoclave
99 at ~~121°C~~ 121°C for 15 minutes. The medium was cooled at about 45 – ~~50°C~~ 50°C after
100 sterilization and then poured into sterile petri dishes (about 20ml per plate) under aseptic
101 conditions. The plates were allowed to solidify and incubated at ~~37°C~~ 37°C for 24 hours and the
102 sterility of the medium was checked [20].

103 **Isolation of *Bacillus thuringiensis* from Soil**

104 Five grams (5g) of each soil sample was weighed and added to 100ml of distilled water. The
105 samples were heated on a hot plate for 10 minutes to eliminate all bacteria incapable of
106 producing endospores. Since it is known that *Bacillus thuringiensis* produces spores, it will be
107 safe to assume that if it was present in the soil, it would be in our heated sample. The samples
108 were then diluted 5 fold to eliminate all humic materials within the samples and to reduce the
109 overall colony forming units within each sample [18].

110 **Culturing of *Bacillus thuringiensis***

111 The diluted samples were cultured on nutrient agar plates for 24 hours at ~~37°C~~ 37°C in to order to
112 give the spores chance to germinate on media with adequate nutrients and optimal temperature
113 [18]. The media, however, offers favorable growth for a wide range of bacteria as well as
114 *Bacillus thuringiensis*. The colonies were sub-cultured onto Luria-Bertani plates and incubated at
115 ~~37°C~~ 37°C for 24 hours, so as to obtain pure cultures of *B. thuringiensis*. Series of tests which
116 include gram staining and biochemical tests were further employed to identify *Bacillus*
117 *thuringiensis* after formation of colonies with smooth round shape and earthy odor.

118

Comment [P8]: Which temperature you had heated??

119 **Gram Staining Techniques**

120 A smear of colonies isolated after the identification were made on a clean glass slides using a
121 sterile wire loop. They were air dried and fixed. The smears were flooded with crystal violet for
122 about 60 seconds and were washed with tap water. They were then tipped off with lugol's iodine
123 for 30 seconds and then washed with tap water. They were decolorized with acetone and washed
124 off with tap water. The fixed smears were counterstained with safranin and allowed for 60
125 seconds and then washed off with tap water and allow to air dry. Oil immersion was added to the
126 stained slides and viewed under a microscope using x100 objective for the morphological
127 characteristics of the isolates [21].

128 **Characterization of the Isolated Bacteria**

129 The colonies that form on the T3 agar will again be confirmed by biochemical tests based on:
130 Indole test, Catalase test, Triple Sugar Iron test (T.S.I), Methyl Red test (M.R.), Vogues-
131 Proskauer (V.P.).

132 **Indole Test**

133 A test tube of sterile peptone water, enriched with 1% tryptophan will be inoculated with young
134 culture of isolates and incubated at 37^oC for 48hrs. About 4 drops of kovac's reagent will be
135 added and shaken gently. A red color will occur immediately at upper part of the test tube
136 indicating a positive test. A yellow color at the surface will denote a negative result [21].

137 **Catalase Test**

138 The container containing 3% hydrogen peroxide solution will be shaken to expel the dissolved
139 oxygen. One drop of the solution will be placed on a clean glass slide. Presence of gas bubbles
140 indicates a positive test while absence of gas bubbles indicates negative reaction [19].

141

Comment [P9]: Very hard for reading

142 **Triple Sugar Iron Agar (T.S.I test)**

143 A speck of the isolate will be inoculated by streaking and stabbing into the medium and will be
144 incubated at 37⁰C for 24 hours. Fermentation of any of the sugar will be indicated by a change in
145 color, from red to yellow and crack or raised in the medium indicates gas production [21].

146 **Methyl Red Test (M.R.)**

147 A speck of the isolate will be inoculated into the medium, which would be incubated at 37⁰C for
148 48 hours. Few drops of methyl red would be added to the culture. M.R positive test will indicate
149 red color while no changes denotes negative [21].

