



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Research in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJRM_47737
Title of the Manuscript:	THERAPEUTIC EFFECT OF GOYA EXTRA VIRGIN OLIVE OIL IN ALBINO RAT OROGASTRICALLY DOSED WITH <i>SALMONELLA TYPHI</i>
Type of the Article	<u>Original Research Article</u>

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1- There are many grammatically and format errors, these should be corrected by a native English speaker. 2- The introduction part lacks the previous studies of the antimicrobial activity of olive oil. This should be described as well as discussed in comparison of the current manuscript data. 3- The Material and Methods for the isolation of the bacteria from animal faeces should be described in details or refereed to a reference. 4- What is the dosage used for the in vitro and in vivo experiments? Where this described? 5- Titles in line 99 and 100 would be better to merged or given sub-numbers. 6- Lines 29-31 in the abstract should be logically match with the specific manuscript results and express the conclusion, the word 'amazing' should not be used in scientific writing. 7- Line 137 do you mean Figure 1 instead of table 1? 8- Have you examined the bioactive compound of the oil contents that cause this inhibitory effect of the microbes? 9- The result of Figure 2 should be described more. 10- The statistical analysis should be explained at the end of materials and methods part. 11- Gentamycin is a positive control for gram negative bacteria including E. coli, however in your data it didn't show any effect, why? 12- Why didn't you use Ampicillin as a positive control for gram positive bacteria? 13- Ligand of Figure 1 moved above lines 152-155. 14- In whole manuscript, do not describe that the olive oil has a lower effect comparing the antibiotics, you would better say it has an effect as well as antibiotics. This is to strength the results. Example lines 165-166. 15- In table one it's enough to statistically compare the (B with D) and group (C with D) since D is the real control in such treatment. 16- Table 2 in addition to the table you would better show this results in a cure so it's easy to understand. 17- Moreover, where is the explanation of Table 2 in the result text. 18- Discussion part should be starts with short introduction and ends up with a short conclusion. 19- The references list style should be the same and all reference in text should be exist not more not less. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hossam Hassan Shawki
Department, University & Country	Nagoya City University, Japan