150 **Voges-Proskauer Test (V.P)**

151 A speck of the isolate will be inoculated into glucose phosphate water medium and incubated at
152 37⁰C for 2 days. Ethanoic solution of 5% α -naphthol (1.2 ml) and 0.4 ml potassium hydroxide
153 solution will be added to 2ml of culture and will be shaken vigorously. It will be placed in a
154 sloping position (for maximum exposure of the culture to air) and will be examined after 30 to
155 60 minutes. The evolution of red color indicates a positive test for Voges-Proskauer [21].

156 **Coagulase Test**

157 About 2 or 3 colonies was emulsified in 0.05ml of saline contained in a serological tube. 1ml of
158 plasma was added and incubated at 35⁰-37⁰C and it was checked after 1hour, 2hours, 3hours and
159 4hours of incubation for signs of clotting of the plasma. Increase in viscosity or complete clotting
160 indicates a positive coagulase test, while absence of viscosity or clotting indicates a negative
161 coagulase test [21].

162 **Motility Test**

163 A small quantity of each isolate was stabbed into triple sugar iron agar and incubated at 37⁰C for
164 24 hours. Motility was observed by spread of the organism outwards from the stabbed area.

165 **Urease Test**

166 A speck of each isolate was inoculated into Christensen's urea agar and incubated at 37^oc for 24
167 hours. Liberation of red color indicates urease positive test while initial yellow color indicates
168 negative test.

169 **Citrate Utilization Test**

170 A speck of each isolate was inoculated into Koser's citrate medium and was incubated at 37^oC
171 for 72 hours. A positive citrate is confirmed by the promotion of blue color while the initial
172 green color denotes a negative result [21].

173 **Bioassay**

174 The *Bacillus thuringiensis* isolates selected were tested against larva of mosquito. The stock
175 cultures of *Bacillus thuringiensis* from slant bottles were picked using a sterile wire loop and
176 diluted five-fold 10^{-1} - 10^{-5} in sterile distilled water in five test tubes. Five (5) ml each of the
177 cultures in the first, third and fifth test tubes was added to three (3) disposable cups containing
178 45ml of sterile distilled water, providing each cup with different dilution factors. Twenty-five
179 (25) larvae were transferred into each of the disposable cups. The cups were kept at 25^oC-25^oC -
180 30^oC-30^oC for 6 hours. At intervals of 30 minutes, each cup was observed for larval presence and
181 larval mortality rate was calculated.

Comment [P10]: What you try to tell???

Comment [P11]: Which bases you have selected the concentration

Comment [P12]: Which mosquito larvae???

Comment [P13]: What is size of the bioassay cups??

Comment [P14]: How to calculated larval mortality???

182 **RESULTS**

183 The microscopic and biochemical characteristics of the isolated organisms are shown in table
184 4.1. The characteristics of which confirmed the isolated organism to be *Bacillus thuringiensis*.
185 Table 4.2 shows the result for the bioassay of 10^{-1} diluents of *Bacillus thuringiensis* against
186 mosquito larvae. The mortality rate was found to increase as the incubation time increases. A
187 mortality rate of 52% was recorded after 150 minutes and a 100% mortality rate was recorded

188 after 330 minutes. The result illustrated in table 4.3 shows the bioassay for the 10^{-3} diluents of *B.*
189 *thuringiensis* on mosquito larvae. Mortality rate of 52% was recorded after 180 minutes, after
190 which a 100% mortality rate recorded after 360 minutes. Illustrated in table 4.4 is the bioassay of
191 the 10^{-5} diluents of *B. thuringiensis* against mosquito larvae. Mortality rate of 60% was recorded
192 after 210 minutes, after which a mortality rate of 100% was recorded after 360 minutes.

Comment [P15]: The Results not properly written.

193
194
195

UNDER PEER REVIEW

196 **Table 4.1: Biochemical and morphological characteristics of *Bacillus thuringiensis***

Isolates	Gram Reaction	Catalase	Coagulase	Glucose	Sucrose	Lactose	Gas	Motility	Citrate	MR	VP	Urease	Indole
A	+ve Rod	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	+
B	+ve Rod	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	+
C	+ve Rod	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	+
D	+ve Rod	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	+

197

198 **Key:** + = Positive

199 - = Negative

Time (min)	0	30	60	90	120	150	180	210	240	270	300	330	360
------------	---	----	----	----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

No. of larva	25	24	20	17	14	12	10	7	4	2	1	0	0
Control	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25
Mortality	0	1	5	8	11	13	15	18	21	23	24	25	25
Mortality rate (%)	0	4	20	32	44	52	60	72	84	92	96	100	100

200

201

202 **Table 4.2: Bioassay of 10^{-1} Diluents of *Bacillus thuringiensis* Culture Against Mosquito Larvae.**

203

204 **Table 4.3: Bioassay of 10^{-3} Diluents of *Bacillus thuringiensis* Culture Against Mosquito Larvae.**

Time (min)	0	30	60	90	120	150	180	210	240	270	300	330	360
No. of larva	25	24	23	21	19	16	12	9	7	6	3	1	0
Control	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25
Mortality	0	1	2	4	6	9	13	16	18	19	22	24	25
Mortality rate (%)	0	4	8	14	24	36	52	64	72	76	88	96	100

Comment [P16]: This concentrations contain how much bacterias???

Comment [P17]: Which mosquitoes you have used

Comment [P18]: This concentrations contain how much bacterias???

Comment [P19]: Which mosquitoes you have used

205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214


Table 4.4: Bioassay of 10^{-5} Diluents of *Bacillus thuringiensis* Culture Against Mosquito Larvae.

Time (min)	0	30	60	90	120	150	180	210	240	270	300	330	360
No. of larva	25	25	24	23	23	21	18	10	6	3	1	0	0
Control	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25
Mortality	0	0	1	2	2	4	7	15	19	22	24	25	25
Mortality rate (%)	0	0	4	8	8	16	28	60	76	88	96	100	100

Comment [P20]: This concentrations contain how much bacterias???

Comment [P21]: Which mosquito larvae you has taken the how you identify that is mosquito or not???

UNDER PEER REVIEW



216

217 **DISCUSSION**

218 Mosquitoes are a great nuisance and they pose a serious threat to human health in the society.

219 Many chemical insecticides have been produced for the control of mosquitoes in the past years,

220 some of which have been very effective while others have done little or no good at all. Most of

221 the insecticides used are made of synthetic chemicals and were found to have negative effect on

222 the diversity of many insects, well being of humans and as well the environment. These

223 therefore, call the need for the search of biological control methods that cause less harm to

224 human health, diversity of the insects and the environment. The efficacy of *Bacillus*

225 *thuringiensis* as a larvicide for controlling mosquito larva yielded great results. The microscopic

226 and the biochemical characteristics of the organisms as shown in Table 4.1 confirmed the basic

227 characteristics of *Bacillus thuringiensis*, being Gram positive and having a rod shape. The

228 biochemical characteristics, showed the motile nature of the organism among others. These

229 characteristics are similar to what was reported by Ahmed *et al.* [22].

230 In the bioassay for the control of mosquito larvae, different diluents used showed varying degree

231 of effectiveness with 100% mortality rate recorded after 360 minutes. This might be attributed to

232 the ability of the organism to produce binary toxin (Bin) which is a primary insecticidal

233 component produced during sporulation and vegetative stage of *B. thuringiensis* in controlling

234 the growth of the mosquito larvae. This is in agreement with what was reported by Oei *et al.*

235 [23]. In all the diluents, very low mortality was recorded after 30 minutes of incubation, which

236 could be attributed to the time of exposure of the larvae as well as the number of the organisms

237 present in the container. But more than half of the larvae were death after 240 minutes, with a

238 high mortality rate of over 70% recorded in all the diluents of the *B. thuringiensis*. This could

Comment [P22]: Hard for reading and contain several grammars so rewrite it

239 also be attributed to the time of exposure of the larvae and as well the increase in number of the
240 cells in the medium that could be attributed to the increase in the number of organisms ingested
241 by the mosquito larvae, which causes damage in the midgut of the larvae [24]. Thereby
242 releasing the crystallized binary toxins, that in turns are solubilized in the midgut, releasing two
243 proteins [25], that are cleaved by endogenous proteins to form active toxins [26]. This is in
244 agreement with what was reported by Aissaoui and Boudjelida [27].

Comment [P23]: The discussion part not proper so rewrite it properly.

246 Conclusion

247 *Bacillus thuringiensis* naturally found in the soil has proved to be a good larvicidal agent against
248 mosquito larvae in the laboratory. The organism and its product can be further studied to search
249 for novel compounds that can be used in control of mosquito-borne diseases such as malaria.

Comment [P24]: The conclusion part not written properly so please read some other standard Journal then you will write.

250 References

- 251 1. Wilke ABB Marelli MT. Paratransgenesis: A Promising New Strategy for Mosquito
252 Vector Control. *Parasites and Vectors*. 2015; 8:342. DOI 10.1186/s 13071-015-0959-2.
- 253 2. World Health Organization (WHO). Progress Report 2000-2009 and Strategic Plan 2010-
254 2020 of the Global Program to Eliminate Lymphatic filariasis: Halfway Towards
255 Eliminating Lymphatic Filariasis. 2010; ISBN 978-92-4-150072-2.
- 256 3. World Health Organization (WHO). WHO Global Malaria Programme. New Report
257 Signals Showdown in The Fight Against Malaria, 2012. Geneva.
- 258 4. Fonseca DM Smith JL Wilkerson RC Fleischer RC. Pathways of Expansion and Multiple
259 Introduction Illustrated by large Genetic Differentiation Among World Wide
260 Populations of the Southern House Mosquito. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine
261 and Hygiene*. 2006; 74: 284-289.
- 262 5. Bremen JG Egan A Keusch GT. The Intolerable Burden of Malaria: A new Look at the
263 Numbers. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*. 2001; 64: iv-vii.
- 264 6. Reiter P. Oviposition, Dispersal and Survival in *Aedes aegypti*: Implications for the
265 Efficacy of Control Strategies. *Vector-Borne Zoonotic*. 2007; 7: 261-274.
- 266
- 267
- 268
- 269
- 270
- 271
- 272
- 273

Formatted: Highlight

274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319

7. Mairiang D Zhang H Sodija A Murali T Suriyaphol P Malasit P *et al.* Identification of New Protein Interactions Between Dengue Fever Virus and its Hosts, Human and Mosquitoes. *Plos_One*. 2013; 8, [ee53535](#).
8. Pidiyar VJ Jangid K Patole MS Shouche YS. Studies on Cultured and Uncultured Microbiota of *Culex quinquefasciatus* Mosquito Midgut Based on 16s ribosomal RNA gene Analysis. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*. 2004; 70: 597-603.
9. Pates H Curtis CF. Mosquito Behavior and Vector Control. *Annual Review of Entomology*. 2005; 50: 53-70.
10. Vreysen M Robinson AS Hendrichs J. Area-Wide Control of Insect Pests: From Research t Field Implementation. The Netherlands: Springer. 2007; [P](#). 325-361.
11. Dorta DM Vasuki V Rajavel A. Evaluation of Organophosphorus and Synthetic Pyrethroid Insecticides Against Six Vector Mosquito Species. *Rev Saude Publica*. 1993; 27:391-397.
12. Federici BA Hyun-Woo P Dennis KB. Overview of the Basic Biology of *Bacillus thuringiensis* with Emphasis on Genetic Engineering of Bacterial Larvicides foe Mosquitoe Control. [The Open Toxicology Journal](#). 2010; 3: 83-100.
13. Cruz D Ian NB Bautista JR Teves FG. Isolation and Identification of *Bacillus thuringiensis* from *Harpaphe haydeniana* and its Entemotoxic Evaluation Against *Aedes* and *Culex* Larvae. *International Research Journal of Biological Sciences*. 2015; 4(3): 21-26.
14. Federici BA. *Bacillus thuringiensis* in *Biological Control*. In: Handbook of Biological Control. F Fosher (Ed.) Academic Press (Ed.). 1999; 577-593. ISBN 10: 0-12-257305-6.
15. Otieno-Ayayo ZN Zaritsky A Wirth MC Manasherob R Khasdan V Cahan R Ben-Dov E. Variations in the Mosquito Larvicidal Activities of Toxins from *Bacillus thuringiensis* Spp. *Israelensis*. *Environmental Microbiology*. 2008; 10: 2191-2199.
16. Angolo-Falho RC Loguercio LL. *Bacillus thuringiensis* is an Environmental Pathogen and Host-Specificity has Developed as an Adaptation to Human Generated Ecological Niches. *Insects*. 2014; 5: 62-91.
17. El-Kersh TA Al-akeel RA Al-Sheikh YA. Isolation and Distribution of Mosquitoes Cry genes in *Bacillus thuringiensis* Strains native to Saudi Arabia. *Tropical BioMed*. 2014; 31: 616-632.
18. Travers RS Martin PAW Reichelderfer CF. Selective Process for Efficient Isolation of Soil *Bacillus* sp. *Applied Environmental Microbiology*. 1987; 53: 1263-1266.

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Font: Italic

- 320 19. Cheesbrough M. District Laboratory Practices in Tropical Countries (Part 2). Cambridge
321 University Press. 2002; 137-139.
322
- 323 20. Sambrook J Russell DW. Molecular Cloning a Laboratory Manual. Cold Spring Harbor
324 Laboratory Press. Cold Spring Harbor, NY. 2001.
325
- 326 21. Oyeleke SB Manga SB. Essentials of Laboratory Practicals in Microbiology 1st Edition.
327 To Best Publisher Minnaa, Niger State, Nigeria. 2008; 36-58.
328
- 329 22. Ahmed HA Ali SG Abdul-Raouf UM. Isolation, Characterization and Molecular
330 Identification of *Bacillus thuringiensis* Alex-13 isolated from Egypt Against *Spodoptera*
331 *littoralis*. *International Journal of Microbiology and Allied Sciences*. 2015; 2(2): 34-44.
332
- 333 23. Oei C Hindley J Berry C. Binding of *Bacillus sphaericus* Binary Toxin and its Deletion
334 Derivatives to *Culex Quinquefasciatus* Gut: Elucidation of Functional Binding Domains.
335 *Journal of General Microbiology*. 1992; 138: 1515-1526.
336
- 337 24. Wei D Cai Q Yuan Z. Mosquitocidal Toxin From *Bacillus sphaericus* Induces Stronger
338 Delayed Effects than Binary Toxin on *Culex quinquefasciatus* (Diptera; Culcidae).
339 *Journal of Medical Entomology*. 2006; 43: 726-730.
340
- 341 25. Berry C Jackson Tap J Oei C Hindley J. Nucleotide Sequence of Two Toxin Genes from
342 *Bacillus sphaericus* IAB59: Sequence Comparisons Between Five Highly Toxicogenic
343 Strains. *Nucleic Acid Research*. 1989; 17(18): 7516.
344
- 345 26. Darboux I Nielsen-Leroux C Charles JF Pauron D. The Receptor of *Bacillus sphaericus*
346 Binary Toxin in *Culex pipens* (Diptera culcidae) midgut: Molecular Cloning and
347 Expression. *Insect Biochemical and Molecular Biology*. 2001; 31(10): 981-990.
348
- 349 27. Aissaoui L Boudjelida H. Larvicidal Activity and Influence of *Bacillus thuringiensis*
350 (Vectobac G), on Longevity and Fecundity of Mosquito species. *European Journal of*
351 *Experimental Biology*. 2014; 4(1): 104-109.
352